H-3547.1		
H-3547.1		

## HOUSE BILL 2742

\_\_\_\_\_

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2012 Regular Session

By Representatives Klippert, Haler, Taylor, Ross, Nealey, Rodne, Shea, Kristiansen, Chandler, Hinkle, Walsh, Johnson, Angel, and Fagan

Read first time 01/30/12. Referred to Committee on Local Government.

AN ACT Relating to urban growth area boundary modifications for industrial land; reenacting and amending RCW 36.70A.130; and adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

- 5 Sec. 1. RCW 36.70A.130 and 2011 c 360 s 16 and 2011 c 353 s 2 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
  - (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
  - (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this

p. 1 HB 2742

section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.

- (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population forecast by the office of financial management.
- (d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.
- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year, except that the program shall provide for consideration of amendments of an urban growth area in accordance with section 2 of this act once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
- (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;
- (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;

(iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or

- (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.031(2), provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the court.
- (3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in subsection (5) of this section, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.
- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (4) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their

p. 3 HB 2742

comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:

3

4 5

6 7

8

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

- (a) On or before December 1, 2004, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before December 1, 2005, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;
- 9 (c) On or before December 1, 2006, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, 10 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within 11 those counties; and
  - (d) On or before December 1, 2007, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
  - (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- (a) On or before June 30, 2015, and every eight years thereafter, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within those counties;
  - (b) On or before June 30, 2016, and every eight years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
  - (c) On or before June 30, 2017, and every eight years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
  - (d) On or before June 30, 2018, and every eight years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
- 36 (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from 37 conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before 38 the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.

Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.

- (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
- (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
- (d) A county or city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(d) of this section and that meets the criteria established in  $((subsection\ (6)))(b)$  or (c) of this ((section)) subsection may comply with the requirements of subsection (4)(d) of this section at any time within the thirty-six months after the extension provided in  $((subsection\ (6)))(b)$  or (c) of this ((section)) subsection.
- (e) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (f) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the

p. 5 HB 2742

- twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
  - (g) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
  - (7)(a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW:
    - (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section;

- (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas; or
- 19 (iii) Complying with the extension provisions of subsection (6)(b), 20 (c), or (d) of this section.
  - (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.
  - (8)(a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not required to update development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that watershed.
  - (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed if:
- 36 (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in accordance 37 with RCW 36.70A.725;

(ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

3031

32

3334

35

36

37

- (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the growth management hearings board or court;
- (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or
  - (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of funding.
- 10 (c) Beginning ten years from the date of receipt of funding, a county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review 11 12 and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical 13 areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision 14 requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. 15 subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that has 16 17 determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's goals 18 and benchmarks for protection have been met.
- 19 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 36.70A RCW 20 to read as follows:
  - (1) The legislative authority of a city planning under RCW 36.70A.040 may request, as part of the county's annual comprehensive plan amendment process, that the applicable county legislative authority amend the urban growth area within which the city is located. Any such request must meet the county's application deadline for that year. A determination to honor, modify, or reject a request under this section must be issued by the county, as part of the county's annual comprehensive plan amendment process.
    - (2) Urban growth area amendment requests under this subsection:
  - (a) May only occur in counties located east of the crest of the Cascade mountain range that have more than one hundred thousand residents;
  - (b) Must be for the purpose of increasing the amount of territory within the amended urban growth area that is zoned for industrial purposes;
  - (c) May not increase the amount of territory within the amended urban growth area by an amount exceeding seven percent of the total

p. 7 HB 2742

area within the requesting city. Land area determinations under this subsection (2)(c) must be made on a per occurrence, noncumulative basis;

- (d) Must be preceded by a completed development proposal and phased master plan for the area to which the amendment applies; and
- (e) Are null and void if the applicable development proposal has not been wholly or partially implemented within five years of the amendment, or if the area to which the amendment applies has not been annexed within five years of the amendment.
- (3) Nothing in this section limits or otherwise modifies the authority of counties and cities to enter into interlocal agreements under chapter 39.34 RCW for planning costs incurred by a county in accordance with a request under this section.

--- END ---