ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2238

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2012 Regular Session

By House General Government Appropriations & Oversight (originally sponsored by Representatives Wilcox, Clibborn, Armstrong, Billig, Takko, Rivers, Angel, Hinkle, Schmick, Orcutt, Johnson, Warnick, Dahlquist, Blake, and Chandler)

READ FIRST TIME 02/06/12.

AN ACT Relating to pairing required investments in compensatory environmental mitigation, including the mitigation of transportation projects, with existing programs currently referenced in Title 76 RCW that enhance natural environmental functions; amending RCW 47.01.300, 90.74.005, 90.74.010, 90.74.020, and 90.74.030; adding a new section to chapter 90.74 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 76.09 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

9 Sec. 1. RCW 47.01.300 and 1994 c 258 s 4 are each amended to read 10 as follows:

11 The department shall, in cooperation with environmental regulatory 12 authorities:

(1) Identify and document environmental resources in the
 development of the statewide multimodal plan under RCW 47.06.040;

(2) Allow for public comment regarding changes to the criteria used
for prioritizing projects under chapter 47.05 RCW before final adoption
of the changes by the commission;

(3) Use an environmental review as part of the project prospectus
 identifying potential environmental impacts, mitigation, <u>the</u>

1 <u>utilization of the mitigation option available in section 5 of this</u> 2 <u>act</u>, and costs during the early project identification and selection 3 phase, submit the prospectus to the relevant environmental regulatory 4 authorities, and maintain a record of comments and proposed revisions 5 received from the authorities;

6 (4) Actively work with the relevant environmental regulatory 7 authorities during the design alternative analysis process and seek 8 written concurrence from the authorities that they agree with the 9 preferred design alternative selected;

(5) Develop a uniform methodology, in consultation with relevant 10 environmental regulatory authorities, for 11 submitting plans and specifications detailing project elements that impact environmental 12 13 resources, and proposed mitigation measures including the mitigation 14 option available in section 5 of this act, to the relevant environmental regulatory authorities during 15 the preliminary 16 specifications and engineering phase of project development;

17 (6) Screen construction projects to determine which projects will 18 require complex or multiple permits. The permitting authorities shall 19 develop methods for initiating review of the permit applications for 20 the projects before the final design of the projects;

21 (7) Conduct special prebid meetings for those projects that are 22 environmentally complex; and

23 (8) Review environmental considerations related to particular 24 projects during the preconstruction meeting held with the contractor 25 who is awarded the bid.

26 **Sec. 2.** RCW 90.74.005 and 1997 c 424 s 1 are each amended to read 27 as follows:

28

(1) The legislature finds that:

(a) The state lacks a clear policy relating to the mitigation of
 wetlands and aquatic habitat for infrastructure development;

31 (b) Regulatory agencies have generally required project proponents 32 to use compensatory mitigation only at the site of the project's 33 impacts and to mitigate narrowly for the habitat or biological 34 functions impacted by a project;

35 (c) This practice of considering traditional on-site, in-kind 36 mitigation may provide fewer environmental benefits when compared to

innovative mitigation proposals that provide benefits in advance of a project's planned impacts and that restore functions or habitat other than those impacted at a project site; ((and))

(d) Regulatory decisions on development proposals that attempt to
incorporate innovative mitigation measures take an unreasonably long
period of time and are subject to a great deal of uncertainty and
additional expenses; and

8 (e) <u>Greater</u> <u>environmental</u> <u>benefits</u> <u>may</u> <u>be</u> <u>achievable</u> <u>through</u> compensatory environmental mitigation when the collective mitigation 9 investments of project proponents is paired with the structure of 10 successful state programs that are referenced in statute and are 11 12 designed to enhance and preserve aquatic and riparian functions when 13 there is a clear linkage between the environmental impacts and the 14 goals of the state program. Programs such as the forestry riparian easement program, the family forest fish passage program, and the 15 riparian open space program created pursuant to RCW 76.09.040 may have 16 17 a logical and physical nexus with many underlying projects, especially road projects, and are proven to create a sustained benefit in the 18 aquatic environment. 19

20 (2) The legislature therefore declares that it is the policy of the 21 state to authorize innovative mitigation measures by requiring state 22 regulatory agencies to consider mitigation proposals for 23 ((infrastructure)) projects that are timed, designed, and located in a 24 manner to provide equal or better biological functions and values 25 compared to traditional on-site, in-kind mitigation proposals.

