CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6575

55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate February 14, 1998 YEAS 47 NAYS 0

President of the Senate

Passed by the House February 27, 1998 YEAS 94 NAYS 0

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved

CERTIFICATE

I, Mike O Connell, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6575** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

Secretary

FILED

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6575

Passed Legislature - 1998 Regular Session

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Government Operations (originally sponsored by Senators Hale, T. Sheldon, McCaslin, Snyder, Horn, McDonald, Sellar, Newhouse, Schow, Strannigan, Benton, Zarelli, Stevens, Roach, Heavey and Oke)

Read first time 02/06/98.

AN ACT Relating to extending the powers of the joint administrative rules review committee; and amending RCW 34.05.630, 34.05.640, and 34.05.655.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 34.05.630 and 1996 c 318 s 4 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

7 (1) All rules required to be filed pursuant to RCW 34.05.380, and
8 emergency rules adopted pursuant to RCW 34.05.350, are subject to
9 selective review by the ((legislature)) committee.

10 (2) All agency policy and interpretive statements, guidelines, and 11 documents that are of general applicability, or their equivalents, are 12 subject to selective review by the ((legislature)) committee to 13 determine whether or not a statement, guideline, or document that is of 14 general applicability, or its equivalent, is being used as a rule that 15 has not been adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of 16 law.

(3) If the rules review committee finds by a majority vote of its
members: (a) That an existing rule is not within the intent of the
legislature as expressed by the statute which the rule implements, (b)

that the rule has not been adopted in accordance with all applicable 1 2 provisions of law, or (c) that an agency is using a policy or interpretive statement in place of a rule, the agency affected shall be 3 4 notified of such finding and the reasons therefor. Within thirty days 5 of the receipt of the rules review committee's notice, the agency shall file notice of a hearing on the rules review committee's finding with 6 7 the code reviser and mail notice to all persons who have made timely 8 request of the agency for advance notice of its rule-making proceedings 9 as provided in RCW 34.05.320. The agency's notice shall include the 10 rules review committee's findings and reasons therefor, and shall be 11 published in the Washington state register in accordance with the provisions of chapter 34.08 RCW. 12

13 (4) The agency shall consider fully all written and oral 14 submissions regarding (a) whether the rule in question is within the 15 intent of the legislature as expressed by the statute which the rule 16 implements, (b) whether the rule was adopted in accordance with all 17 applicable provisions of law, ((or)) <u>and</u> (c) whether the agency is 18 using a policy or interpretive statement, <u>guideline</u>, <u>or document that</u> 19 <u>is of general applicability</u>, <u>or its equivalent</u>, in place of a rule.

20 **Sec. 2.** RCW 34.05.640 and 1996 c 318 s 5 are each amended to read 21 as follows:

(1) Within seven days of an agency hearing held after notification of the agency by the rules review committee pursuant to RCW 34.05.620 or 34.05.630, the affected agency shall notify the committee of its intended action on a proposed or existing rule to which the committee objected or on a committee finding of the agency's failure to adopt rules.

(2) If the rules review committee finds by a majority vote of its 28 29 members: (a) That the proposed or existing rule in question will not 30 be modified, amended, withdrawn, or repealed by the agency so as to conform with the intent of the legislature, (b) that an existing rule 31 32 was not adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of law, or (c) that the agency will not replace the policy or interpretive 33 34 statement, quideline, or document that is of general applicability, or its equivalent, with a rule, the rules review committee may, within 35 36 thirty days from notification by the agency of its intended action, 37 file with the code reviser notice of its objections together with a

p. 2

concise statement of the reasons therefor. Such notice and statement
 shall also be provided to the agency by the rules review committee.

3 (3)(a) If the rules review committee makes an adverse finding 4 regarding an existing rule under subsection (2) (a) or (b) of this section, the committee may, by a majority vote of its members, 5 recommend suspension of the rule. Within seven days of such vote the 6 7 committee shall transmit to the appropriate standing committees of the 8 legislature, the governor, the code reviser, and the agency written 9 notice of its objection and recommended suspension and the concise 10 reasons therefor. Within thirty days of receipt of the notice, the governor shall transmit to the committee, the code reviser, and the 11 agency written approval or disapproval of the recommended suspension. 12 13 If the suspension is approved by the governor, it is effective from the date of that approval and continues until ninety days after the 14 15 expiration of the next regular legislative session.

