
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2884

State of Washington 56th Legislature 2000 Regular Session

By House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Constantine, Carlson, Grant, Radcliff, Kastama, Mastin, Keiser, Ruderman, Kessler, Dickerson, Tokuda, D. Sommers and Stensen)

Read first time 02/03/2000. Referred to Committee on .

- AN ACT Relating to relocation of children; amending RCW 26.09.260,
- 2 26.26.160, and 26.10.190; adding new sections to chapter 26.09 RCW; and
- 3 creating new sections.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. By this act, the legislature intends to
- 6 supersede the state supreme court's decisions In Re the Marriage of
- 7 Littlefield, 133 Wn.2d 39 (1997), and In Re the Marriage of Pape,
- 8 Docket No. 67527-9, December 23, 1999.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** DEFINITIONS. The definitions in this
- 10 section apply throughout sections 2 through 18 of this act and RCW
- 11 26.09.260 unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
- 12 (1) "Court order" means a temporary or permanent parenting plan,
- 13 custody order, visitation order, or other order governing the residence
- 14 of a child under this title.
- 15 (2) "Relocate" means a change in principal residence either
- 16 permanently or for a protracted period of time.

p. 1 ESHB 2884

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. APPLICABILITY. (1) The provisions of this act apply to a court order regarding residential time or visitation
- 3 with a child issued:
- 4 (a) After the effective date of this act; and
- 5 (b) Before the effective date of this act, if the existing court 6 order does not expressly govern relocation of the child.
- 7 (2) To the extent that a provision of this act conflicts with the 8 express terms of a court order existing prior to the effective date of 9 this act, then this act does not apply to those terms of that order
- 10 governing relocation of the child.
- 11 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 4.** GRANT OF AUTHORITY. When entering or
- 12 modifying a court order, the court has the authority to allow or not
- 13 allow a person to relocate the child.
- 14 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** NOTICE REQUIREMENT. Except as provided in
- 15 section 8 of this act, a person with whom the child resides a majority
- 16 of the time shall notify every other person entitled to residential
- 17 time or visitation with the child under a court order if the person
- 18 intends to relocate. Notice shall be given as prescribed in sections
- 19 6 and 7 of this act.
- 20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 6.** NOTICE--CONTENTS AND DELIVERY. (1) Except
- 21 as provided in sections 7 and 8 of this act, the notice of an intended
- 22 relocation of the child must be given by:
- 23 (a) Personal service or any form of mail requiring a return
- 24 receipt; and
- 25 (b) No less than:
- 26 (i) Sixty days before the date of the intended relocation of the
- 27 child; or
- 28 (ii) No more than five days after the date that the person knows
- 29 the information required to be furnished under subsection (2) of this
- 30 section, if the person did not know and could not reasonably have known
- 31 the information in sufficient time to provide the sixty-days' notice,
- 32 and it is not reasonable to delay the relocation.
- 33 (2)(a) The notice of intended relocation of the child must include:
- 34 (i) An address at which service of process may be accomplished during
- 35 the period for objection; (ii) a brief statement of the specific
- 36 reasons for the intended relocation of the child; and (iii) a notice to

- 1 the nonrelocating person that an objection to the intended relocation
- 2 of the child or to the relocating person's proposed revised residential
- 3 schedule must be filed with the court and served on the opposing person
- 4 within thirty days or the relocation of the child will be permitted and
- 5 the residential schedule may be modified pursuant to section 12 of this
- 6 act. The notice shall not be deemed to be in substantial compliance
- 7 for purposes of section 9 of this act unless the notice contains the
- 8 following statement: "THE RELOCATION OF THE CHILD WILL BE PERMITTED AND
- 9 THE PROPOSED REVISED RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE MAY BE CONFIRMED UNLESS,
- 10 WITHIN THIRTY DAYS, YOU FILE A PETITION AND MOTION WITH THE COURT TO
- 11 BLOCK THE RELOCATION OR OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED REVISED RESIDENTIAL
- 12 SCHEDULE AND SERVE THE PETITION AND MOTION ON THE PERSON PROPOSING
- 13 RELOCATION AND ALL OTHER PERSONS ENTITLED BY COURT ORDER TO RESIDENTIAL
- 14 TIME OR VISITATION WITH THE CHILD."
- 15 (b) Except as provided in sections 7 and 8 of this act, the
- 16 following information shall also be included in every notice of
- 17 intended relocation of the child, if available:
- 18 (i) The specific street address of the intended new residence, if
- 19 known, or as much of the intended address as is known, such as city and
- 20 state;
- 21 (ii) The new mailing address, if different from the intended new
- 22 residence address;
- 23 (iii) The new home telephone number;
- 24 (iv) The name and address of the child's new school and day care
- 25 facility, if applicable;
- 26 (v) The date of the intended relocation of the child; and
- 27 (vi) A proposal in the form of a proposed parenting plan for a
- 28 revised schedule of residential time or visitation with the child, if
- 29 any.
- 30 (3) A person required to give notice of an intended relocation of
- 31 the child has a continuing duty to promptly update the information
- 32 required with the notice as that new information becomes known.
- 33 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 7. NOTICE--RELOCATION WITHIN THE SAME SCHOOL
- 34 DISTRICT. (1) When the intended relocation of the child is within the
- 35 school district in which the child currently resides the majority of
- 36 the time, the person intending to relocate the child, in lieu of notice
- 37 prescribed in section 6 of this act, may provide actual notice by any

