## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

## SENATE BILL 5275

54th Legislature 1995 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate April 17, 1995 YEAS 43 NAYS 0

## President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 5, 1995 YEAS 95 NAYS 1

### Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Marty Brown, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SENATE BILL 5275** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

Secretary

FILED

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

# SENATE BILL 5275

### AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 1995 Regular Session

State of Washington54th Legislature1995 Regular SessionBy Senators Haugen, McCaslin and Winsley

Read first time 01/18/95. Referred to Committee on Government Operations.

AN ACT Relating to consolidation of cities and towns; amending RCW 1 35.10.460, 35.10.470, 35.10.480, 35.10.490, 35.21.010, and 35.10.420; 3 and adding a new section to chapter 35.10 RCW.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 Sec. 1. RCW 35.10.460 and 1985 c 281 s 9 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

7 ((Ballot titles on the questions shall be prepared as provided in RCW 35A.29.120.)) If a proposal for assumption of indebtedness is to 8 9 be submitted to the voters of a city in which the indebtedness did not 10 originate, the proposal shall be separately stated and the ballots shall contain, as a separate proposition to be voted on, the words "For 11 12 Assumption of Indebtedness to be paid by the levy of annual property taxes in excess of regular property taxes" and "Against Assumption of 13 14 Indebtedness to be paid by the levy of annual property taxes in excess of reqular property taxes or words equivalent thereto. 15 If the 16 question of the form or plan of government is to be submitted to the voters, the question shall be separately stated and the ballots shall 17 contain, as a separate proposition to be voted on, the option of a 18 19 voter to select one of the three forms or plans of government. If the guestion of the name of the proposed consolidated city is to be 20

submitted to the voters, the question shall be separately stated and the ballots shall contain, as a separate proposition to be voted on, the option of a voter to select one of the names of the proposed consolidated city.

5 Sec. 2. RCW 35.10.470 and 1985 c 281 s 10 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

7 The county canvassing board in each county involved shall canvass the returns in each election. The votes cast in each of such cities 8 shall be canvassed separately, and the statement shall show the whole 9 number of votes cast, the number of votes cast in each city for 10 11 consolidation, and the number of votes cast in each city against such 12 consolidation. If a proposal for assumption or indebtedness was voted upon in a city in which the indebtedness did not originate, the 13 14 statement shall show the number of votes cast in such a city for 15 assumption of indebtedness and the number of votes cast against assumption of indebtedness. If a question of the form or plan of 16 government was voted upon, the statement shall show the number of votes 17 18 cast in each city for each of the optional forms or plans of 19 government. If a name for the proposed consolidated city was voted upon, the statement shall show the number of votes cast in each city 20 for each optional name. A certified copy of such statement shall be 21 22 filed with the legislative body of each of the cities proposed to be 23 consolidated.

If it appears from such statement of canvass that a majority of the votes cast in each of the cities were in favor of consolidation, the consolidation shall be authorized and shall be effective when the newly elected legislative body members assume office, as provided in RCW 35.10.480.

29 If a question of the form or plan of government was voted upon, 30 that form or plan receiving the greatest combined number of votes shall become the form or plan of government for the consolidated city. 31 Ιf 32 two or three of the forms or plans of government received the same highest number of votes, the form or plan of government shall be chosen 33 34 by lot between those receiving the same highest number, where the mayor of the largest of the cities proposed to be consolidated draws the lot 35 at a public meeting. 36

If a proposition to assume indebtedness was submitted to voters of a city in which the indebtedness did not originate, the proposition

shall be deemed approved if approved by a majority of at least three-1 fifths of the voters of the city, and the number of persons voting on 2 the proposition constitutes not less than forty percent of the number 3 4 of votes cast in the city at the last preceding general election. Approval of the proposition authorizes annual property taxes to be 5 levied on the property within the city in which the indebtedness did 6 not originate that are in excess of regular property taxes. However, 7 8 if the general indebtedness in question was incurred by action of a 9 city legislative body, a proposition for assuming the indebtedness need 10 only be approved by a simple majority vote of the voters of the city in which such indebtedness did not originate. 11

12 If a question of the name of the proposed consolidated city was 13 voted upon, that name receiving the greatest combined number of votes 14 shall become the name of the consolidated city. If two proposed names 15 receive the same number of votes, the name shall be chosen by lot, 16 where the mayor of the largest of the cities proposed to be 17 consolidated draws the lot at a public meeting.

18 Sec. 3. RCW 35.10.480 and 1985 c 281 s 11 are each amended to read 19 as follows:

If the voters of each of the cities proposed to consolidate approve 20 the consolidation, elections to nominate and elect the elected 21 officials of the consolidated city shall be held at times specified in 22 23 RCW 35A.02.050. If the joint resolution or the petitions prescribe that councilmembers of the consolidated city shall be elected from 24 25 wards, then the councilmembers shall be elected from wards under RCW <u>35A.12.180.</u> Terms shall be established as if the city is initially 26 27 incorporating.

The newly elected officials shall take office immediately upon their qualification. The effective date of the consolidation shall be when a majority of the newly elected members of the legislative body assume office. The clerk of the newly consolidated city shall transmit a duly certified copy of an abstract of the votes to authorize the consolidation and of the election of the newly elected city officials to the secretary of state and the office of financial management.

