## 6355-S AMH DUNS SNEL 041

## SSB 6355 - H AMD 1267

By Representative Dunshee

ADOPTED 3/02/2010

1 On page 10, after line 18, insert the following:

2

- 3 "Sec. 9. RCW 43.88D.010 and 2008 c 205 s 2 are each amended to 4 read as follows:
- 4 read as follows:
  5 (1) By October 15th of each even-numbered year, the office of
  6 financial management shall complete an objective analysis and scoring
- 7 of all capital budget projects proposed by the public four-year
- 8 institutions of higher education and submit the results of the scoring
- 9 process to the legislative fiscal committees, the higher education
- 10 coordinating board, and the four-year institutions((, except that, for
- 11 2008, the office of financial management shall complete the objective
- 12 <del>analysis and scoring by November 1st</del>)). Each project must be reviewed
- 13 and scored within one of the following categories, according to the
- 14 project's principal purpose. Each project may be scored in only one
- 15 category. The categories are:
- 16 (a) Access-related projects to accommodate enrollment growth at
- 17 main and branch campuses, at existing or new university centers, or
- 18 through distance learning. Growth projects should provide significant
- 19 additional student capacity. Proposed projects must demonstrate that
- 20 they are based on solid enrollment demand projections, more
- 21 cost-effectively provide enrollment access than alternatives such as
- 22 university centers and distance learning, and make cost-effective use
- 23 of existing and proposed new space;
- 24 (b) Projects that replace failing permanent buildings ((or
- 25 renovate facilities to restore building life and upgrade space to meet
- 26 <del>current program requirements</del>)). Facilities that cannot be
- 27 economically renovated are considered replacement projects.

- 1 ((Renovation projects should represent a complete renovation of a
- 2 total facility or an isolated wing of a facility. A reasonable
- 3 renovation project should cost between sixty to eighty percent of
- 4 current replacement value and restore the renovated area to at least
- 5 twenty five years of useful life.)) New space may be programmed for
- 6 the same or a different use than the space being replaced or renovated
- 7 and may include additions to improve access and enhance the
- 8 relationship of program or support space;
- 9 (c) Projects that renovate facilities to restore building life and
- 10 upgrade space to meet current program requirements. Renovation
- 11 projects should represent a complete renovation of a total facility or
- 12 an isolated wing of a facility. A reasonable renovation project
- 13 should cost between sixty to eighty percent of current replacement
- 14 value and restore the renovated area to at least twenty-five years of
- 15 useful life. New space may be programmed for the same or a different
- 16 use than the space being renovated and may include additions to
- 17 improve access and enhance the relationship of program or support
- 18 space;
- 19 (d) Major stand-alone campus infrastructure projects;
- $((\frac{d}{d}))(e)$  Projects that promote economic growth and innovation
- 21 through expanded research activity. The acquisition and installation
- 22 of specialized equipment is authorized under this category; and
- (((e)))(f) Other project categories as determined by the office of
- 24 financial management in consultation with the legislative fiscal
- 25 committees.
- 26 (2) The office of financial management, in consultation with the
- 27 legislative fiscal committees ((and the joint legislative audit and
- 28 review committee)), shall establish a scoring system and process for
- 29 each four-year project category that is based on the framework used in
- 30 the community and technical college system of prioritization. Staff
- 31 from the state board for community and technical colleges, the higher
- 32 education coordinating board, and the four-year institutions shall
- 33 provide technical assistance on the development of a scoring system
- 34 and process.

