HOUSE BILL 2034

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

By Representatives Klippert, Hope, Johnson, McCune, Kretz, Orcutt, Warnick, and Angel

Read first time 02/06/09. Referred to Committee on Public Safety & Emergency Preparedness.

- AN ACT Relating to making residential burglary a crime against
- 2 persons; and reenacting and amending RCW 9.94A.411.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 Sec. 1. RCW 9.94A.411 and 2006 c 271 s 1 and 2006 c 73 s 13 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
- 6 (1) Decision not to prosecute.
 - STANDARD: A prosecuting attorney may decline to prosecute, even though technically sufficient evidence to prosecute exists, in situations where prosecution would serve no public purpose, would defeat the underlying purpose of the law in question or would result in decreased respect for the law.
- 12 GUIDELINE/COMMENTARY:
- 13 Examples

7

8

10

11

- The following are examples of reasons not to prosecute which could satisfy the standard.
- 16 (a) Contrary to Legislative Intent It may be proper to decline to
 17 charge where the application of criminal sanctions would be clearly
 18 contrary to the intent of the legislature in enacting the particular
 19 statute.

p. 1 HB 2034

- 1 (b) Antiquated Statute It may be proper to decline to charge 2 where the statute in question is antiquated in that:
 - (i) It has not been enforced for many years; and

3

5

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

2526

27

28

29

30

- (ii) Most members of society act as if it were no longer in existence; and
- 6 (iii) It serves no deterrent or protective purpose in today's society; and
- 8 (iv) The statute has not been recently reconsidered by the 9 legislature.

This reason is not to be construed as the basis for declining cases because the law in question is unpopular or because it is difficult to enforce.

- (c) De Minimis Violation It may be proper to decline to charge where the violation of law is only technical or insubstantial and where no public interest or deterrent purpose would be served by prosecution.
- (d) Confinement on Other Charges It may be proper to decline to charge because the accused has been sentenced on another charge to a lengthy period of confinement; and
- 19 (i) Conviction of the new offense would not merit any additional direct or collateral punishment;
- 21 (ii) The new offense is either a misdemeanor or a felony which is 22 not particularly aggravated; and
- 23 (iii) Conviction of the new offense would not serve any significant 24 deterrent purpose.
 - (e) Pending Conviction on Another Charge It may be proper to decline to charge because the accused is facing a pending prosecution in the same or another county; and
 - (i) Conviction of the new offense would not merit any additional direct or collateral punishment;
 - (ii) Conviction in the pending prosecution is imminent;
- 31 (iii) The new offense is either a misdemeanor or a felony which is 32 not particularly aggravated; and
- 33 (iv) Conviction of the new offense would not serve any significant 34 deterrent purpose.
- 35 (f) High Disproportionate Cost of Prosecution It may be proper to 36 decline to charge where the cost of locating or transporting, or the 37 burden on, prosecution witnesses is highly disproportionate to the

HB 2034 p. 2

importance of prosecuting the offense in question. This reason should be limited to minor cases and should not be relied upon in serious cases.

- (g) Improper Motives of Complainant It may be proper to decline charges because the motives of the complainant are improper and prosecution would serve no public purpose, would defeat the underlying purpose of the law in question or would result in decreased respect for the law.
- (h) Immunity It may be proper to decline to charge where immunity is to be given to an accused in order to prosecute another where the accused's information or testimony will reasonably lead to the conviction of others who are responsible for more serious criminal conduct or who represent a greater danger to the public interest.
- (i) Victim Request It may be proper to decline to charge because the victim requests that no criminal charges be filed and the case involves the following crimes or situations:
- (i) Assault cases where the victim has suffered little or no injury;
- (ii) Crimes against property, not involving violence, where no major loss was suffered;
 - (iii) Where doing so would not jeopardize the safety of society.

Care should be taken to insure that the victim's request is freely made and is not the product of threats or pressure by the accused.

The presence of these factors may also justify the decision to dismiss a prosecution which has been commenced.

