H-1390.1		
11 1 J J J J • 1		

HOUSE BILL 1898

61st Legislature

2009 Regular Session

By Representatives Dunshee, Warnick, and Pearson

State of Washington

6

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

Read first time 02/02/09. Referred to Committee on Capital Budget.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to setting priorities for higher education capital projects; and amending RCW 43.88D.010.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 43.88D.010 and 2008 c 205 s 2 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) By October 15th of each even-numbered year, the office of financial management shall complete an objective analysis and scoring of all capital budget projects proposed by the public four-year institutions of higher education and submit the results of the scoring process to the legislative fiscal committees, the higher education coordinating board, and the four-year institutions((, except that, for 2008, the office of financial management shall complete the objective analysis and scoring by November 1st)). Each project must be reviewed and scored within one of the following categories, according to the project's principal purpose. Each project may be scored in only one category. The categories are:
 - (a) Access-related projects to accommodate enrollment growth at main and branch campuses, at existing or new university centers, or through distance learning. Growth projects should provide significant

p. 1 HB 1898

additional student capacity. Proposed projects must demonstrate that they are based on solid enrollment demand projections, more cost-effectively provide enrollment access than alternatives such as university centers and distance learning, and make cost-effective use of existing and proposed new space;

- (b) Projects that replace failing permanent buildings ((or renovate facilities to restore building life and upgrade space to meet current program requirements)). Facilities that cannot be economically renovated are considered replacement projects. ((Renovation projects should represent a complete renovation of a total facility or an isolated wing of a facility. A reasonable renovation project should cost between sixty to eighty percent of current replacement value and restore the renovated area to at least twenty five years of useful life.)) New space may be programmed for the same or a different use than the space being replaced or renovated and may include additions to improve access and enhance the relationship of program or support space;
- (c) Projects that renovate facilities to restore building life and upgrade space to meet current program requirements. Renovation projects should represent a complete renovation of a total facility or an isolated wing of a facility. A reasonable renovation project should cost between sixty to eighty percent of current replacement value and restore the renovated area to at least twenty-five years of useful life. New space may be programmed for the same or a different use than the space being renovated and may include additions to improve access and enhance the relationship of program or support space;
 - (d) Major stand-alone campus infrastructure projects;
- $((\frac{d}{d}))$ (e) Projects that promote economic growth and innovation through expanded research activity. The acquisition and installation of specialized equipment is authorized under this category; and
- $((\frac{e}{e}))$ (f) Other project categories as determined by the office of financial management in consultation with the legislative fiscal committees.
- (2) The office of financial management, in consultation with the legislative fiscal committees ((and the joint legislative audit and review committee)), shall establish a scoring system and process for each four-year project category that is based on the framework used in the community and technical college system of prioritization. Staff

HB 1898 p. 2

from the state board for community and technical colleges, the higher education coordinating board, and the four-year institutions shall provide technical assistance on the development of a scoring system and process.

- (3) The office of financial management shall consult with the legislative fiscal committees in the scoring of four-year institution project proposals, and may also solicit participation by ((the joint legislative audit and review committee and)) independent experts.
- (a) For each four-year project category, the scoring system must, at a minimum, include an evaluation of enrollment trends, reasonableness of cost, the ability of the project to enhance specific strategic master plan goals, age and condition of the facility if applicable, and impact on space utilization.
- (b) Each four-year project category may include projects at the predesign, design, or construction funding phase.
- (c) To the extent possible, the objective analysis and scoring system of all capital budget projects shall occur within the context of any and all performance agreements between the office of financial management and the governing board of a public, four-year institution of higher education that aligns goals, priorities, desired outcomes, flexibility, institutional mission, accountability, and levels of resources.
- (4) In evaluating and scoring four-year institution projects, the office of financial management shall take into consideration project schedules that result in realistic, balanced, and predictable expenditure patterns over the ensuing three biennia.
- (5) The office of financial management shall distribute common definitions, the scoring system, and other information required for the project proposal and scoring process as part of its biennial budget instructions((, except that, for the 2009-2011 budget development cycle, this information must be distributed by July 1, 2008)). The office of financial management, in consultation with the legislative fiscal committees ((and the joint legislative audit and review committee)), shall develop common definitions that four-year institutions must use in developing their project proposals and lists under this section.
- (6) In developing any scoring system for capital projects proposed by the four-year institutions, the office of financial management:

p. 3 HB 1898

(a) Shall be provided with all required information by the fouryear institutions as deemed necessary by the office of financial management;

1

2

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

3536

37

- (b) May utilize independent services to verify, sample, or evaluate information provided to the office of financial management by the four-year institutions; and
- (c) Shall have full access to all data maintained by the higher education coordinating board and the joint legislative audit and review committee concerning the condition of higher education facilities.
- (7) By August 15th of each even-numbered year, ((beginning in 2008,)) each public four-year higher education institution shall prepare and submit prioritized lists of the individual projects proposed by the institution for the ensuing six-year period in each category. ((On a pilot basis, the office of financial management shall require one research university to prepare two separate prioritized lists for each category, one for the main campus, and one covering all of the institution's branch campuses. The office of financial management shall report to the legislative fiscal committees by December 1, 2009, on the effect of this pilot project on capital project financing for all branch campuses.)) The lists must be submitted to the office of financial management and the legislative fiscal committees. The four-year institutions may aggregate minor works project proposals by primary purpose for ranking purposes. Proposed minor works projects must be prioritized within the aggregated proposal, and supporting documentation, including project descriptions and cost estimates, must be provided to the office of financial management and the legislative fiscal committees.
- August 15th of each even-numbered year to rank projects in priority order in a single list to be submitted to the legislature for the ensuing biennium. The board shall consist of one representative of the higher education coordinating board, one representative of the council of presidents, two representatives of the office of financial management, and one representative of the Washington state economic development commission. The council of presidents' representative must rotate every two years, with each four-year public baccalaureate institution representing the council of presidents once every six

HB 1898 p. 4

biennia. The Washington state economic development representative shall be appointed by the governor and will change every two years.

(9) The priorities set by the board shall consider policies for the state's higher education system to achieve growth and manage existing assets in a responsible manner, biennial budget projections for capital expenditures, and biennial budget projections for student full-time equivalent growth.

--- END ---

p. 5 HB 1898