SENATE BILL 6470

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2010 Regular Session

By Senators Kauffman, Hargrove, Prentice, Gordon, Regala, Keiser, McAuliffe, Stevens, and Kline

Read first time 01/14/10. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the burdens of proof required in dependency
- 2 matters affecting Indian children; amending RCW 13.34.190; and
- 3 reenacting and amending RCW 13.34.130.

7

8

10

- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 13.34.130 and 2009 c 520 s 27, 2009 c 491 s 2, and 2009 c 397 s 3 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
 - If, after a fact-finding hearing pursuant to RCW 13.34.110, it has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the child is dependent within the meaning of RCW 13.34.030 after consideration of the social study prepared pursuant to RCW 13.34.110 and after a
- 11 disposition hearing has been held pursuant to RCW 13.34.110, the court
- 12 shall enter an order of disposition pursuant to this section.
- 13 (1) The court shall order one of the following dispositions of the 14 case:
- 15 (a) Order a disposition other than removal of the child from his or
- 16 her home, which shall provide a program designed to alleviate the
- immediate danger to the child, to mitigate or cure any damage the child
- 18 has already suffered, and to aid the parents so that the child will not
- 19 be endangered in the future. In determining the disposition, the court

p. 1 SB 6470

should choose services to assist the parents in maintaining the child in the home, including housing assistance, if appropriate, that least interfere with family autonomy and are adequate to protect the child.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

(b)(i) Order the child to be removed from his or her home and into the custody, control, and care of a relative or other suitable person, the department, or a supervising agency for supervision of the child's placement. The court may not order an Indian child, as defined in 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1903, to be removed from his or her home unless the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence including testimony of expert witnesses, that the continued custody of the child by the parent is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child.

(ii) The department or supervising agency has the authority to place the child, subject to review and approval by the court $((\frac{(i)}{(i)}))$ (A) with a relative as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a), $((\frac{(ii)}{)})$ (B) in the home of another suitable person if the child or family has a preexisting relationship with that person, and the person has completed all required criminal history background checks and otherwise appears to the department or supervising agency to be suitable and competent to provide care for the child, or (((iii))) (C) in a foster family home or group care facility licensed pursuant to chapter 74.15 RCW. good cause, the department or supervising agency shall follow the wishes of the natural parent regarding the placement of the child in accordance with RCW 13.34.260. The department or supervising agency may only place a child with a person not related to the child as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a) when the court finds that such placement is in the best interest of the child. Unless there is reasonable cause to believe that the health, safety, or welfare of the child would be jeopardized or that efforts to reunite the parent and child will be hindered, the child shall be placed with a person who is willing, appropriate, and available to care for the child, and who is: ((A))(I) Related to the child as defined in RCW 74.15.020(2)(a) with whom the child has a relationship and is comfortable; or $((\frac{B}{B}))$ (II) a suitable person as described in this subsection $(1)(b)((\frac{1}{2})$ willing, appropriate, and available to care for the child)). The court shall consider the child's existing relationships and attachments when determining placement.

(2) When placing an Indian child in out-of-home care, the

SB 6470 p. 2

department or supervising agency shall follow the placement preference characteristics in RCW 13.34.250.

