H-0298.2			

HOUSE BILL 1745

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

By Representatives Moeller, Williams, Walsh, Hunt, Takko, Upthegrove, Sells, Springer, Simpson, Darneille, Liias, Eddy, Pedersen, Jacks, Cody, Chase, Dunshee, Kirby, Kenney, Ericks, Haigh, McCoy, Roberts, Appleton, Hasegawa, Santos, Rolfes, Conway, Wood, Clibborn, Hudgins, Morris, Nelson, Carlyle, Quall, Flannigan, Dickerson, White, Ormsby, and Kagi

Read first time 01/28/09. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to civil marriage equality, recognizing the right
- of all citizens of Washington state, including couples of the same sex,
- 3 to obtain civil marriage licenses; amending RCW 26.04.010, 26.04.020,
- 4 and 26.60.010; and creating a new section.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- Sec. 1. (1) Civil marriage is a legal institution 6 NEW SECTION. 7 recognized by the state in order to promote stable relationships and to protect individuals who are in those relationships. Civil marriage is 8 9 based on a civil contract between two persons and does not require the sanction or involvement of religious institutions. Civil marriage 10 provides important protections for the families of those who are 11 married, including not only children and other dependents they may 12 have, but also members of their extended families. The legislature and 13 14 the people of the state of Washington find that strong, healthy 15 families promote social stability and economic growth, and that these 16 families are supported and protected by the mutual obligations and benefits conferred by civil marriage licenses. On these bases, the 17 18 state therefore has a strong interest in ending discrimination against

p. 1 HB 1745

otherwise qualified applicants for a civil marriage license, including discrimination on the basis of sex or sexual orientation of the applicants.

(2) The legislature finds and declares as follows:

- (a) Despite longstanding social and economic discrimination, many gay and lesbian Washingtonians have formed lasting, committed, caring, and mutually supportive relationships with persons of their same sex. These couples live together, participate in their communities together, and many raise children and care for family members together, just as do heterosexual couples who have the option to marry under Washington law.
- (b) The state of Washington has a proud tradition of respect for the principle that no human being should be denied his or her full rights and responsibilities under the law.
- (c) According to the 2000 census, Washington state is home to at least sixteen thousand same sex couples, ranking ninth among the fifty states in the number of same sex couples. Same sex couples live in all thirty-nine counties in Washington, and nearly one in four of these couples is raising children. While some of these couples may have domestic partner registrations, such arrangements do not offer the same scope and depth of rights, responsibilities, privileges, and protections offered by civil marriages, nor do they provide any legal standing outside the jurisdiction in which they occur.
- (d) Marriage laws support the core values of commitment and responsibility. Washington's discriminatory exclusion of same sex couples from marriage harms those couples and their families by denying those couples and their families specific and equal rights and responsibilities under state and federal law. At least four hundred twenty-three Washington state statutes confer rights, benefits, or obligations depending upon marital status, many of which are currently unavailable to Washington's same sex couples even with Washington's domestic partnership law.
- (e) Washington's domestic partnership laws have provided limited relief for same sex couples, but the state's discriminatory exclusion of same sex couples from marriage harms same sex couples and their families by denying them the unique public recognition and affirmation that civil marriage confers on other couples, and the opportunity to

HB 1745 p. 2

express their mutual dedication through the uniquely recognized rituals of marriage.

- (f) Most states do not recognize civil marriage between same sex couples, but some of those states may have domestic partnership laws and may recognize domestic partnerships created in Washington. In addition, Washington's reciprocity statute recognizes domestic partnerships created in other states. Therefore, to protect Washington citizens traveling to other states and to allow Washington to continue recognizing domestic partnerships created in other states, the legislature intends to retain Washington's domestic partnership statutes until there is consistency throughout the states that provide adequate protection for Washington's citizens.
- (g) The legislature has an interest in encouraging and supporting loving, stable, committed, caregiving relationships through civil marriage regardless of the sex or sexual orientation of the partners.
- (h) No official of any religious denomination or nonprofit institution authorized to solemnize marriages shall be required to solemnize any marriage in violation of his or her right to free exercise of religion guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution or by the Washington state Constitution.
- (3) It is the intent of this act to end discrimination in marriage based on sex and sexual orientation in Washington, to ensure that all persons in this state may enjoy the freedom to marry on equal terms, while also respecting the religious freedom rights of clergy and religious institutions to determine for whom to perform marriage ceremonies and which marriages to recognize for religious purposes.
- **Sec. 2.** RCW 26.04.010 and 1998 c 1 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Marriage is a civil contract between ((a male and a female)) two persons who have each attained the age of eighteen years, and who are otherwise capable.
 - (2) Every marriage entered into in which either ((the husband or the wife)) person has not attained the age of seventeen years is void except where this section has been waived by a superior court judge of the county in which one of the parties resides on a showing of necessity.

p. 3 HB 1745

- 1 (3) Where necessary to implement the rights and responsibilities of 2 spouses under the law, gender specific terms such as husband and wife 3 shall be construed to be gender neutral.
- **Sec. 3.** RCW 26.04.020 and 1998 c 1 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Marriages in the following cases are prohibited:

- 7 (a) When either party thereto has a ((wife or husband)) spouse 8 living at the time of such marriage; or
 - (b) When the ((husband and wife)) spouses are nearer of kin to each other than second cousins, whether of the whole or half blood computing by the rules of the civil law((; or
 - (c) When the parties are persons other than a male and a female)).
 - (2) It is unlawful for any man to marry his father's sister, mother's sister, daughter, sister, son's daughter, daughter's daughter, brother's daughter or sister's daughter; it is unlawful for any woman to marry her father's brother, mother's brother, son, brother, son's son, daughter's son, brother's son or sister's son.
- 18 (3) A marriage between two persons that is recognized as valid in another jurisdiction is valid in this state only if the marriage is not 20 prohibited or made unlawful under subsection $(1)(a)((\frac{1}{(c)}, 0))$ or (2) of this section.
- **Sec. 4.** RCW 26.60.010 and 2007 c 156 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

Many Washingtonians are in intimate, committed, and exclusive relationships with another person to whom they are not legally married. These relationships are important to the individuals involved and their families; they also benefit the public by providing a private source of mutual support for the financial, physical, and emotional health of those individuals and their families. The public has an interest in providing a legal framework for such mutually supportive relationships, whether the partners are of the same or different sexes, and irrespective of their sexual orientation.

((The legislature finds that same sex couples, because they cannot marry in this state, do not automatically have the same access that married couples have to certain rights and benefits, such as those associated with hospital visitation, health care decision making, organ

HB 1745 p. 4

donation decisions, and other issues related to illness, incapacity, and death. Although many of these rights and benefits may be secured by private agreement, doing so often is costly and complex.))

The legislature ((also)) finds that the public interest would be served by extending rights and benefits to different sex couples in which either or both of the partners is at least sixty-two years of age. While these couples are entitled to marry under the state's marriage statutes, some social security and pension laws nevertheless make it impractical for these couples to marry. For this reason, chapter 156, Laws of 2007 specifically allows couples to enter into a state registered domestic partnership if one of the persons is at least sixty-two years of age, the age at which many people choose to retire and are eligible to begin collecting social security and pension benefits.

The rights granted to state registered domestic partners in chapter 156, Laws of 2007 will further Washington's interest in promoting family relationships and protecting family members during life crises. Chapter 156, Laws of 2007 does not affect marriage or any other ways in which legal rights and responsibilities between two adults may be created, recognized, or given effect in Washington.

--- END ---

p. 5 HB 1745