35 **Sec. 4.** RCW 35.10.490 and 1985 c 281 s 12 are each amended to read 36 as follows:

p. 3

A joint resolution or the petitions may prescribe the name of the 1 proposed consolidated city or may provide that a ballot proposition to 2 3 determine the name of the proposed consolidated city be submitted to the voters of the cities proposed to be consolidated. If two 4 alternative names are submitted, the name receiving the simple majority 5 vote of the voters voting on the question shall become the name of the 6 7 consolidated city. If the name for the proposed consolidated city is not prescribed by the joint resolution or petition, or a proposition on 8 9 the name is not submitted to the voters of the cities proposed to be 10 consolidated, then the newly consolidated city shall be known as the city of . . . . . (listing the names of the cities that were 11 consolidated in alphabetical order). The legislative body of the newly 12 13 consolidated city may present another name or two names for the newly consolidated city to the city voters for their approval or rejection at 14 15 the next municipal general election held after the effective date of 16 the consolidation. If only one alternative name is submitted, this 17 alternative name shall become the name of the consolidated city if approved by a simple majority vote of the voters voting on the 18 19 question. If two alternative names are submitted, the name receiving 20 the simple majority vote of the voters voting on the question shall become the name of the consolidated city. 21

22 **Sec. 5.** RCW 35.21.010 and 1991 c 363 s 37 are each amended to read 23 as follows:

24 (1) Municipal corporations now or hereafter organized are bodies politic and corporate under the name of the city of . . . . . , or the 25 26 town of . . . . . , as the case may be, and as such may sue and be 27 sued, contract or be contracted with, acquire, hold, possess and dispose of property, subject to the restrictions contained in other 28 29 chapters of this title, having a common seal, and change or alter the 30 same at pleasure, and exercise such other powers, and have such other privileges as are conferred by this title((: PROVIDED, That)). 31 32 However, not more than two square miles in area shall be included 33 within the corporate limits of a town having a population of fifteen hundred or less, or located in a county with a population of one 34 million or more, and not more than three square miles in area shall be 35 36 included within the corporate limits of a town having a population of 37 more than fifteen hundred in a county with a population of less than 38 one million, nor shall more than twenty acres of unplatted land

1 belonging to any one person be taken within the corporate limits of a
2 town without the consent of the owner of such unplatted land((+
3 PROVIDED FURTHER, That)).

4 (2) Notwithstanding subsections (1) and (3) of this section, a town
5 located in three or more counties is excluded from a limitation in
6 square mileage.

7 <u>(3) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section</u>, the 8 original incorporation of a town shall be limited to an area of not 9 more than one square mile and a population as prescribed in RCW 10 35.01.040.

11 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 35.10 RCW 12 to read as follows:

Unless a commission form of government is prescribed or submitted 13 14 to the voters under RCW 35.10.430, a joint resolution or petition may 15 prescribe that wards be used to elect the councilmembers of the consolidated city. The joint resolution or petition must contain a map 16 of the proposed consolidated city that clearly delineates the 17 18 boundaries of each ward. Each ward in the proposed consolidated city shall contain approximately the same population. 19 To the greatest extent possible, the integrity of the boundaries of the cities that are 20 proposed to be consolidated shall be respected when the wards are drawn 21 so that the territory within each city is: (1) Included within the 22 23 fewest number of wards, to the extent the city has a population that is 24 greater than the maximum population established for each ward; or (2) 25 included wholly within one ward, to the extent the city has a population that is equal to or less than the maximum population 26 established for each ward. After the election specified in RCW 27 35.10.480, election wards may be modified in the manner specified in 28 29 RCW 35A.12.180.

30 **Sec. 7.** RCW 35.10.420 and 1985 c 281 s 5 are each amended to read 31 as follows:

The submission of a ballot proposal to the voters of two or more contiguous cities for the consolidation of these contiguous cities may also be caused by the filing of a petition with the legislative body of each such city, signed by the voters of each city in number equal to not less than ten percent of ((the votes cast)) voters who voted in the city at the last general municipal election therein, seeking

p. 5

consolidation of such contiguous cities. A copy of the petition shall
 be forwarded immediately by each city to the auditor of the county or
 counties within which that city is located.

4 The county auditor or auditors shall determine the sufficiency of the signatures in each petition within ten days of receipt of the 5 copies and immediately notify the cities proposed to be consolidated of 6 7 the sufficiency. If each of the petitions is found to have sufficient 8 valid signatures, the auditor or auditors shall call a special election 9 at which the question of whether such cities shall consolidate shall be submitted to the voters of each of such cities. If a general election 10 is to be held more than ninety days but not more than one hundred 11 eighty days after the filing of the last petition, the question shall 12 be submitted at that election. Otherwise the question shall be 13 submitted at a special election to be called for that purpose at the 14 15 next special election date, as specified in RCW 29.13.020, that occurs ninety or more days after the date when the last petition was filed. 16

17 If each of the petitions is found to have sufficient valid 18 signatures, the auditor or auditors also shall notify the county 19 legislative authority of each county in which the cities are located of 20 the proposed consolidation.

Petitions shall conform with the requirements for form prescribed in RCW 35A.01.040, except different colored paper may be used on petitions circulated in the different cities. A legal description of the cities need not be included in the petitions.

--- END ---