

FINAL BILL REPORT

HB 1831

C 313 L 99

Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Requiring adoption of rules for certain construction management techniques.

Sponsors: Representatives Ogden, Thomas, Lantz, Carlson, H. Sommers, Keiser, Dunshee, Lambert, Quall, O'Brien, Cody, Kenney, Dunn, Santos, Schual-Berke, Lovick, Edmonds, Wood, Haigh, Rockefeller, Conway, Stensen, Dickerson, Kessler, Hurst and Esser.

House Committee on Education
House Committee on Capital Budget
Senate Committee on Ways & Means

Background:

The 1995-97 capital budget implemented a pilot project for five school districts to contract with qualified teams to conduct value engineering and constructability review studies on school construction projects to determine the potential advantages and savings associated with these processes. The results of the pilot projects demonstrated that these techniques can increase cost-effectiveness during construction and improve building systems operation during occupancy.

Value engineering is a process of evaluating the design and the components of a building and offering alternative solutions to improve the long-term value of the building. Constructability review has a similar purpose, but it analyzes the details of the design in search of potential difficulties that may arise during the actual construction of the project. Building commissioning is the process of testing all the systems in the building to determine if they are installed and working properly and making the necessary corrections to assure all the building systems are performing efficiently.

State Board of Education rules require school districts to perform value engineering on a limited basis. However, the House Task Force on School Construction Financing has recommended that the rules require a more thorough value engineering study and be expanded to include constructability reviews, building commissioning and professional construction managers. In recognition that budget constraints, limited experience and the lack of state financial assistance often cause districts to make do without these long-term cost saving techniques, the Task Force

recommended that the additional cost of these construction management techniques be eligible for state matching funds.

Summary:

The State Board of Education must adopt rules defining and setting qualifications and performance standards for the following construction management techniques: value engineering, constructability review, building commissioning, and construction management. The board must include the cost of these management techniques in the funding of each school construction project at the state matching percentage rate for the district. The board must consider the adequacy of the building management techniques when prioritizing school projects and allocating state funds for those projects.

School districts applying for state assistance for school facilities must use a professional firm to perform value engineering, constructability review, building commissioning and must contract or employ a professional construction manager. All recommendations from the value engineering and constructability review process must be presented to the school board for acceptance or rejection. If a recommendation is rejected, the board must provide a statement of reasons for rejecting the application for state assistance. The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction must provide consulting services and training information to school districts on the use and benefits of these construction management techniques.

Votes on Final Passage:

House 93 0
Senate 48 0 (Senate amended)
House 97 0 (House concurred)

Effective: July 25, 1999