CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5457

62nd Legislature 2011 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate April 22, 2011 YEAS 25 NAYS 21

President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 21, 2011 YEAS 50 NAYS 47

Governor of the State of Washington

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved

FILED

Secretary of State State of Washington

Secretary

CERTIFICATE I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the

Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL** 5457 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5457

AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Passed Legislature - 2011 Regular Session

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2011 Regular Session

By Senate Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators White, Shin, Murray, Kohl-Welles, Harper, Nelson, Keiser, Prentice, Kline, and McAuliffe)

READ FIRST TIME 02/25/11.

AN ACT Relating to providing a congestion reduction charge to fund the operational and capital needs of transit agencies; adding a new section to chapter 82.80 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 46.68 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature recognizes that public 7 transportation provides many benefits to the citizens of the state and the environment, including through public transportation's ability to 8 9 alleviate congestion and offset the burdens placed by general vehicular 10 traffic on the state's transportation infrastructure. In these 11 challenging economic times, many transit agencies find themselves struggling to continue to provide a level of service that reduces 12 13 congestion.

The legislature further recognizes that King county conducted a regional transit task force in 2010 that considered a policy framework for the potential future growth and, if necessary, contraction of King county's transit system. The task force members were selected to represent a broad diversity of interests and perspectives. The task force recommendations, which were unanimously accepted, addressed key

elements, such as the adoption of performance measures, controlling 1 2 operating costs, developing policy guidance for making service and clear and transparent guidelines 3 reductions, for service 4 allocation. As a result of the work done by the task force and King county's commitment to comply with the recommendations, it is the 5 б intent of the legislature that King county be provided the opportunity 7 to impose a temporary congestion reduction charge, which is separate 8 and distinct from the base motor vehicle license fee, that can help 9 address its revenue shortfalls during this economic crisis and allow it 10 to continue reducing congestion and the corresponding burdens placed on 11 the highway system on some of the state's most crowded corridors.

12 The legislature recognizes that the title of Initiative Measure No. 13 1053 states that it applies only to tax and fee increases imposed by state government, and that the text of the initiative requires a two-14 15 thirds majority only for tax increases. The legislature further recognizes that Initiative Measure No. 1053 does not apply to local 16 government. Despite these facts, this act requires a two-thirds 17 majority of the metropolitan King county council in order to implement 18 19 a local option fee, in the form of a congestion reduction charge, to help fund King county metro transit service. Faced with the potential 20 21 loss of hundreds of thousands of hours of vital transit service, it is 22 the intent of the legislature to provide King county with this 23 temporary local option funding mechanism. It is further the intent of 24 the legislature not to expand the parameters of Initiative Measure No. 1053 beyond what the voters intended and thus interfere with local 25 26 control or limit the ability of local governments to provide services 27 to the people of Washington.

28 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 82.80 RCW 29 to read as follows:

30 (1)(a) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, the 31 governing body of a county that has assumed the rights, powers, functions, and obligations of a metropolitan municipal corporation 32 under chapter 36.56 RCW and is operating a public transportation system 33 34 may impose, if approved by a majority of the voters within that county 35 or a two-thirds majority of the governing body, an annual congestion 36 reduction charge of up to twenty dollars per vehicle registered in the 37 boundaries of the county for each vehicle subject to vehicle license

p. 2

1 fees under RCW 46.17.350(1) (a), (c), (d), (e), (g), (h), (j), (n), 2 (o), (p), or (q) and for each vehicle subject to gross weight license 3 fees under RCW 46.17.355 with an unladen weight of six thousand pounds 4 or less.

5 (b) Prior to the imposition of a congestion reduction charge 6 authorized under (a) of this subsection, a governing body must complete 7 a congestion reduction plan indicating the proposed expenditures of the 8 proceeds of the congestion reduction charge.

9 (c) If a governing body that imposes a congestion reduction charge 10 authorized under (a) of this subsection completed a regional transit 11 task force evaluating system improvements and efficiencies within two 12 years prior to the imposition of the charge, the proceeds from the 13 charge must be expended in a manner consistent with the recommendations 14 of the regional transit task force.

(d) A governing body that imposes a congestion reduction charge authorized under (a) of this subsection must complete a report by July 1, 2012, detailing the expenditures of the proceeds of the congestion reduction charge through June 1, 2012.

(e) A governing body that imposes a congestion reduction charge authorized under (a) of this subsection must complete a report by June 1, 2014, detailing the expenditures of the proceeds of the congestion reduction charge.

23 (2) The governing body of a county that has assumed the rights, 24 functions, and obligations of a metropolitan municipal powers, 25 corporation under chapter 36.56 RCW and is operating a public 26 transportation system may not impose a congestion reduction charge 27 authorized under subsection (1)(a) of this section for a passenger-only ferry transportation improvement, unless the charge is first approved 28 29 by a majority of the voters within that county.

30 (3) The governing body of a county that has assumed the rights, 31 powers, functions, and obligations of a metropolitan municipal 32 corporation under chapter 36.56 RCW and is operating a public 33 transportation system shall contract with the department of licensing 34 as provided under section 3 of this act for the collection of the 35 congestion reduction charge.

36 (4) A congestion reduction charge imposed under this section may37 not be assessed until six months after approval.

p. 3

1 (5) A congestion reduction charge imposed under this section 2 applies only for vehicle registration renewals and is effective upon 3 the registration renewal date as provided by the department of 4 licensing.

5 (6) The following vehicles are exempt from the congestion reduction6 charge imposed under this section:

7 (a) Farm tractors or farm vehicles as defined in RCW 46.04.180 and 8 46.04.181;

9 10

(b) Off-road vehicles as defined in RCW 46.04.365;(c) Nonhighway vehicles as defined in RCW 46.09.310;

11 (d) Vehicles registered under chapter 46.87 RCW and the 12 international registration plan; and

12 13

(e) Snowmobiles as defined in RCW 46.04.546.

14 (7) The authority to impose a congestion reduction charge 15 authorized in subsection (1)(a) of this section expires with vehicle 16 registrations that expire two years after the imposition of the charge 17 or no later than June 30, 2014, whichever comes first.

18 (8) A congestion reduction charge authorized under subsection 19 (1)(a) of this section may only be imposed after June 30, 2014, if 20 approved by a majority of the voters within a county that has assumed 21 the rights, powers, functions, and obligations of a metropolitan 22 municipal corporation under chapter 36.56 RCW and is operating a public 23 transportation system.

24 (9) This section expires December 31, 2014.

25 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 46.68 RCW 26 to read as follows:

Whenever the department enters into a contract with the governing body of a county that has assumed the rights, powers, functions, and obligations of a metropolitan municipal corporation under chapter 36.56 RCW and is operating a public transportation system for the collection of congestion reduction charges authorized under section 2 of this act:

(1) The contract must require that the governing body provide any information specified by the department to identify the vehicle owners who owe the congestion reduction charges, and must specify that it is the responsibility of the governing body to ensure that the congestion reduction charges are appropriately applied;

p. 4

1 (2) The department is not responsible for the collection of 2 congestion reduction charges until a date agreed to by both parties as 3 specified in the contract;

4 (3) The department shall deduct a percentage amount as provided in
5 the contract, not to exceed three percent of the charges collected,
6 necessary to reimburse the department for the costs incurred for the
7 collection of the congestion reduction charges; and

8 (4) The department shall remit remaining proceeds to the custody of 9 the state treasurer. The state treasurer shall distribute the proceeds 10 to the governing body on a monthly basis.

--- END ---