1000 1			
H-1388.1			
11 1000.1			

HOUSE BILL 1890

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

By Representatives Strow, O'Brien, Darneille, Jarrett, Williams, Hasegawa, Lantz, Pedersen, Hunt, Flannigan, Wood and Ormsby

Read first time 01/31/2007. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to requiring DNA evidence prior to the imposition
- 2 of the death penalty; adding new sections to chapter 10.95 RCW;
- 3 creating new sections; prescribing penalties; and providing ar
- 4 effective date.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** This act may be known and cited as the DNA testing act of 2007.
- 8 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. (1) The legislature finds that:
- 9 (a) One hundred twenty-three people in the United States have been 10 exonerated from death row based on postconviction DNA testing;
- 11 (b) On May 27, 2005, Texas passed a law stating that all felons 12 must submit a DNA sample;
- 13 (c) Gary Ridgway, the Green River Killer, was identified as being 14 quilty based on DNA evidence;
- 15 (d) In Maryland on January 1, 2004, a student senate passed a bill 16 mandating postconviction DNA tests prior to the implementation of the 17 death penalty; and

p. 1 HB 1890

- 1 (e) A similar proposal was submitted to the Washington state 2 legislature in 2006 as House Bill No. 3230.
 - (2) The purposes of this act are:

3

15

33

- 4 (a) To prevent the incarceration or wrongful execution of innocent persons; and
- 6 (b) To alleviate the financial burden placed on taxpayers during 7 the appeals process of a death sentence for individuals who may be 8 exonerated later.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 10.95 RCW to read as follows:
- 11 (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, the state 12 of Washington may not impose the death penalty upon any person 13 convicted of aggravated first degree murder unless DNA evidence is 14 introduced and assists in the person's conviction.
 - (2) The death penalty may be implemented without DNA evidence if:
- 16 (a) The defendant has confessed to committing aggravated first 17 degree murder; or
- 18 (b) There is technological evidence that shows that the defendant 19 committed aggravated first degree murder.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 10.95 RCW to read as follows:
- 22 The definitions in this section apply to sections 1 through 3 of 23 this act unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
- 24 (1) "Accused" means a person against whom a criminal action is 25 brought.
- 26 (2) "Aggravated first degree murder" has the same meaning as in RCW 10.95.020.
- 28 (3) "Confession" means a written or oral acknowledgment of guilt by 29 a person accused of an offense.
- 30 (4) "Crime" means a serious violation of public law.
- 31 (5) "Exonerated" means the freeing or clearing from accusation or 32 guilt.
 - (6) "Implement" means to enforce or fulfill a duty.
- 34 (7) "Postconviction DNA testing" means DNA testing that convicted 35 persons may request after their conviction.

HB 1890 p. 2

- 1 (8) "Show" means to make manifest or apparent or to demonstrate, 2 display evidence, or reveal.
- 3 (9) "Technological evidence" means advanced or sophisticated 4 devices that furnish proof, including video cameras.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 5.** (1) This act applies to all state law, and the implementation of that law, whether statutory or otherwise, and whether adopted before, on, or after the effective date of this act.
- 8 (2) Statutory law adopted on or after the effective date of this 9 act is subject to the requirements of this act unless the law 10 explicitly excludes such application by reference to this act.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. Nothing in this act shall be construed to affect, interpret, or in any way change the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of the state of Washington.
- 14 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 7.** This act takes effect January 1, 2008.

--- END ---

p. 3 HB 1890