H-4517.1	

HOUSE BILL 2875

State of Washington

54th Legislature

1996 Regular Session

By Representative Chandler

Read first time 01/23/96. Referred to Committee on Agriculture & Ecology.

- AN ACT Relating to water quality; amending RCW 90.48.465; amending
- 2 1995 2nd sp.s. c 18 s 303 (uncodified); adding new sections to chapter
- 3 90.48 RCW; creating a new section; and making appropriations.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature finds the state's water
- 6 policy as enunciated in RCW 90.48.010 calls for high levels of water
- 7 quality in a manner that is consistent with public health, fish and
- 8 wildlife, and the industrial development of the state. The legislature
- 9 also finds that the department has not equitably allocated the costs of
- 10 water pollution control between point and nonpoint dischargers, nor has
- 11 it equitably allocated the costs of administering the wastewater
- 12 discharge permit program among permittees.
- 13 The legislature finds that the department's wastewater discharge
- 14 permit program is administered such that water quality is pursued
- 15 without adequate consideration of the state's industrial development.
- 16 The legislature therefore declares that the department shall meet the
- 17 full requirements of RCW 90.48.010 by expeditiously implementing the
- 18 requirements under section 2 of this act.

p. 1 HB 2875

- 1 (2) The legislature finds that the department has created a 2 wastewater permit program partnership advisory group to assist the 3 department in addressing issues relating to the state's wastewater 4 discharge permit program. The legislature finds that this group has 5 provided valuable recommendations to the department and that it is 6 appropriate for the advisory group to select a consultant to make 7 recommendations regarding a wastewater discharge permit fee schedule.
- 8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW 9 to read as follows:
- In administering the wastewater discharge permit program, the department shall:
 - (1) Identify industry-wide or basin-wide problems and needs;

12

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

36

- 13 (2) Establish permit requirements that fairly allocate the costs of water quality pollution control among point and nonpoint dischargers;
- 15 (3) Develop permit fees using a work load model that allocates the 16 department's cost of administering a wastewater discharge permit based 17 on the amount of time that the department spends on the permit;
 - (4) Streamline permit requirements for currently permitted small volume dischargers by expeditiously establishing a de minimis discharger category. The department shall seek to minimize the economic costs borne by dischargers within this category. The department may use the provisions under RCW 34.05.313 to expedite the creation and regulation of this discharger category;
 - (5) Reduce the overall number and scope of special studies required of a permitted discharger. In meeting this requirement, the department shall distinguish between site-specific water quality problems and area-wide or industry-wide water quality problems. In instances where the department has identified an area-wide or industry-wide water quality problem, the department shall, to the maximum extent possible, establish permit requirements for special studies in a manner that avoids duplication of data; and
- 32 (6) Summarize for each permit that establishes a numeric effluent 33 limit:
- 34 (a) The permittee's pollution loading into a basin as a percentage 35 of all other point and nonpoint dischargers; and
 - (b) The need for any significant new permit conditions.

HB 2875 p. 2

- 1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 90.48 RCW
- 2 to read as follows:
- 3 Beginning with the 1997 fiscal year, except as provided in section
- 4 6(2), chapter . . ., Laws of 1996 (section 6(2) of this act), the
- 5 department may not spend any funds from the water quality permit
- 6 account on:
- 7 (1) The development of a sediments program;
- 8 (2) Appeals;
- 9 (3) Rule development; and
- 10 (4) Training of staff who are not included in the department's work
- 11 load model created pursuant to section 2 of this act.
- 12 **Sec. 4.** RCW 90.48.465 and 1992 c 174 s 17 are each amended to read
- 13 as follows:
- 14 (1) The department shall establish annual fees to collect expenses
- 15 for issuing and administering each class of permits under RCW
- 16 90.48.160, 90.48.162, 90.48.260, and 70.95J.020 through 70.95J.090. An
- 17 initial fee schedule shall be established by rule within one year of
- 18 March 1, 1989, and thereafter the fee schedule shall be adjusted no
- 19 more often than once every two years. This fee schedule shall apply to
- 20 all permits, regardless of date of issuance, and fees shall be assessed
- 21 prospectively. All fees charged shall be based on factors relating to
- 22 the complexity of permit issuance and compliance and may be based on
- 23 pollutant loading and toxicity and be designed to encourage recycling
- 24 and the reduction of the quantity of pollutants. Fees shall be
- 25 established in amounts to fully recover and not to exceed expenses
- 26 incurred by the department in processing permit applications and
- 27 modifications, monitoring and evaluating compliance with permits,
- 28 conducting inspections, securing laboratory analysis of samples taken
- 29 during inspections, reviewing plans and documents directly related to
- 30 operations of permittees, overseeing performance of delegated
- 31 pretreatment programs, and supporting the overhead expenses that are
- 32 directly related to these activities. The following overhead expenses
- 33 shall not be supported through permit fees: Appeals, rule development,
- 34 training of staff who are not included in the work load model; and
- 35 <u>development of a sediments program.</u>
- 36 (2) The annual fee paid by a municipality, as defined in 33 U.S.C.
- 37 Sec. 1362, for all domestic wastewater facility permits issued under
- 38 RCW 90.48.162, 90.48.260, and 70.95J.020 through 70.95J.090 shall not

