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By Representative Steele

**NOT ADOPTED 03/07/2017**

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

"**Sec.**  RCW 39.10.230 and 2013 c 222 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:

The board has the following powers and duties:

(1) Develop and recommend to the legislature policies to further enhance the quality, efficiency, and accountability of capital construction projects through the use of traditional and alternative delivery methods in Washington, and make recommendations regarding expansion, continuation, elimination, or modification of the alternative public works contracting methods;

(2) Evaluate the use of existing contracting procedures and the potential future use of other alternative contracting procedures including competitive negotiation contracts;

(3) Submit recommendations to the appropriate committees of the legislature evaluating alternative contracting procedures that are not authorized under this chapter;

(4) Appoint members of committees; and

(5) Develop and administer questionnaires designed to provide quantitative and qualitative data on alternative public works contracting procedures on which evaluations are based.

The capital projects advisory review board is directed to review current statutes regarding life‑cycle cost analysis and energy efficiency as related to the design‑build procurement method performed under this chapter ((~~39.10 RCW~~)). Capital projects advisory review board shall report to the appropriate committees of the legislature by December 31, 2013, with recommendations for statutory changes that promote energy efficiency and reduce the total cost to construct, operate and maintain public buildings. Recommendation must include provisions for postoccupancy validation of estimated energy efficiency measures, and operating and maintenance cost estimates. Life‑cycle estimates of energy use must include estimates of energy consumptions for materials used in construction.

The capital projects advisory review board is directed to review current statutes relating to retainage statutes within chapter 60.28 RCW. The capital projects advisory review board shall report to the appropriate committees of the legislature by December 31, 2017, with recommendations. The recommendations should be based on identifying the detriments and benefits of retainage for all parties to a public construction contract. Further, the recommendations should identify alternatives that will minimize the detrimental impact of retainage."

Correct the title.

EFFECT: Strikes requirement that the contractor provide a bond for retainage and adds a requirement for the Capital Projects Review Board to study and report the detriments and benefits of retainage on construction contracts.