H-5028.1

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2879

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Buck, Butler, Chandler, DeBolt, Sehlin, Hatfield, McCune, Doumit, Kessler, Morris, Kenney, Constantine, Ogden, Regala, Tokuda, Anderson, Thompson and Conway)

Read first time 02/07/98. Referred to Committee on .

AN ACT Relating to facilitating the review and approval of fish habitat enhancement projects; amending RCW 90.58.147, 35.63.230, 35A.63.250, 36.70.992, 36.70A.460, 43.21C.0382, and 89.08.470; adding a new section to chapter 75.20 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 5 19.27 RCW; creating new sections; and declaring an emergency.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that fish habitat enhancement projects play a key role in the state's salmon and 8 9 steelhead recovery efforts. The legislature further finds that, 10 despite repeated attempts to minimize the expense and delays of various 11 permitting processes, more improvements are necessary in order to allow 12 fish habitat enhancement projects to be put into place quickly and 13 easily. The purpose of this act is to take immediate action to 14 facilitate the review and approval of fish habitat enhancement projects 15 today and to encourage efforts that will continue to improve the 16 process in the future.

17 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. The department of ecology permit assistant 18 center shall immediately modify the joint aquatic resource permit application form to incorporate the permit process established in
 section 3 of this act.

3 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 75.20 RCW 4 to read as follows:

5 (1) In order to receive the permit review and approval process 6 created in this section, a fish habitat enhancement project must meet 7 the criteria under (a) and (b) of this subsection:

8 (a) A fish habitat enhancement project must be a project to 9 accomplish one or more of the following tasks:

(i) Elimination of human-made fish passage barriers, includingculvert repair and replacement;

(ii) Restoration of an eroded or unstable stream bank employing the principle of bioengineering, including limited use of rock as a stabilization only at the toe of the bank, and with primary emphasis on using native vegetation to control the erosive forces of flowing water; or

(iii) Placement of woody debris or other instream structures thatbenefit naturally reproducing fish stocks.

19 The department may develop size or scale threshold tests to 20 determine if projects accomplishing any of these tasks should be 21 evaluated under the process created in this section or under other 22 project review and approval processes. A project proposal shall not be 23 reviewed under the process created in this section if the department 24 determines that the scale of the project raises concerns regarding 25 public health and safety; and

(b) A fish habitat enhancement project must be approved in one ofthe following ways:

28 (i) By the department pursuant to chapter 75.50 or 75.52 RCW;

(ii) By the sponsor of a watershed restoration plan as provided inchapter 89.08 RCW;

(iii) By the department as a department-sponsored fish habitatenhancement or restoration project;

33 (iv) Through the review and approval process for the jobs for the 34 environment program;

35 (v) Through the review and approval process for conservation 36 district-sponsored projects;

(vi) Through a formal grant program established by the legislatureor the department for fish habitat enhancement or restoration; and

(vii) Through other formal review and approval processes
 established by the legislature.

3 (2) Fish habitat enhancement projects meeting the criteria of 4 subsection (1) of this section are expected to result in beneficial impacts to the environment. Decisions pertaining to fish habitat 5 enhancement projects meeting the criteria of subsection (1) of this 6 7 section and being reviewed and approved according to the provisions of 8 this section are not subject to the requirements of RCW 9 43.21C.030(2)(c).

10 (3) Hydraulic project approval is required for projects that meet the criteria of subsection (1) of this section and are being reviewed 11 and approved under this section. An applicant shall use a joint 12 aquatic resource permit application form developed by the department of 13 ecology permit assistance center to apply for approval under this 14 15 chapter. On the same day, the applicant shall provide copies of the 16 completed application form to the department and to each appropriate 17 local government. Local governments shall accept the application as notice of the proposed project. The department shall provide a 18 19 fifteen-day comment period during which it will receive comments 20 regarding environmental impacts. In no more than forty-five days, the department shall either issue hydraulic project approval, with or 21 without conditions, deny approval, or make a determination that the 22 review and approval process created by this section is not appropriate 23 24 for the proposed project. The department shall base this determination 25 on identification during the comment period of adverse impacts that 26 cannot be mitigated by hydraulic project approval. If the department 27 determines that the review and approval process created by this section is not appropriate for the proposed project, the department shall 28 29 notify the applicant and the appropriate local governments of its 30 determination. The applicant may reapply for approval of the project under other review and approval processes. 31

Any person aggrieved by the approval, denial, conditioning, or modification of hydraulic project approval under this section may formally appeal the decision to the hydraulic appeals board pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

36 (4) No local government may require permits or charge fees for fish 37 habitat enhancement projects that meet the criteria of subsection (1) 38 of this section and that are reviewed and approved according to the 39 provisions of this section.