p. 3 HB 2875

- 1 exceed the total of a maximum of fifteen cents per month per residence
- 2 or residential equivalent contributing to the municipality's wastewater
- 3 system. The department shall adopt by rule a schedule of credits for
- 4 any municipality engaging in a comprehensive monitoring program beyond
- 5 the requirements imposed by the department, with the credits available
- 6 for five years from March 1, 1989, and with the total amount of all
- 7 credits not to exceed fifty thousand dollars in the five-year period.
- 8 (3) The department shall ensure that indirect dischargers do not
- 9 pay twice for the administrative expense of a permit. Accordingly,
- 10 administrative expenses for permits issued by a municipality under RCW
- 11 90.48.165 are not recoverable by the department.
- 12 (4) In establishing fees, the department shall consider the
- 13 economic impact of fees on small dischargers and the economic impact of
- 14 fees on public entities required to obtain permits for storm water
- 15 runoff and shall provide appropriate adjustments.
- 16 (5) All fees collected under this section shall be deposited in the
- 17 water quality permit account hereby created in the state treasury.
- 18 Moneys in the account may be appropriated only for purposes of
- 19 administering permits under RCW 90.48.160, 90.48.162, 90.48.260, and
- 20 70.95J.020 through 70.95J.090.
- 21 (6) The department shall submit an annual report to the legislature
- 22 showing detailed information on fees collected, actual expenses
- 23 incurred, and anticipated expenses for the current and following fiscal
- 24 years.
- 25 (7) The legislative budget committee in 1993 shall review the fees
- 26 established under this section and report its findings to the
- 27 legislature in January 1994.
- 28 **Sec. 5.** 1995 2nd sp.s. c 18 s 303 (uncodified) is amended to read
- 29 as follows:
- 30 FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
- 31 General Fund--State Appropriation (FY 1996) . . . \$ 22,125,000
- 32 General Fund--State Appropriation (FY 1997) . . . \$ 20,639,000
- 33 General Fund--Federal Appropriation \$ 42,131,000
- 34 General Fund--Private/Local Appropriation . . . \$ 1,385,000
- 35 Special Grass Seed Burning Research Account
- 37 Reclamation Revolving Account Appropriation . . . \$ 2,664,000
- 38 Flood Control Assistance Account Appropriation . \$ 4,000,000

HB 2875 p. 4

1	State Emergency Water Projects Revolving Account	
2	Appropriation \$	312,000
3	Waste Reduction, Recycling, and Litter Control	
4	Account Appropriation \$	5,461,000
5	State and Local Improvements Revolving Account	
6	Waste Disposal Appropriation \$	1,000,000
7	State and Local Improvements Revolving Account	
8	Water Supply Facilities Appropriation \$	1,344,000
9	Basic Data Account Appropriation \$	182,000
10	Vehicle Tire Recycling Account Appropriation \$	3,283,000
11	Water Quality Account Appropriation \$	3,420,000
12	Worker and Community Right to Know Account	
13	Appropriation	408,000
14	State Toxics Control Account Appropriation \$	49,924,000
15	Local Toxics Control Account Appropriation \$	3,342,000
16	((Water Quality Permit Account Appropriation \$	19,600,000))
17	Underground Storage Tank Account	
18	Appropriation	2,336,000
19	Solid Waste Management Account Appropriation \$	3,631,000
20	Hazardous Waste Assistance Account	
21	Appropriation	3,476,000
22	Air Pollution Control Account Appropriation \$	13,458,000
23	Oil Spill Administration Account Appropriation . \$	2,939,000
24	Water Right Permit Processing Account	
25	Appropriation	500,000
26	Wood Stove Education Account Appropriation \$	1,251,000
27	Air Operating Permit Account Appropriation \$	4,548,000
28	Freshwater Aquatic Weeds Account Appropriation . \$	1,187,000
29	Oil Spill Response Account Appropriation \$	7,060,000
30	Metals Mining Account Appropriation \$	300,000
31	Water Pollution Control Revolving AccountState	
32	Appropriation \$	165,000
33	Water Pollution Control Revolving AccountFederal	
34	Appropriation \$	1,019,000
35	TOTAL APPROPRIATION \$	((223,132,000))
36		203,532,000
37	The appropriations in this section are subject	to the following
38	conditions and limitations:	co che rorrowing
50	CONGLETONS AND THULCACTORS.	