(3) It is the intent of the legislature to authorize local governments to accommodate the goals of this chapter. It is not the intent of the legislature to: (a) Restrict the ability of a project proponent to pursue project specific mitigation; or (b) create any new authority for regulating wetlands or aquatic habitat beyond what is specifically provided for in this chapter.

32 **Sec. 3.** RCW 90.74.010 and 1997 c 424 s 2 are each amended to read 33 as follows:

The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

(1) "Mitigation" means sequentially avoiding impacts, minimizing
 impacts, or compensating for remaining unavoidable impacts.

1 (2) "Compensatory mitigation" means the restoration, creation, 2 enhancement, or preservation of uplands, wetlands, or other aquatic 3 resources for the purposes of compensating for unavoidable adverse 4 impacts that remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and 5 minimization has been achieved. "Compensatory mitigation" includes 6 mitigation that:

7 (a) Occurs at the same time as, or in advance of, a project's
8 planned environmental impacts;

9 (b) Is located in a site either on, near, or distant from the 10 project's impacts; and

11 (c) Provides either the same or different biological functions and 12 values as the functions and values impacted by the project.

(3) "Infrastructure development" means an action that is critical for the maintenance or expansion of an existing infrastructure feature such as a highway, rail line, airport, marine terminal, utility corridor, harbor area, or hydroelectric facility and is consistent with an approved land use planning process. This planning process may include the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, or the shoreline management act, chapter 90.58 RCW, in areas covered by those chapters.

(4) "Mitigation plan" means a document or set of documents developed through joint discussions between a project proponent and environmental regulatory agencies that describe the unavoidable wetland or aquatic resource impacts of ((the)) <u>a</u> proposed infrastructure development <u>or noninfrastructure development</u> and the proposed compensatory mitigation for those impacts.

26 (5) "Project proponent" means a public or private entity27 responsible for preparing a mitigation plan.

(6) "Watershed" means an area identified as a state of Washington
water resource inventory area under WAC 173-500-040 as it exists on
((July 27, 1997)) the effective date of this section.

31 <u>(7) "Family forest fish passage program" means the program</u> 32 administered by the recreation and conservation office created pursuant 33 to RCW 76.09.410 that provides public cost assistance to small forest 34 landowners associated with the road maintenance and abandonment 35 processes.

36 <u>(8) "Forestry riparian easement program" means the program</u> 37 <u>established in RCW 76.13.120.</u>

1 (9) "Noninfrastructure_development" means a_development_project 2 that requires the completion of compensatory mitigation that does not 3 meet the definition of "infrastructure development" and is consistent 4 with an approved land use planning process. This planning process may 5 include the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, or the shoreline 6 management act, chapter 90.58 RCW, in areas covered by those chapters. 7 (10) "Riparian_open_space_program" means_the_program_created

8 pursuant to RCW 76.09.040.

9 **Sec. 4.** RCW 90.74.020 and 1997 c 424 s 3 are each amended to read 10 as follows:

(1) Project proponents may use a mitigation plan to proposecompensatory mitigation within a watershed. A mitigation plan shall:

(a) Contain provisions that guarantee the long-term viability of the created, restored, enhanced, or preserved habitat, including assurances for protecting any essential biological functions and values defined in the mitigation plan;

(b) Contain provisions for long-term monitoring of any created,restored, or enhanced mitigation site; and

(c) Be consistent with the local comprehensive land use plan and any other applicable planning process in effect for the development area, such as an adopted subbasin or watershed plan.

22 (2)(a) The departments of ecology and fish and wildlife may not 23 limit the scope of options in a mitigation plan to areas on or near the 24 project site, or to habitat types of the same type as contained on the project site. The departments of ecology and fish and wildlife shall 25 26 fully review and give due consideration to compensatory mitigation proposals that improve the overall biological functions and values of 27 28 the watershed or bay and accommodate the mitigation needs of the infrastructure development or noninfrastructure development, including 29 30 proposals or portions of proposals that are explored or developed in section 5 of this act. 31

32 (b) The departments of ecology and fish and wildlife are not 33 required to grant approval to a mitigation plan that the departments 34 find does not provide equal or better biological functions and values 35 within the watershed or bay.