- (3) Placement of the child with a relative or other suitable person as described in subsection (1)(b) of this section shall be given preference by the court. An order for out-of-home placement may be made only if the court finds that reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home and to make it possible for the child to return home, specifying the services, including housing assistance, that have been provided to the child and the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian, and that preventive services have been offered or provided and have failed to prevent the need for out-of-home placement, unless the health, safety, and welfare of the child cannot be protected adequately in the home, and that:
- 15 (a) There is no parent or guardian available to care for such 16 child;
 - (b) The parent, guardian, or legal custodian is not willing to take custody of the child; or
 - (c) The court finds, by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence, a manifest danger exists that the child will suffer serious abuse or neglect if the child is not removed from the home and an order under RCW 26.44.063 would not protect the child from danger.
 - $((\frac{3}{2}))$ (4) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the court shall consider whether it is in a child's best interest to be placed with, have contact with, or have visits with siblings.
 - (a) There shall be a presumption that such placement, contact, or visits are in the best interests of the child provided that:
 - (i) The court has jurisdiction over all siblings subject to the order of placement, contact, or visitation pursuant to petitions filed under this chapter or the parents of a child for whom there is no jurisdiction are willing to agree; and
 - (ii) There is no reasonable cause to believe that the health, safety, or welfare of any child subject to the order of placement, contact, or visitation would be jeopardized or that efforts to reunite the parent and child would be hindered by such placement, contact, or visitation. In no event shall parental visitation time be reduced in order to provide sibling visitation.

p. 3 SB 6470

(b) The court may also order placement, contact, or visitation of a child with a step-brother or step-sister provided that in addition to the factors in (a) of this subsection, the child has a relationship and is comfortable with the step-sibling.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

3132

33

34

- ((4))) (5) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section and placed into nonparental or nonrelative care, the court shall order a placement that allows the child to remain in the same school he or she attended prior to the initiation of the dependency proceeding when such a placement is practical and in the child's best interest.
- (((5))) (6) If the court has ordered a child removed from his or her home pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section, the court may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed if the requirements of RCW 13.34.132 are met.
- (((6))) (7) If there is insufficient information at the time of the disposition hearing upon which to base a determination regarding the suitability of a proposed placement with a relative or other suitable person, the child shall remain in foster care and the court shall direct the department or supervising agency to conduct necessary background investigations as provided in chapter 74.15 RCW and report the results of such investigation to the court within thirty days. However, if such relative or other person appears otherwise suitable and competent to provide care and treatment, the criminal history background check need not be completed before placement, but as soon as possible after placement. Any placements with relatives or other suitable persons, pursuant to this section, shall be contingent upon cooperation by the relative or other suitable person with the agency case plan and compliance with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child including, but not limited to, court orders regarding parent-child contacts, sibling contacts, and any other conditions imposed by the court. Noncompliance with the case plan or court order shall be grounds for removal of the child from the relative's or other suitable person's home, subject to review by the court.
- 35 **Sec. 2.** RCW 13.34.190 and 2000 c 122 s 26 are each amended to read as follows:
- 37 (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, after

SB 6470 p. 4

hearings pursuant to RCW 13.34.110 or 13.34.130, the court may enter an order terminating all parental rights to a child only if the court finds that such an order is in the best interests of the child and that:

 $((\frac{1}{1}))(a)$ The allegations contained in the petition as provided in RCW 13.34.180(1) are established by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence; or

- (b) The provisions of RCW 13.34.180(1) (a), (b), (e), and (f) are established beyond a reasonable doubt and if so, then RCW 13.34.180(1) (c) and (d) may be waived. When an infant has been abandoned, as defined in RCW 13.34.030, and the abandonment has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then RCW 13.34.180(1) (c) and (d) may be waived; or
- (c) The allegation under RCW 13.34.180(2) is established beyond a reasonable doubt. In determining whether RCW 13.34.180(1) (e) and (f) are established beyond a reasonable doubt, the court shall consider whether one or more of the aggravated circumstances listed in RCW 13.34.132 exist; or
- (d) The allegation under RCW 13.34.180(3) is established beyond a reasonable doubt((indetarmodering)).
- (2) ((Such an order is in the best interests of the child.)) After hearings pursuant to RCW 13.34.110 or 13.34.130, the court may enter an order terminating all parental rights to an Indian child as defined in 25 U.S.C. Sec. 1903 only if the court finds that the allegations contained in the petition as provided in RCW 13.34.180(1) are proved beyond a reasonable doubt and that the continued custody of the child by the parent is likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child.

--- END ---

p. 5 SB 6470