p. 5 HB 2875

- (1) \$6,324,000 of the general fund--state appropriation is provided 1 2 solely for the implementation of the Puget Sound water quality management plan. In addition, \$394,000 of the general fund--federal 3 4 appropriation, \$819,000 of the state toxics control 5 appropriation, \$3,591,000 of the water quality permit fee account appropriation, \$883,000 of the water quality account appropriation, and 6 7 \$2,715,000 of the oil spill administration account appropriation may be used for the implementation of the Puget Sound water quality management 8 9 plan.
- 10 $((\frac{3}{1}))$ \$150,000 of the state toxics control account appropriation and \$150,000 of the local toxics control account 11 appropriation are provided solely for implementing Engrossed Substitute 12 13 House Bill No. 1810 (hazardous substance cleanup). If the bill is not enacted by June 30, 1995, the amount provided in this subsection shall 14 15 lapse.
 - ((\(\frac{4+}{4+}\)) (3) \$581,000 of the general fund--state appropriation, \$170,000 of the air operating permit account appropriation, ((\(\frac{\$80,000}{\$05,000}\) of the water quality permit account appropriation,)) and \$63,000 of the state toxics control account appropriation are provided solely for implementing Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1010 (regulatory reform). If the bill is not enacted by June 30, 1995, the amount provided in this subsection shall lapse.
- 23 (((+5))) (4) \$2,000,000 of the state toxics control account 24 appropriation is provided solely for the following purposes:
- 25 (a) To conduct remedial actions for sites for which there are no 26 potentially liable persons or for which potentially liable persons 27 cannot be found;
- (b) To provide funding to assist potentially liable persons under RCW 70.105D.070(2)(d)(xi) to pay for the cost of the remedial actions; and
- 31 (c) To conduct remedial actions for sites for which potentially 32 liable persons have refused to comply with the orders issued by the 33 department under RCW 70.105D.030 requiring the persons to provide the 34 remedial action.
- (((+6))) (5) \$250,000 of the flood control assistance account is provided solely for a grant or contract to the lead local entity for technical analysis and coordination with the Army Corps of Engineers and local agencies to address the breach in the south jetty at the entrance of Grays Harbor.

HB 2875 p. 6

16

17

18

19

20

2122

(((7))) (6) \$70,000 of the general fund--state appropriation, 2 \$90,000 of the state toxics control account appropriation, and \$55,000 3 of the air pollution control account appropriation are provided solely 4 to implement Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1724 (growth 5 management). If the bill is not enacted by June 30, 1995, the amounts 6 provided in this subsection shall lapse.

7 (((8))) (7) If Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1125 (dam safety 8 inspections), or substantially similar legislation, is not enacted by 9 June 30, 1995, then the department shall not expend any funds 10 appropriated in this section for any regulatory activity authorized under RCW 90.03.350 with respect to hydroelectric facilities which 11 require a license under the federal power act, 16 U.S.C.((S.)) Sec. 12 13 If Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 1125, or 791a et seg. substantially similar legislation, is enacted by June 30, 1995, then 14 15 the department may apply all available funds appropriated under this 16 section for regulatory activity authorized under RCW 90.03.350 for the purposes of inspecting and regulating the safety of dams under the 17 exclusive jurisdiction of the state. 18

 $((\frac{(9)}{)})$ (8) \$425,000 of the general fund--state appropriation and \$525,000 of the general fund--federal appropriation are provided solely for the Padilla Bay national estuarine research reserve and interpretive center.

2324

25

26

27

28

2930

31

3233

 $((\frac{11}{11}))$ (9) The water right permit processing account is hereby created in the state treasury. Moneys in the account may be spent only after appropriation. Expenditures from the account may be used solely for water right permit processing, regional water planning, and implementation of regional water plans.

(((12))) (10) \$1,298,000 of the general fund--state appropriation, \$188,000 of the general fund--federal appropriation, and \$883,000 of the water quality account appropriation are provided solely to coordinate and implement the activities required by the Puget Sound water quality management plan and to perform the powers and duties under chapter 90.70 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. (1) The sum of fourteen million seven hundred thousand dollars, or as much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1997, from the water quality permit account to the department of ecology. Of this amount, fifty thousand dollars is provided solely for the department and the

p. 7 HB 2875

- 1 wastewater permit program partnership advisory group to hire a 2 consultant.
- 3 (2) The sum of eighty thousand dollars, or as much thereof as may 4 be necessary, is appropriated for the biennium ending June 30, 1997, 5 from the water quality permit account to implement chapter 403, Laws of 6 1995.
- 7 (3) In conjunction with the department and the advisory group, the 8 consultant shall develop a fee schedule, based on a work load model, 9 that meets the state's water quality goals and implements section 2 of 10 this act. The consultant shall also make recommendations on 11 appropriate fee levels for the 1997-99 biennium that are consistent 12 with the policy provisions of this act.
- 13 (4) In making the appropriations in this section, it is the intent of the legislature that the department of ecology reduce administrative 14 15 and program support costs to the water quality permit account program by at least two million four hundred ten thousand dollars in fiscal 16 17 year 1997 or forty-five percent compared to fiscal year 1996, whichever In addition to these support savings, it is also the 18 is greater. 19 intent of the legislature that direct permit-related work load savings 20 be achieved by the department through implementation of section 2 of this act. 21

--- END ---

HB 2875 p. 8