

HOUSE BILL REPORT

SSB 5782

As Passed House

April 14, 1997

Title: An act relating to bid requirements for water-sewer districts.

Brief Description: Changing bidding for water-sewer districts.

Sponsors: Senate Committee on Government Operations (originally sponsored by Senators Swecker, Haugen, Rasmussen and Fraser).

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Government Administration: 4/1/97, 4/4/97 [DP].

Floor Activity:

Passed House: 4/14/97, 94-3.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATION

Majority Report: Do pass. Signed by 13 members: Representatives D. Schmidt, Chairman; D. Sommers, Vice Chairman; Scott, Ranking Minority Member; Gardner, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Doumit; Dunn; Dunshee; Murray; Reams; Smith; L. Thomas; Wensman and Wolfe.

Staff: Bill Lynch (786-7092).

Background: Water-sewer districts must follow certain procedures when awarding contracts for purchases or public works. If a contract for a public works project has an estimated value of more than \$5,000 it must be let by contract. If a contract for the purchase of materials, supplies, or equipment has an estimated value of more than \$10,000, it must be awarded by contract. If the contract for purchases has an estimated value of \$5,000 to less than \$50,000, it may be awarded pursuant to either a small works roster process or formal competitive bidding. It has been suggested that these thresholds should be adjusted.

Summary of Bill: The threshold for requiring a public works project to be let by contract is raised from \$5,000 to \$10,000. A water-sewer district may use the uniform process to award contracts for purchases when the contract has an estimated cost from \$10,000 to less than \$50,000.

The cost of a project is the aggregate amount to be paid for all labor, materials, supplies, and equipment of a continuous or interrelated project if the work is to be performed simultaneously or in close sequence.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: The cost of contract administration is growing. It is necessary to adjust these limits periodically.

Testimony Against: In-house limits should not be increased because government should use the public sector for this work.

Testified: (Pro) Steve Lindstrom, Sno-King Water District Coalition; and Joe Daniels, Washington Association of Sewer/Water Districts. (Con/amendments) Larry Stevens, United Subcontractors Association; and Dick Ducharme, Utility Contractors.