Washington State House of Representatives Office of Program Research

BILL ANALYSIS

Agriculture & Natural Resources Committee

HB 2107

Brief Description: Authorizing the use of innovative settlement agreements in lieu of appeal for violations of chapters 90.48 and 90.56 RCW.

Sponsors: Representatives Schual-Berke, B. Sullivan, Blake, Newhouse, Dickerson, Strow, Kagi, Orcutt, McCoy, Cody and VanDeWege.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Authorizes the Department of Ecology to offer the option of an innovative settlement agreement in lieu of appeal for violations of water quality laws.
- Creates requirements for innovative settlement agreements and the projects associated with innovative settlement agreements.

Hearing Date: 2/22/07

Staff: Jaclyn Ford (786-7339).

Background:

When a party is charged with violating water pollution laws, or the oil and hazardous substance spill laws, they are given the option of paying a fine or appealing the fine to the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB). If the case is appealed, the Attorney General's Office becomes the primary point of contact between the parties. All appealed cases are assigned to a specific Assistant Attorney General.

If the party chooses to appeal the violation, the Department of Ecology (DOE) may try to settle the case and prevent a formal hearing. Settlements usually fall under two categories: traditional and innovative. Settlements that simply reduce a penalty or revise an order to avoid litigation are considered traditional. Innovative settlements may divert assessed penalty amounts to an approved project. All innovative settlement projects must be mutually agreed upon. Once the

House Bill Analysis - 1 - HB 2107

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

settlement has been fully executed, the Assistant Attorney General will request that the appeal be dismissed. If no settlement is reached, the case will have a formal hearing.

Summary of Bill:

The DOE is able to offer innovative settlement in lieu of appeal for violators of water pollution laws, and oil and hazardous substance spill laws. However, if the violator denies innovative settlement and proceeds with an appeal, the DOE may still enter into innovative settlement agreements after the appeals process has begun.

Innovative settlement agreements in lieu of an appeal must meet certain procedural criteria. The request for an innovative settlement agreement from the violator must be made within 30 days of receipt of notice. Also, the innovative settlement must be agreed upon within 90 days after beginning the settlement process.

Once agreed upon, the innovative settlement project must meet eight standards, including: completion within two years, location of the project within the same watershed as the violation, and 20 percent of the penalty money deposited into the Coastal Protection Fund.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.