CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2075

54th Legislature 1996 Regular Session

Passed by the House March 4, 1996 Yeas 95 Nays 0

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate February 28, 1996 Yeas 48 Nays 0

CERTIFICATE

I, Timothy A. Martin, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2075** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

President of the Senate

Chief Clerk

Approved

FILED

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2075

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 1996 Regular Session

State of Washington 54th Legislature 1996 Regular Session

By House Committee on Law & Justice (originally sponsored by Representatives Costa, Lambert, Veloria, Ballasiotes, Scott, Chappell, Patterson, Kessler, H. Sommers, Appelwick, Romero, Morris and Tokuda)

Read first time 02-02-96.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the finding of aggravating circumstances for the
- 2 commission of violent offenses against pregnant women; amending RCW
- 3 9.94A.390; and declaring an emergency.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 9.94A.390 and 1995 c 316 s 2 are each amended to read 6 as follows:
- 7 If the sentencing court finds that an exceptional sentence outside
- 8 the standard range should be imposed in accordance with RCW
- 9 9.94A.120(2), the sentence is subject to review only as provided for in
- 10 RCW 9.94A.210(4).
- 11 The following are illustrative factors which the court may consider
- 12 in the exercise of its discretion to impose an exceptional sentence.
- 13 The following are illustrative only and are not intended to be
- 14 exclusive reasons for exceptional sentences.
- 15 (1) Mitigating Circumstances
- 16 (a) To a significant degree, the victim was an initiator, willing
- 17 participant, aggressor, or provoker of the incident.

- 1 (b) Before detection, the defendant compensated, or made a good 2 faith effort to compensate, the victim of the criminal conduct for any 3 damage or injury sustained.
- 4 (c) The defendant committed the crime under duress, coercion, 5 threat, or compulsion insufficient to constitute a complete defense but 6 which significantly affected his or her conduct.
- 7 (d) The defendant, with no apparent predisposition to do so, was 8 induced by others to participate in the crime.
- 9 (e) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the wrongfulness of his 10 or her conduct or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of 11 the law, was significantly impaired (voluntary use of drugs or alcohol 12 is excluded).
- (f) The offense was principally accomplished by another person and the defendant manifested extreme caution or sincere concern for the safety or well-being of the victim.
- 16 (g) The operation of the multiple offense policy of RCW 9.94A.400 17 results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly excessive in light of 18 the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.
- 19 (h) The defendant or the defendant's children suffered a continuing 20 pattern of physical or sexual abuse by the victim of the offense and 21 the offense is a response to that abuse.
 - (2) Aggravating Circumstances
- 23 (a) The defendant's conduct during the commission of the current 24 offense manifested deliberate cruelty to the victim.
- (b) The defendant knew or should have known that the victim of the current offense was particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance due to extreme youth, advanced age, disability, or ill health.
- 28 (c) The current offense was a violent offense, and the defendant 29 knew that the victim of the current offense was pregnant.
- 30 (d) The current offense was a major economic offense or series of 31 offenses, so identified by a consideration of any of the following 32 factors:
- 33 (i) The current offense involved multiple victims or multiple 34 incidents per victim;
- (ii) The current offense involved attempted or actual monetary loss substantially greater than typical for the offense;
- (iii) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication or planning or occurred over a lengthy period of time; or

22

- 1 (iv) The defendant used his or her position of trust, confidence, 2 or fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current 3 offense.
- 4 ((\(\frac{(d)}{d}\)) (e) The current offense was a major violation of the
 5 Uniform Controlled Substances Act, chapter 69.50 RCW (VUCSA), related
 6 to trafficking in controlled substances, which was more onerous than
 7 the typical offense of its statutory definition: The presence of ANY
 8 of the following may identify a current offense as a major VUCSA:
- 9 (i) The current offense involved at least three separate 10 transactions in which controlled substances were sold, transferred, or 11 possessed with intent to do so;
- (ii) The current offense involved an attempted or actual sale or transfer of controlled substances in quantities substantially larger than for personal use;
- 15 (iii) The current offense involved the manufacture of controlled 16 substances for use by other parties;
- 17 (iv) The circumstances of the current offense reveal the offender 18 to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy;
- (v) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication or planning or occurred over a lengthy period of time or involved a broad geographic area of disbursement; or
- (vi) The offender used his or her position or status to facilitate the commission of the current offense, including positions of trust, confidence or fiduciary responsibility (e.g., pharmacist, physician, or other medical professional).
- 26 $((\frac{(e)}{(e)}))$ (f) The current offense included a finding of sexual 27 motivation pursuant to RCW 9.94A.127.
- $((\frac{f}{f}))$ (g) The offense was part of an ongoing pattern of sexual abuse of the same victim under the age of eighteen years manifested by multiple incidents over a prolonged period of time.
- (((g))) <u>(h)</u> The operation of the multiple offense policy of RCW 9.94A.400 results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient in light of the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.
- ((\(\frac{(+h)}{h}\))) (i) The defendant's prior unscored misdemeanor or prior unscored foreign criminal history results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient in light of the purpose of this chapter as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and shall take effect immediately.

--- END ---