
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2279

State of Washington

54th Legislature

1996 Regular Session

By House Committee on Government Operations (originally sponsored by Representatives Hargrove, Chappell, Goldsmith, Hymes, McMahan, Pelesky and Johnson)

Read first time 02/02/96.

1 AN ACT Relating to review of growth management decisions; and
2 amending RCW 36.70A.300 and 36.70A.330.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.300 and 1995 c 347 s 110 are each amended to
5 read as follows:

6 (1) The board shall issue a final order within one hundred eighty
7 days of receipt of the petition for review, or, when multiple petitions
8 are filed, within one hundred eighty days of receipt of the last
9 petition that is consolidated. Such a final order shall be based
10 exclusively on whether or not a state agency, county, or city is in
11 compliance with the requirements of this chapter, chapter 90.58 RCW as
12 it relates to adoption or amendment of shoreline master programs, or
13 chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to plans, development regulations, and
14 amendments thereto, adopted under RCW 36.70A.040 or chapter 90.58 RCW.
15 In the final order, the board shall either: (a) Find that the state
16 agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this
17 chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment
18 of shoreline master programs; or (b) find that the state agency,
19 county, or city is not in compliance with the requirements of this

1 chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment
2 of shoreline master programs, in which case the board shall remand the
3 matter to the affected state agency, county, or city and specify a
4 reasonable time not in excess of one hundred eighty days within which
5 the state agency, county, or city shall comply with the requirements of
6 this chapter.

7 (2) A finding of noncompliance and an order of remand shall not
8 affect the validity of comprehensive plans and development regulations
9 during the period of remand(~~(, unless the board's final order also:~~

10 ~~(a) Includes a determination, supported by findings of fact and~~
11 ~~conclusions of law, that the continued validity of the plan or~~
12 ~~regulation would substantially interfere with the fulfillment of the~~
13 ~~goals of this chapter; and~~

14 ~~(b) Specifies the particular part or parts of the plan or~~
15 ~~regulation that are determined to be invalid, and the reasons for their~~
16 ~~invalidity.~~

17 ~~(3) A determination of invalidity shall:~~

18 ~~(a) Be prospective in effect and shall not extinguish rights that~~
19 ~~vested under state or local law before the date of the board's order;~~
20 ~~and~~

21 ~~(b) Subject any development application that would otherwise vest~~
22 ~~after the date of the board's order to the local ordinance or~~
23 ~~resolution that both is enacted in response to the order of remand and~~
24 ~~determined by the board pursuant to RCW 36.70A.330 to comply with the~~
25 ~~requirements of this chapter.~~

26 ~~(4))~~. If the ordinance that adopts a plan or development
27 regulation under this chapter includes a savings clause intended to
28 revive prior policies or regulations in the event the new plan or
29 regulations are determined (~~(to be invalid, the board shall determine~~
30 ~~under subsection (2) of this section whether the prior policies or~~
31 ~~regulations are valid during the period of remand)) not to be in
32 compliance with this chapter, the prior policies or regulations shall
33 remain in effect. Otherwise, the comprehensive plan or development
34 regulations determined by the board not to be in compliance shall
35 remain in effect and all development permits shall vest under such
36 comprehensive plan or development regulations until the board adopts a
37 final order determining that the new comprehensive plan or development
38 regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter.~~

1 ~~((5))~~ (3) Any party aggrieved by a final decision of the hearings
2 board may appeal the decision to superior court as provided in RCW
3 34.05.514 or 36.01.050 within thirty days of the final order of the
4 board.

5 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70A.330 and 1995 c 347 s 112 are each amended to
6 read as follows:

7 (1) After the time set for complying with the requirements of this
8 chapter under RCW 36.70A.300(1)(b) has expired, ~~((or at an earlier time~~
9 ~~upon the motion of a county or city subject to a determination of~~
10 ~~invalidity under RCW 36.70A.300,))~~ the board shall set a hearing for
11 the purpose of determining whether the state agency, county, or city is
12 in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

13 (2) The board shall conduct a hearing and issue a finding of
14 compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter. A
15 person with standing to challenge the legislation enacted in response
16 to the board's final order may participate in the hearing along with
17 the petitioner and the state agency, city, or county. A hearing under
18 this subsection shall be given the highest priority of business to be
19 conducted by the board, and a finding shall be issued within forty-five
20 days of the filing of the motion under subsection (1) of this section
21 with the board.

22 (3) If the board finds that the state agency, county, or city is
23 not in compliance, the board shall transmit its finding to the
24 governor. The board may recommend to the governor that the sanctions
25 authorized by this chapter be imposed.

26 ~~((4) The board shall also reconsider its final order and decide:~~

27 ~~(a) If a determination of invalidity has been made, whether such a~~
28 ~~determination should be rescinded or modified under the standards in~~
29 ~~RCW 36.70A.300(2); or~~

30 ~~(b) If no determination of invalidity has been made, whether one~~
31 ~~now should be made under the standards in RCW 36.70A.300(2).~~

32 ~~The board shall schedule additional hearings as appropriate~~
33 ~~pursuant to subsections (1) and (2) of this section.)~~

--- END ---