# CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

# SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6285

Chapter 16, Laws of 1998

55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICTS--IMPOSITION OF BENEFIT CHARGES

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/11/98

Passed by the Senate February 11, 1998 YEAS 48 NAYS 0

# BRAD OWEN

# President of the Senate

Passed by the House February 27, 1998 YEAS 95 NAYS 0

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Mike O Connell, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6285** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

# CLYDE BALLARD

# Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved March 11, 1998

MIKE O'CONNELL

Secretary

FILED

March 11, 1998 - 4:23 p.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

### SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6285

Passed Legislature - 1998 Regular Session

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Government Operations (originally sponsored by Senators Goings, McCaslin, Haugen, Winsley, Patterson and Rasmussen) Read first time 02/04/98.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to benefit charges imposed by fire protection
- 2 districts; and amending RCW 52.18.010, 52.18.050, and 84.55.092.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 Sec. 1. RCW 52.18.010 and 1990 c 294 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
- 6 The board of fire commissioners of a fire protection district may
- by resolution, for fire protection district purposes authorized by law,
- fix and impose a benefit charge on personal property and improvements 8
- to real property which are located within the fire protection district 9
- 10 on the date specified and which have or will receive the benefits
- provided by the fire protection district, to be paid by the owners of 11
- 12 the properties: PROVIDED, That a benefit charge shall not apply to
- 13 personal property and improvements to real property owned or used by
- 14
- any recognized religious denomination or religious organization as, or
- 15 including, a sanctuary or for purposes related to the bona fide
- religious ministries of the denomination or religious organization, 16
- 17 including schools and educational facilities used for kindergarten,
- primary, or secondary educational purposes or for institutions of 18
- 19 higher education and all grounds and buildings related thereto, but not

including personal property and improvements to real property owned or 1 2 used by any recognized religious denomination or religious organization for business operations, profit-making enterprises, or activities not 3 4 including use of a sanctuary or related to kindergarten, primary, or 5 secondary educational purposes or for institutions of higher education. The aggregate amount of such benefit charges in any one year shall not 6 7 exceed an amount equal to sixty percent of the operating budget for the 8 year in which the benefit charge is to be collected: PROVIDED, That it 9 shall be the duty of the county legislative authority or authorities of 10 the county or counties in which the fire protection district is located 11 to make any necessary adjustments to assure compliance with such limitation and to immediately notify the board of fire commissioners of 12 13 any changes thereof.

A benefit charge imposed shall be reasonably proportioned to the measurable benefits to property resulting from the services afforded by the district. It is acceptable to apportion the benefit charge to the values of the properties as found by the county assessor or assessors modified generally in the proportion that fire insurance rates are reduced or entitled to be reduced as the result of providing the Any other method that reasonably apportions the benefit services. charges to the actual benefits resulting from the degree of protection, which may include but is not limited to the distance from regularly maintained fire protection equipment, the level of fire prevention services provided to the properties, or the need of the properties for specialized services, may be specified in the resolution and shall be subject to contest on the ground of unreasonable or capricious action or action in excess of the measurable benefits to the property resulting from services afforded by the district. The board of fire commissioners may determine that certain properties or types or classes of properties are not receiving measurable benefits based on criteria they establish by resolution. A benefit charge authorized by this chapter shall not be applicable to the personal property or improvements to real property of any individual, corporation, partnership, firm, organization, or association maintaining a fire department and whose fire protection and training system has been accepted by a fire insurance underwriter maintaining a fire protection engineering and inspection service authorized by the state insurance commissioner to do business in this state, but such property may be

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

- protected by the fire protection district under a contractual 1 2 agreement.
- 3 For administrative purposes, the benefit charge imposed on any 4 individual property may be compiled into a single charge, provided that
- the district, upon request of the property owner, provide an itemized 5
- list of charges for each measurable benefit included in the charge. 6
- 7 Sec. 2. RCW 52.18.050 and 1990 c 294 s 5 are each amended to read 8 as follows:
- 9 (1) Any benefit charge authorized by this chapter shall not be effective unless a proposition to impose the benefit charge is approved 10
- by a sixty percent majority of the voters of the district voting at a 11
- 12 general election or at a special election called by the district for
- that purpose, held within the fire protection district. An election 13
- 14 held pursuant to this section shall be held not more than twelve months
- prior to the date on which the first such charge is to be assessed:
- 15
- 16 PROVIDED, That a benefit charge approved at an election shall not
- remain in effect for a period of more than six years nor more than the 17
- 18 number of years authorized by the voters if fewer than six years unless
- 19 subsequently reapproved by the voters.
- (2) The ballot shall be submitted so as to enable the voters 20
- favoring the authorization of a fire protection district benefit charge 21
- 22 to vote "Yes" and those opposed thereto to vote "No," and the ballot
- 23 shall be:
- 24 "Shall . . . . . county fire protection district No. . . .
- be authorized to impose benefit charges each year for . . . . 25
- 26 (insert number of years not to exceed six) years, not to exceed
- 27 an amount equal to sixty percent of its operating budget, and
- 28 be prohibited from imposing an additional property tax under
- RCW 52.16.160? 29
- 30 YES NO
- 1 1 " 31
- 32 (3) Districts renewing the benefit charge may elect to use the 33 following alternative ballot:
- "Shall . . . . . county fire protection district No. . . . 34
- 35 be authorized to continue voter-authorized benefit charges each
- 36 year for . . . (insert number of years not to exceed six)
- years, not to exceed an amount equal to sixty percent of its 37

1	operating budget, and be prohibited	from imposing an additional
2	property tax under RCW 52.16.160?	
3	<u>YES</u>	NO
4	1	1 "

**Sec. 3.** RCW 84.55.092 and 1988 c 274 s 4 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

The regular property tax levy for each taxing district other than the state may be set at the amount which would be allowed otherwise under this chapter if the regular property tax levy for the district for taxes due in prior years beginning with 1986 had been set at the full amount allowed under this chapter including any levy authorized under RCW 52.16.160 that would have been imposed but for the limitation in RCW 52.18.065, applicable upon imposition of the benefit charge under chapter 52.18 RCW.

The purpose of this section is to remove the incentive for a taxing district to maintain its tax levy at the maximum level permitted under this chapter, and to protect the future levy capacity of a taxing district that reduces its tax levy below the level that it otherwise could impose under this chapter, by removing the adverse consequences to future levy capacities resulting from such levy reductions.

Passed the Senate February 11, 1998.
Passed the House February 27, 1998.
Approved by the Governor March 11, 1998.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 11, 1998.