36 (3) When making a permit or other regulatory decision under the 37 guidance of this chapter, the departments of ecology and fish and

wildlife shall consider whether the mitigation plan provides equal or better biological functions and values, compared to the existing conditions, for the target resources or species identified in the mitigation plan. This consideration shall be based upon the following factors:

6 (a) The relative value of the mitigation for the target resources,
7 in terms of the quality and quantity of biological functions and values
8 provided;

9 (b) The compatibility of the proposal with the intent of broader 10 resource management and habitat management objectives and plans, such 11 as existing resource management plans, watershed plans, critical areas 12 ordinances, <u>the forestry riparian easement program</u>, <u>the riparian open</u> 13 <u>space program</u>, <u>the family forest fish passage program</u>, and shoreline 14 master programs;

15 (c) The ability of the mitigation to address scarce functions or 16 values within a watershed;

(d) The benefits of the proposal to broader watershed landscape,
including the benefits of connecting various habitat units or providing
population-limiting habitats or functions for target species;

(e) The benefits of early implementation of habitat mitigation for projects that provide compensatory mitigation in advance of the project's planned impacts; and

23 (f) The significance of any negative impacts to nontarget species 24 or resources.

(4) A mitigation plan may be approved through a memorandum of agreement between the project proponent and either the department of ecology or the department of fish and wildlife, or both.

28 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 90.74 RCW 29 to read as follows:

30 (1)(a) To the degree that resources are deemed available by the 31 affected departments, the department of ecology and the department of 32 fish and wildlife shall allow, when appropriate, programs that are 33 related to environmental mitigation, or explore the potential of 34 developing new programs, to utilize the forestry riparian easement 35 program, the riparian open space program, or the family forest fish 36 passage program to mitigate for environmental impacts from projects

р. б

conducted in the state where compatible with existing regulations. 1 The 2 use of these programs may not be additive to existing compensatory mitigation requirements. 3

(b) In implementing this subsection, the department of natural 4 resources may be used as a resource, consistent with section 8 of this 5 act, to assist in identifying potential projects that can be used for б 7 the mitigation of infrastructure and noninfrastructure development.

(2) The department of ecology and the department of fish and 8 wildlife are authorized to seek federal or private funds and in-kind 9 contributions to implement this section. The scope of effort in 10 implementing this section may be defined by the success of the 11 department of ecology and the department of fish and wildlife in 12 13 securing specific funding.

<u>NEW</u><u>SECTION</u>. Sec. 6. (1) The department of ecology and the 14 department of fish and wildlife must provide a report to the 15 16 legislature, consistent with RCW 43.01.036, by December 31, 2012, on:

17 (a) Any successes in using existing programs to mitigate impacts for infrastructure and noninfrastructure development, as those terms 18 are defined in RCW 90.74.010, as provided in section 5 of this act; and 19

20 (b) Any constraints discovered that limits the applicability of 21 section 5 of this act.

(2) The department of ecology and the department of fish and 22 23 wildlife must provide a report to the legislature, consistent with RCW 24 43.01.036, by December 31, 2013, on:

(a) The identification of any additional programs that may be 25 26 appropriate for inclusion in an environmental mitigation plan;

(b) The feasibility of developing new programs that may be 27 appropriate for inclusion in an environmental mitigation plan, 28 including the identification of: 29

30

31

(i) How often a program would be suitable for inclusion;

(iii) Constraints on the suitability of any new program; and 32

33 (iv) Timelines, implementation costs, agency resource needs, and requests for new legal authority. 34

(ii) When and where a new program would be suitable for inclusion;

(3) The report required in subsection (2) of this section should, 35 36 if deemed appropriate and funding allows, be developed in consultation 37 with the department of transportation, the department of natural

resources, the department of commerce, affected federally recognized
 Indian tribes, and private sector stakeholders such as forest
 landowners, environmental interests, and the development community.

4 (4) The authority provided in section 5(2) of this act relating to 5 the acceptance of nonstate money may be utilized to fund the 6 implementation of this section. The scope of effort in implementing 7 this section may be defined by the success of the department of ecology 8 and the department of fish and wildlife in securing specific funding.

9

(5) This section expires July 30, 2014.

10 **Sec. 7.** RCW 90.74.030 and 1997 c 424 s 4 are each amended to read 11 as follows:

(1) In making regulatory decisions relating to wetland or aquatic resource mitigation, the departments of ecology and fish and wildlife shall, at the request of the project proponent, follow the guidance of ((RCW 90.74.005 through 90.74.020)) this chapter.

16 (2) If the department of ecology or the department of fish and 17 wildlife receives multiple requests for review of mitigation plans, 18 each department may schedule its review of these proposals to conform 19 to available budgetary resources.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 8. A new section is added to chapter 76.09 RCW 21 to read as follows:

The department and, when appropriate, the small forest landowner office established in RCW 76.13.110 must assist in identifying potential projects that can be used for the mitigation of infrastructure and noninfrastructure development, as those terms are defined in RCW 90.74.010, as provided in section 5 of this act.

--- END ---