~ 4550 1			
S-4559.1			
O TOOOL			

SENATE BILL 6747

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2008 Regular Session

By Senators Kastama and Franklin

Read first time 01/23/08. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the designation of residential time in parenting 2 plans; and amending RCW 26.09.187.

3

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 26.09.187 and 2007 c 496 s 603 are each amended to read as follows:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

- (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 applies, or when it finds that either parent is unable to afford the cost of the proposed dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not precluded or limited, then in designating such a process the court shall consider all relevant factors, including:
- 13 (a) Differences between the parents that would substantially inhibit their effective participation in any designated process;
- 15 (b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have 16 entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly and 17 voluntarily; and
- 18 (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may

p. 1 SB 6747

- affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute resolution 1 2 process.
 - (2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

3

4

5

6 7

10

11 12

15

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

36

- (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a), when it finds that:
- (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a parent's 8 9 decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191; and
 - (ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.
 - (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole decision-making to one parent when it finds that:
- 13 (i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority is 14 mandated by RCW 26.09.191;
 - (ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;
- 16 (iii) One parent is opposed to mutual decision making, and such 17 opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this subsection. 18
- (c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a) 19 and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following 20 21 criteria in allocating decision-making authority:
- 22 (i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191;
- (ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision making 23 24 in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a);
 - (iii) Whether the parents have a demonstrated ability and desire to cooperate with one another in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a); and
 - (iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to the extent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.
 - (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.
- (a) The court shall make residential provisions for each child which encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's developmental level and the family's social and economic circumstances. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent with RCW 26.09.191. Where the 35 limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive of the child's 37 residential schedule, the court shall consider the following factors:

SB 6747 p. 2 1 (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent;

- (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered into knowingly and voluntarily;
- (iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004(3), including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;
 - (iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;
- (v) The child's relationship with siblings and with other significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;
- (vi) The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and
- (vii) Each parent's employment schedule, and shall make accommodations consistent with those schedules.
 - Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.
 - (b) Except as provided in this section and where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive, the court ((may order that a child frequently alternate his or her residence between the households of the parents for brief and substantially equal intervals of time if such provision is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether such an arrangement is in the best interests of the child, the court may consider the parties geographic proximity to the extent necessary to ensure the ability to share performance of the parenting functions.
 - (c) For any child, residential provisions may contain any reasonable terms or conditions that facilitate the orderly and meaningful exercise of residential time by a parent, including but not limited to requirements of reasonable notice when residential time will not occur)) shall enter the following standard order:
 - During the first, third, and fifth weeks of the month, the child shall reside with the minority residential parent from Thursday after school or work, whichever is pertinent, until the following Monday morning, at which time the child shall be taken by the minority residential parent to school, child care, or the majority residential parent's home. The court shall not use the term "every other weekend"

p. 3 SB 6747

or similar imprecise language in describing residential time with a child. For purposes of this section, "fifth week of the month" means a month with a fifth Friday.

- (c) The court may make an exception to this standard order when the minority residential parent's work schedule or residence location dictates that a different residential time schedule be entered.
- (d) If the minority residential parent agrees to the residential schedule as detailed in (b) of this subsection, the court must provide the minority residential parent with residential time during the child's spring break from school and shall provide the minority residential parent with an opportunity for additional residential time with the child during the summer months.
- (e) If a holiday falls on the weekend that the minority residential parent has residential time with the child, the provisions of (b) of this subsection must nevertheless be followed.
- (f) If the minority residential parent does not reside in the same state as the child, the court shall provide that the minority residential parent have substantial residential time with the child during the winter holiday period and during most of the summer months.
- (g) If the child is less than one year of age, the court may order frequent exchanges of a period of two or three days with each parent and alternate Sundays.
- (h) Absent a showing of good cause, the court shall allow the minority residential parent to designate a friend or family member to transport the child during residential time exchanges. In cases of continuing parental conflict, the court shall order that these exchanges occur at specific times and in a specific public place.
- (i) For purposes of this section, "minority residential parent"
 means the parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the
 time.

--- END ---

SB 6747 p. 4