- 1 (3) The office of financial management shall consult with the 2 legislative fiscal committees in the scoring of four-year institution 3 project proposals, and may also solicit participation by ((the joint)
- 4 <del>legislative audit and review committee and</del>)) independent experts.
- 5 (a) For each four-year project category, the scoring system must,
- 6 at a minimum, include an evaluation of enrollment trends,
- 7 reasonableness of cost, the ability of the project to enhance specific
- 8 strategic master plan goals, age and condition of the facility if
- 9 applicable, and impact on space utilization.
- 10 (b) Each four-year project category may include projects at the 11 predesign, design, or construction funding phase.
- 12 (c) To the extent possible, the objective analysis and scoring
- 13 system of all capital budget projects shall occur within the context
- 14 of any and all performance agreements between the office of financial
- 15 management and the governing board of a public, four-year institution
- 16 of higher education that aligns goals, priorities, desired outcomes,
- 17 flexibility, institutional mission, accountability, and levels of
- 18 resources.
- 19 (4) In evaluating and scoring four-year institution projects, the
- 20 office of financial management shall take into consideration project
- 21 schedules that result in realistic, balanced, and predictable
- 22 expenditure patterns over the ensuing three biennia.
- 23 (5) The office of financial management shall distribute common
- 24 definitions, the scoring system, and other information required for
- 25 the project proposal and scoring process as part of its biennial
- 26 budget instructions((<del>, except that, for the 2009-2011 budget</del>
- 27 development cycle, this information must be distributed by July 1,
- 28 <del>2008</del>)). The office of financial management, in consultation with the
- 29 legislative fiscal committees ((and the joint legislative audit and
- 30 review committee)), shall develop common definitions that four-year
- 31 institutions must use in developing their project proposals and lists
- 32 under this section.
- 33 (6) In developing any scoring system for capital projects proposed
- 34 by the four-year institutions, the office of financial management:

- 1 (a) Shall be provided with all required information by the four-2 year institutions as deemed necessary by the office of financial 3 management;
- 4 (b) May utilize independent services to verify, sample, or 5 evaluate information provided to the office of financial management by 6 the four-year institutions; and
- 7 (c) Shall have full access to all data maintained by the higher 8 education coordinating board and the joint legislative audit and 9 review committee concerning the condition of higher education 10 facilities.
- (7) By August 15th of each even-numbered year(( , beginning in 11 12 <del>2008,</del>)) each public four-year higher education institution shall 13 prepare and submit prioritized lists of the individual projects 14 proposed by the institution for the ensuing six-year period in each 15 category. ((On a pilot basis, the office of financial management 16 shall require one research university to prepare two separate 17 prioritized lists for each category, one for the main campus, and one 18 covering all of the institution's branch campuses. The office of 19 financial management shall report to the legislative fiscal committees 20 by December 1, 2009, on the effect of this pilot project on capital 21 project financing for all branch campuses.)) The lists must be 22 submitted to the office of financial management and the legislative 23 fiscal committees. The four-year institutions may aggregate minor 24 works project proposals by primary purpose for ranking purposes. 25 Proposed minor works projects must be prioritized within the 26 aggregated proposal, and supporting documentation, including project 27 descriptions and cost estimates, must be provided to the office of 28 financial management and the legislative fiscal committees.
- 29 (8) The office of financial management shall convene a group by
  30 August 15th of each even-numbered year to rank projects in priority
  31 order in a single list to be submitted to the legislature for the
  32 ensuing biennium. The group shall consist of one representative of
  33 the higher education coordinating board, two representatives of the
  34 council of presidents, two representatives of the office of financial

- 1 management, and one representative of the Washington state economic
- 2 development commission. The council of presidents' representatives
- 3 must rotate every two years, with each four-year public baccalaureate
- 4 research institution representing the council of presidents once
- 5 every two biennia and each four-year public baccalaureate nonresearch
- 6 institution representing the council of presidents once every four
- 7 biennia. The Washington state economic development representative
- 8 shall be appointed by the governor and will change every two years.
- 9 The governor or a designee may participate in determining the
- 10 prioritized list.
- 11 (9) The priorities set by the group shall consider policies for
- 12 the state's higher education system to achieve growth and manage
- 13 existing assets in a responsible manner, biennial budget projections
- 14 for capital expenditures, and biennial budget projections for student
- 15 full-time equivalent growth."

16

17 Correct the title.

**EFFECT:** Requires the Office of Financial Management to convene a group to rank higher education projects in single list by priority order.

--- END ---