Notification

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

2829

30

The prosecutor is encouraged to notify the victim, when practical, and the law enforcement personnel, of the decision not to prosecute.

- (2) Decision to prosecute.
- (a) STANDARD:

Crimes against persons will be filed if sufficient admissible evidence exists, which, when considered with the most plausible, reasonably foreseeable defense that could be raised under the evidence, would justify conviction by a reasonable and objective fact-finder. With regard to offenses prohibited by RCW 9A.44.040, 9A.44.050, 9A.44.073, 9A.44.076, 9A.44.079, 9A.44.083, 9A.44.086, 9A.44.089, and 9A.64.020 the prosecutor should avoid prefiling agreements or

p. 3 HB 2034

diversions intended to place the accused in a program of treatment or counseling, so that treatment, if determined to be beneficial, can be provided pursuant to RCW 9.94A.670.

Crimes against property/other crimes will be filed if the admissible evidence is of such convincing force as to make it probable that a reasonable and objective fact-finder would convict after hearing all the admissible evidence and the most plausible defense that could be raised.

See table below for the crimes within these categories.

CATEGORIZATION OF CRIMES FOR PROSECUTING STANDARDS

```
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS
11
         Aggravated Murder
12
13
         1st Degree Murder
         2nd Degree Murder
14
         1st Degree Manslaughter
15
16
         2nd Degree Manslaughter
17
         1st Degree Kidnapping
18
         2nd Degree Kidnapping
19
         1st Degree Assault
20
         2nd Degree Assault
21
         3rd Degree Assault
22
         1st Degree Assault of a Child
23
         2nd Degree Assault of a Child
         3rd Degree Assault of a Child
24
25
         1st Degree Rape
26
         2nd Degree Rape
27
         3rd Degree Rape
28
         1st Degree Rape of a Child
29
         2nd Degree Rape of a Child
         3rd Degree Rape of a Child
30
31
         1st Degree Robbery
         2nd Degree Robbery
32
33
         1st Degree Arson
         1st Degree Burglary
34
         Residential Burglary
35
```

4

5

7

9

10

3637

38

HB 2034 p. 4

1st Degree Identity Theft

2nd Degree Identity Theft

1st Degree Extortion

```
1
         2nd Degree Extortion
2
         Indecent Liberties
 3
         Incest
         Vehicular Homicide
 4
         Vehicular Assault
5
 6
         1st Degree Child Molestation
         2nd Degree Child Molestation
7
8
         3rd Degree Child Molestation
         1st Degree Promoting Prostitution
9
10
         Intimidating a Juror
11
         Communication with a Minor
12
         Intimidating a Witness
13
         Intimidating a Public Servant
14
         Bomb Threat (if against person)
         Unlawful Imprisonment
15
         Promoting a Suicide Attempt
16
17
         Riot (if against person)
18
         Stalking
         Custodial Assault
19
20
         Domestic Violence Court Order Violation (RCW 10.99.040, 10.99.050,
21
     26.09.300, 26.10.220, 26.26.138, 26.50.110, 26.52.070, or 74.34.145)
22
         Counterfeiting (if a violation of RCW 9.16.035(4))
23
         Felony Driving a Motor Vehicle While Under the Influence of
24
     Intoxicating Liquor or Any Drug (RCW 46.61.502(6))
         Felony Physical Control of a Motor Vehicle While Under the
25
26
     Influence of Intoxicating Liquor or Any Drug (RCW 46.61.504(6))
27
         CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY/OTHER CRIMES
28
         2nd Degree Arson
29
         1st Degree Escape
30
         2nd Degree Escape
31
         2nd Degree Burglary
32
         1st Degree Theft
33
         2nd Degree Theft
34
         1st Degree Perjury
35
         2nd Degree Perjury
36
         1st Degree Introducing Contraband
37
         2nd Degree Introducing Contraband
```

