\_\_\_\_\_

## ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 5205

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2011 Regular Session

By Senators Kilmer, Sheldon, Rockefeller, and White Read first time 01/18/11. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to high capacity transportation system plan 2 components and review; and amending RCW 81.104.100 and 81.104.110.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 81.104.100 and 1992 c 101 s 23 are each amended to read as follows:
  - To assure development of an effective high capacity transportation system, local authorities shall follow the following planning process only if their system plan includes a rail fixed guideway system component or if their system plan proposes to convert or appropriate existing highway capacity:
  - (1) Regional, multimodal transportation planning is the ongoing urban transportation planning process conducted in each urbanized area by its regional transportation planning organization. During this process, regional transportation goals are identified, travel patterns are analyzed, and future land use and travel are projected. The process provides a comprehensive view of the region's transportation needs but does not select specified modes to serve those needs. The process shall identify a priority corridor or corridors for further

p. 1 ESB 5205

study of high capacity transportation facilities if it is deemed feasible by local officials.

(2) High capacity transportation system planning is the detailed evaluation of a range of high capacity transportation system options, including: Do nothing, low capital, and ranges of higher capital facilities. To the extent possible this evaluation shall take into account the urban mass transportation administration's requirements identified in subsection (3) of this section.

9 High capacity transportation system planning shall proceed as 10 follows:

- (a) Organization and management. The responsible local transit agency or agencies shall define roles for various local agencies, review background information, provide for public involvement, and develop a detailed work plan for the system planning process.
- (b) Development of options. Options to be studied shall be developed to ensure an appropriate range of technologies and service policies can be evaluated. A do-nothing option and a low capital option that maximizes the current system shall be developed. Several higher capital options that consider a range of capital expenditures for several candidate technologies shall be developed.
- (c) Analysis methods. The local transit agency shall develop reports describing the analysis and assumptions for the estimation of capital costs, operating and maintenance costs, methods for travel forecasting, a financial plan and an evaluation methodology.
- (d) The system plan submitted to the voters pursuant to RCW 81.104.140 shall address, but is not limited to the following issues:
- 27 (i) Identification of level and types of high capacity 28 transportation services to be provided;
  - (ii) A plan of high occupancy vehicle lanes to be constructed;
- 30 (iii) Identification of route alignments and station locations with 31 sufficient specificity to permit calculation of costs, ridership, and 32 system impacts;
- 33 (iv) Performance characteristics of technologies in the system 34 plan;
  - (v) Patronage forecasts;

3

4

5

6 7

8

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

29

35

(vi) A financing plan describing: Phasing of investments; capital and operating costs and expected revenues; cost-effectiveness represented by a total cost per system rider and new rider estimate;

ESB 5205 p. 2

estimated ridership and the cost of service for each individual high capacity line; and identification of the operating revenue to operating expense ratio.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

The financing plan shall specifically differentiate the proposed use of funds between high capacity transportation facilities and services, and high occupancy vehicle facilities;

- (vii) Description of the relationship between the high capacity transportation system plan and adopted land use plans;
- 9 (viii) An assessment of social, economic, and environmental 10 impacts; and
  - (ix) Mobility characteristics of the system presented, including but not limited to: Qualitative description of system/service philosophy and impacts; qualitative system reliability; travel time and number of transfers between selected residential, employment, and activity centers; and system and activity center mode splits.
  - (3) High capacity transportation project planning is the detailed identification of alignments, station locations, equipment and systems, construction schedules, environmental effects, and costs. capacity transportation project planning shall proceed as follows: local transit agency shall analyze and produce information needed for the preparation of environmental impact statements. The impact statements shall address the impact that development of such a system will have on abutting or nearby property owners. The process of identification of alignments and station locations shall notification of affected property owners by normal legal publication. At minimum, such notification shall include notice on the same day for at least three weeks in at least two newspapers of general circulation in the county where such project is proposed. Special notice of hearings by the conspicuous posting of notice, in a manner designed to attract public attention, in the vicinity of areas identified for station locations or transfer sites shall also be provided.

In order to increase the likelihood of future federal funding, the project planning processes shall follow the urban mass transportation administration's requirements as described in "Procedures and Technical Methods for Transit Project Planning", published by the United States department of transportation, urban mass transportation administration, September 1986, or the most recent edition. Nothing in this subsection

p. 3 ESB 5205

shall be construed to preclude detailed evaluation of more than one corridor in the planning process.

3

4

6 7

24

2526

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

35

The department of transportation shall provide system and project planning review and monitoring in cooperation with the expert review panel identified in RCW 81.104.110. In addition, the local transit agency shall maintain a continuous public involvement program and seek involvement of other government agencies.

- 8 **Sec. 2.** RCW 81.104.110 and 2005 c 319 s 136 are each amended to read as follows:
- 10 <u>(1)</u> The legislature recognizes that the planning processes described in RCW 81.104.100 provide a recognized framework for guiding high capacity transportation studies. However, the process cannot guarantee appropriate decisions unless key study assumptions are reasonable.
- 15 <u>(2)</u> To assure appropriate system plan assumptions and to provide 16 for review of system plan results, an expert review panel shall be 17 appointed to provide independent technical review for development of 18 any system plan which:
- 19 <u>(a) Is</u> to be funded in whole or in part by the imposition of any 20 voter-approved local option funding sources enumerated in RCW 21 81.104.140; and
- (b) Includes a rail fixed guideway system component or proposes to
  convert or appropriate existing highway capacity.
  - $((\frac{1}{1}))$  (3) The expert review panel shall consist of five to ten members who are recognized experts in relevant fields, such as transit operations, planning, emerging transportation technologies, engineering, finance, law, the environment, geography, economics, and political science.
  - $((\frac{(2)}{(2)}))$  (4) The expert review panel shall be selected cooperatively by the chairs of the senate and house transportation committees, the secretary of the department of transportation, and the governor to assure a balance of disciplines. In the case of counties adjoining another state or Canadian province the expert review panel membership shall be selected cooperatively with representatives of the adjoining state or Canadian province.
- 36  $((\frac{3}{3}))$  The chair of the expert review panel shall be designated by the appointing authorities.

ESB 5205 p. 4

((4))) <u>(6)</u> The expert review panel shall serve without compensation but shall be reimbursed for expenses according to RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060. Reimbursement shall be paid from within the existing resources of the local authority planning under this chapter.

(((+5))) (7) The panel shall carry out the duties set forth in subsections (((+6))) (8) and ((+7)) (9) of this section until the date on which an election is held to consider the high capacity transportation system and financing plans.

((+6))) (8) The expert panel shall review all reports required in RCW 81.104.100(2) and shall concentrate on service modes and concepts, costs, patronage and financing evaluations.

 $((\frac{1}{2}))$  (9) The expert panel shall provide timely reviews and comments on individual reports and study conclusions to the department of transportation, the regional transportation planning organization, the joint regional policy committee, and the submitting lead transit agency. In the case of counties adjoining another state or Canadian province, the expert review panel shall provide its reviews, comments, and conclusions to the representatives of the adjoining state or Canadian province.

((+8)) (10) The local authority planning under this chapter shall contract for consulting services for expert review panels. The amount of consultant support shall be negotiated with each expert review panel by the local authority and shall be paid from within the local authority's existing resources.

--- END ---

p. 5 ESB 5205