

# SENATE BILL REPORT

## SSB 6692

---

As Passed Senate, February 8, 1996

**Title:** An act relating to the state weed board.

**Brief Description:** Providing for state and federal cooperation for weed control on federal land.

**Sponsors:** Senate Committee on Agriculture & Agricultural Trade & Development (originally sponsored by Senators Rasmussen, Morton and Hargrove).

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:** Agriculture & Agricultural Trade & Development: 1/30/96, 2/1/96 [DPS].

Passed Senate, 2/8/96, 49-0.

---

### SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & AGRICULTURAL TRADE & DEVELOPMENT

**Majority Report:** That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6692 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Rasmussen, Chair; Loveland, Vice Chair; A. Anderson, Bauer, Morton, Newhouse and Snyder.

**Staff:** Vic Moon (786-7469)

**Background:** There are substantial weed problems on federal lands. Weeds eventually spread from federal lands to state and private lands.

**Summary of Bill:** The state Weed Board must work with various federal agencies to coordinate state and federal weed control and encourage federal agencies to devote more time and resources to weed control. The board assists federal land management agencies by supporting increased funding.

Local weed boards must work with various land management agencies to identify emergent weed problems, outline and plan necessary weed control actions, develop coordinated weed control programs and to notify local federal agencies of weed problems on their lands.

Local and county weed boards are authorized to enter federal lands to control weeds. The local weed board may bill the federal land management agency for the cost of weed control if the federal agency fails to control weeds on federal lands. If the federal agency refuses to pay, the boards may use their funds if available from the Department of Agriculture. Local weed boards must consult with state agencies, and the Attorney General's Office and county prosecuting attorneys must assist the state and local boards, if needed.

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Not requested.

**Effective Date:** Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

**Testimony For:** The state and local weed boards need greater authority in dealing with the federal government on weed control projects. The federal agencies are not controlling weeds well enough.

**Testimony Against:** None.

**Testified:** PRO: Kent Lebsac, Washington Cattlemen; Terry Hunt, Washington Grange; Laurie Penders, State Weed Board; K.O. Rosenberg, NE Counties.

**House Amendment(s):** The House striking amendment makes technical changes to clarify the legislation.