1st Degree Possession of Stolen Property

38

p. 5 HB 2034

- 1 2nd Degree Possession of Stolen Property 2 Bribery 3 Bribing a Witness 4 Bribe received by a Witness 5 Bomb Threat (if against property) 1st Degree Malicious Mischief 6 7 2nd Degree Malicious Mischief 8 1st Degree Reckless Burning Taking a Motor Vehicle without Authorization 9 10 Forgery 2nd Degree Promoting Prostitution 11 12 Tampering with a Witness 13 Trading in Public Office 14 Trading in Special Influence Receiving/Granting Unlawful Compensation 15 Bigamy Eluding a Pursuing Police Vehicle
- 16
- 17
- Willful Failure to Return from Furlough 18
- Escape from Community Custody 19
- Riot (if against property) 20
- 21 1st Degree Theft of Livestock
- 22 2nd Degree Theft of Livestock
- ALL OTHER UNCLASSIFIED FELONIES 23
- 24 Selection of Charges/Degree of Charge
- 25 (i) The prosecutor should file charges which adequately describe 26 the nature of defendant's conduct. Other offenses may be charged only if they are necessary to ensure that the charges: 27
- 28 (A) Will significantly enhance the strength of the state's case at trial; or 29
 - (B) Will result in restitution to all victims.
- 31 (ii) The prosecutor should not overcharge to obtain a guilty plea.
- 32 Overcharging includes:

30

33

- (A) Charging a higher degree;
- (B) Charging additional counts. 34
- This standard is intended to direct prosecutors to charge those 35 crimes which demonstrate the nature and seriousness of a defendant's 36 37 criminal conduct, but to decline to charge crimes which are not

HB 2034 p. 6

- necessary to such an indication. Crimes which do not merge as a matter of law, but which arise from the same course of conduct, do not all have to be charged.
 - (b) GUIDELINES/COMMENTARY:
 - (i) Police Investigation

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

17

18

1920

21

22

23

28

2930

3132

33

34

35

36

38

A prosecuting attorney is dependent upon law enforcement agencies to conduct the necessary factual investigation which must precede the decision to prosecute. The prosecuting attorney shall ensure that a thorough factual investigation has been conducted before a decision to prosecute is made. In ordinary circumstances the investigation should include the following:

- 12 (A) The interviewing of all material witnesses, together with the obtaining of written statements whenever possible;
 - (B) The completion of necessary laboratory tests; and
- 15 (C) The obtaining, in accordance with constitutional requirements, 16 of the suspect's version of the events.

If the initial investigation is incomplete, a prosecuting attorney should insist upon further investigation before a decision to prosecute is made, and specify what the investigation needs to include.

(ii) Exceptions

In certain situations, a prosecuting attorney may authorize filing of a criminal complaint before the investigation is complete if:

- (A) Probable cause exists to believe the suspect is guilty; and
- 24 (B) The suspect presents a danger to the community or is likely to 25 flee if not apprehended; or
- 26 (C) The arrest of the suspect is necessary to complete the 27 investigation of the crime.

In the event that the exception to the standard is applied, the prosecuting attorney shall obtain a commitment from the law enforcement agency involved to complete the investigation in a timely manner. If the subsequent investigation does not produce sufficient evidence to meet the normal charging standard, the complaint should be dismissed.

(iii) Investigation Techniques

The prosecutor should be fully advised of the investigatory techniques that were used in the case investigation including:

- (A) Polygraph testing;
- 37 (B) Hypnosis;
 - (C) Electronic surveillance;

p. 7 HB 2034

(D) Use of informants.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8

10 11 (iv) Pre-Filing Discussions with Defendant

Discussions with the defendant or his/her representative regarding the selection or disposition of charges may occur prior to the filing of charges, and potential agreements can be reached.

(v) Pre-Filing Discussions with Victim(s)

Discussions with the victim(s) or victims' representatives regarding the selection or disposition of charges may occur before the filing of charges. The discussions may be considered by the prosecutor in charging and disposition decisions, and should be considered before reaching any agreement with the defendant regarding these decisions.

--- END ---

HB 2034 p. 8