16 (b) If the rules review committee makes an adverse finding 17 regarding a policy or interpretive statement, guideline, or document 18 that is of general applicability, or its equivalent, under subsection 19 (2)(c) of this section, the committee may, by a majority vote of its 20 members, advise the governor of its finding.

(4) The code reviser shall publish transmittals from the rules review committee or the governor issued pursuant to subsection (2) or (3) of this section in the Washington state register and shall publish in the next supplement and compilation of the Washington Administrative Code a reference to the committee's objection or recommended suspension and the governor's action on it and to the issue of the Washington state register in which the full text thereof appears.

(5) The reference shall be removed from a rule published in the Washington Administrative Code if a subsequent adjudicatory proceeding determines that the rule is within the intent of the legislature or was adopted in accordance with all applicable laws, whichever was the objection of the rules review committee.

33 **Sec. 3.** RCW 34.05.655 and 1996 c 318 s 7 are each amended to read 34 as follows:

(1) Any person may petition the rules review committee for a review of a proposed or existing rule or a <u>proposed or existing</u> policy or interpretive statement, <u>guideline</u>, <u>or document that is of general</u> <u>applicability</u>, <u>or its equivalent</u>. <u>A petition to review a statement</u>,

p. 3

guideline, or document that is of general applicability, or its 1 equivalent, may only be filed for the purpose of requesting the 2 committee to determine whether the statement, guideline, or document 3 4 that is of general applicability, or its equivalent, is being used as a rule that has not been adopted in accordance with all provisions of 5 Within thirty days of the receipt of the petition, the rules 6 law. 7 review committee shall acknowledge receipt of the petition and describe any initial action taken. If the rules review committee rejects the 8 9 petition, a written statement of the reasons for rejection shall be 10 included.

(2) A person may petition the rules review committee under subsection (1) of this section requesting review of an existing rule only if the person has petitioned the agency to amend or repeal the rule under RCW 34.05.330(1) and such petition was denied.

(3) A petition for review of a rule under subsection (1) of thissection shall:

17 (a) Identify with specificity the proposed or existing rule to be18 reviewed;

(b) Identify the specific statute identified by the agency as authorizing the rule, the specific statute which the rule interprets or implements, and, if applicable, the specific statute the department is alleged not to have followed in adopting the rule;

(c) State the reasons why the petitioner believes that the rule is not within the intent of the legislature, or that its adoption was not or is not in accordance with law, and provide documentation to support these statements;

(d) Identify any known judicial action regarding the rule orstatutes identified in the petition.

A petition to review an existing rule shall also include a copy of the agency's denial of a petition to amend or repeal the rule issued under RCW 34.05.330(1) and, if available, a copy of the governor's denial issued under RCW 34.05.330(3).

(4) A petition for review of a policy or interpretive statement,
guideline, or document that is of general applicability, or its
equivalent, under subsection (1) of this section shall:

36 (a) Identify the specific <u>policy or interpretative</u> statement,
37 <u>guideline</u>, or document that is of general applicability, or its
38 <u>equivalent</u>, to be reviewed;

p. 4

1 (b) Identify the specific statute which the rule interprets or 2 implements;

3 (c) State the reasons why the petitioner believes that the <u>policy</u> 4 <u>or interpretive</u> statement, <u>guideline</u>, <u>or document that is of general</u> 5 <u>applicability</u>, <u>or its equivalent</u>, meets the definition of a rule under 6 RCW 34.05.010 and should have been adopted according to the procedures 7 of this chapter;

8 (d) Identify any known judicial action regarding the <u>policy or</u> 9 <u>interpretive</u> statement, <u>guideline</u>, <u>or</u> <u>document</u> <u>that</u> is <u>of</u> <u>general</u> 10 <u>applicability</u>, <u>or</u> <u>its</u> <u>equivalent</u>, <u>or</u> statutes identified in the 11 petition.

(5) Within ninety days of receipt of the petition, the rules review
 committee shall make a final decision on the rule for which the
 petition for review was not previously rejected.

--- END ---