1 sec. 4. RCW 90.58.147 and 1995 c 333 s 1 are each amended to read
2 as follows:

3 (1) A public or private project that is designed to improve fish or 4 wildlife habitat or fish passage shall be exempt from the substantial 5 development permit requirements of this chapter when all of the 6 following apply:

7 ((((1))) <u>(a)</u> The project has been approved by the department of fish
8 and wildlife;

9 (((2))) (b) The project has received hydraulic project approval by 10 the department of fish and wildlife pursuant to chapter 75.20 RCW; and 11 (((3))) (c) The local government has determined that the project is 12 substantially consistent with the local shoreline master program. The 13 local government shall make such determination in a timely manner and 14 provide it by letter to the project proponent.

(2) Fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the
 provisions of section 3 of this act are determined to be consistent
 with local shoreline master programs.

18 Sec. 5. RCW 35.63.230 and 1995 c 378 s 8 are each amended to read 19 as follows:

A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. <u>A fish habitat enhancement</u> <u>project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be</u> <u>reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this</u> <u>act.</u>

26 **Sec. 6.** RCW 35A.63.250 and 1995 c 378 s 9 are each amended to read 27 as follows:

A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. <u>A fish habitat enhancement</u> <u>project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be</u> <u>reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this</u> <u>act.</u>

34 **Sec. 7.** RCW 36.70.992 and 1995 c 378 s 10 are each amended to read 35 as follows:

A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. <u>A fish habitat enhancement</u> <u>project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be</u> <u>reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this</u> <u>act.</u>

7 **Sec. 8.** RCW 36.70A.460 and 1995 c 378 s 11 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

9 A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration 10 project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance 11 with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. <u>A fish habitat enhancement</u> 12 project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be 13 reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this 14 act.

15 **Sec. 9.** RCW 43.21C.0382 and 1995 c 378 s 12 are each amended to 16 read as follows:

Decisions pertaining to watershed restoration projects as defined in RCW 89.08.460 are not subject to the requirements of RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). <u>Decisions pertaining to fish habitat enhancement</u> <u>projects meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act and being</u> <u>reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this</u> <u>act are not subject to the requirements of RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c).</u>

23 **Sec. 10.** RCW 89.08.470 and 1995 c 378 s 3 are each amended to read 24 as follows:

25 (1) By January 1, 1996, the Washington conservation commission shall develop, in consultation with other state agencies, tribes, and 26 27 local governments, a consolidated application process for permits for a watershed restoration project developed by an agency or sponsored by 28 an agency on behalf of a volunteer organization. The consolidated 29 30 process shall include a single permit application form for use by all responsible state and local agencies. The commission shall encourage 31 32 use of the consolidated permit application process by any federal agency responsible for issuance of related permits. 33 The permit 34 application forms to be consolidated shall include, at a minimum, applications for: (((1))) <u>(a)</u> Approvals related to water quality 35 standards under chapter 90.48 RCW; (((2))) (b) hydraulic project 36

approvals under chapter 75.20 RCW; and (((3))) <u>(c)</u> section 401 water 1 quality certifications under 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1341 and chapter 90.48 RCW. 2 (2) If a watershed restoration project is also a fish habitat 3 4 enhancement project that meets the criteria of section 3(1) of this act, the project sponsor shall instead follow the permit review and 5 approval process established in section 3 of this act with regard to б 7 state and local government permitting requirements. The sponsor shall 8 so notify state and local permitting authorities.

9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 11.** A new section is added to chapter 19.27 RCW 10 to read as follows:

A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of sections, or (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections of section (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1) A fish act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or (1)

15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 12. The legislature finds that, while the process created in this act can improve the speed with which fish 16 17 habitat enhancement projects are put into place, additional efforts can 18 improve the review and approval process for the future. The legislature directs the department of fish and wildlife, 19 the conservation commission, local governments, fish habitat enhancement 20 project applicants, and other interested parties to work together to 21 22 continue to improve the permitting review and approval process. 23 Specific efforts shall include the following:

(1) Development of common acceptable design standards, best
 management practices, and standardized hydraulic project approval
 conditions for each type of fish habitat enhancement project;

(2) An evaluation of the potential for using technical evaluationteams in evaluating specific project proposals or stream reaches;

(3) An evaluation of techniques appropriate for restoration andenhancement of pasture and crop land adjacent to riparian areas;

31 (4) A review of local government shoreline master plans to identify 32 and correct instances where the local plan does not acknowledge 33 potentially beneficial instream work;

(5) An evaluation of the potential for local governments to
 incorporate fish habitat enhancement projects into their comprehensive
 planning process; and

р. б

(6) Continued work with the federal government agencies on federal
 permitting for fish habitat enhancement projects.

3 The department of fish and wildlife shall coordinate this joint 4 effort and shall report back to the legislature on the group's progress 5 by December 1, 1998.

6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 13. This act is necessary for the immediate 7 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the 8 state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect 9 immediately.

10 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 14. If specific funding for the purposes of 11 this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not 12 provided by June 30, 1998, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act 13 is null and void.

--- END ---