p. 3 ESHB 2884

- 1 reasonable means to every other person entitled to residential time or 2 visitation with the child under a court order.
- 3 (2) A person who is entitled to residential time or visitation with 4 the child under a court order may not object to the intended relocation 5 of the child within the school district in which the child currently 6 resides the majority of the time, but he or she retains the right to 7 move for modification under RCW 26.09.260.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. LIMITATION OF NOTICES. (1) If a person intending to relocate the child is entering a domestic violence shelter due to the danger imposed by another person, notice may be delayed for twenty-one days. This section shall not be construed to compel the disclosure by any domestic violence shelter of information protected by confidentiality except as provided by RCW 70.123.075 or equivalent laws of the state in which the shelter is located.
- (2) If a person intending to relocate the child is a participant in the address confidentiality program pursuant to chapter 40.24 RCW or has a court order which permits the party to withhold some or all of the information required by section 6(2)(b) of this act, the confidential or protected information is not required to be given with the notice.
- 21 (3) If a person intending to relocate the child is relocating to 22 avoid a clear, immediate, and unreasonable risk to the health or safety 23 of a person or the child, notice may be delayed for twenty-one days.
- 24 (4) A person intending to relocate the child who believes that his 25 or her health or safety or the health or safety of the child would be unreasonably put at risk by notice or disclosure of certain information 26 in the notice may request an ex parte hearing with the court to have 27 all or part of the notice requirements waived. If the court finds that 28 29 the health or safety of a person or a child would be unreasonably put at risk by notice or the disclosure of certain information in the 30 notice, the court may: 31
- 32 (a) Order that the notice requirements be less than complete or 33 waived to the extent necessary to protect confidentiality or the health 34 or safety of a person or child; or
- 35 (b) Provide such other relief as the court finds necessary to 36 facilitate the legitimate needs of the parties and the best interests 37 of the child under the circumstances.

- 1 (5) This section does not deprive a person entitled to residential 2 time or visitation with a child under a court order the opportunity to 3 object to the intended relocation of the child or the proposed revised 4 residential schedule before the relocation occurs.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. FAILURE TO GIVE NOTICE. (1) The failure to provide the required notice is grounds for sanctions, including contempt if applicable.
- 8 (2) In determining whether a person has failed to comply with the 9 notice requirements for the purposes of this section, the court may 10 consider whether:
- 11 (a) The person has substantially complied with the notice 12 requirements;
- 13 (b) The court order in effect at the time of the relocation was 14 issued prior to the effective date of this act and the person 15 substantially complied with the notice requirements, if any, in the 16 existing order;
- 17 (c) A waiver of notice was granted;
- 18 (d) A person entitled to receive notice was substantially harmed; 19 and
- 20 (e) Any other factor the court deems relevant.
- 21 (3) A person entitled to file an objection to the intended 22 relocation of the child may file such objection whether or not the 23 person has received proper notice.
- 24 NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. OBJECTION TO RELOCATION OR PROPOSED REVISED 25 RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE. (1) A party objecting to the intended relocation of the child or the relocating parent's proposed revised residential 26 27 schedule shall do so by filing the objection with the court and serving 28 the objection on the relocating party and all other persons entitled by court order to residential time or visitation with the child by means 29 of personal service or mailing by any form of mail requiring a return 30 31 receipt to the relocating party at the address designated for service 32 on the notice of intended relocation and to other parties requiring 33 notice at their mailing address. The objection must be filed and served, including a three-day waiting period if the objection is served 34 35 by mail, within thirty days of receipt of the notice of intended relocation of the child. The objection shall be in the form of: (a) 36 37 A petition for modification of the parenting plan pursuant to

p. 5 ESHB 2884

- 1 relocation; or (b) other court proceeding adequate to provide grounds 2 for relief.
- 3 (2) Unless the special circumstances described in section 8 of this 4 act apply, the person intending to relocate the child shall not, without a court order, change the principal residence of the child 5 during the period in which a party may object. The order required 6 7 under this subsection may be obtained ex parte. If the objecting party 8 notes a court hearing to prevent the relocation of the child for a date 9 not more than fifteen days following timely service of an objection to 10 relocation, the party intending to relocate the child shall not change the principal residence of the child pending the hearing unless the 11 special circumstances described in section 8(3) of this act apply. 12
- 13 (3) The administrator for the courts shall develop a standard form, 14 separate from existing dissolution or modification forms, for use in 15 filing an objection to relocation of the child or objection of the 16 relocating person's proposed revised residential schedule.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. REQUIRED PROVISION IN RESIDENTIAL ORDERS.
 Unless waived by court order, after the effective date of this act,
 every court order shall include a clear restatement of the provisions
 in sections 5 through 10 of this act.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. FAILURE TO OBJECT. (1) Except for good cause shown, if a person entitled to object to the relocation of the child does not file an objection with the court within thirty days after receipt of the relocation notice, then the relocation of the child shall be permitted.
- (2) A nonobjecting person shall be entitled to the residential time or visitation with the child specified in the proposed residential schedule included with the relocation notice.
- 29 (3) Any person entitled to residential time or visitation with a 30 child under a court order retains his or her right to move for 31 modification under RCW 26.09.260.
- 32 (4) If a person entitled to object to the relocation of the child 33 does not file an objection with the court within thirty days after 34 receipt of the relocation notice, a person entitled to residential time 35 with the child may not be held in contempt of court for any act or 36 omission that is in compliance with the proposed revised residential 37 schedule set forth in the notice given.

(5) Any party entitled to residential time or visitation with the child under a court order may, after thirty days have elapsed since the receipt of the notice, obtain ex parte and file with the court an order modifying the residential schedule in conformity with the relocating party's proposed residential schedule specified in the notice upon filing a copy of the notice and proof of service of such notice. A party may obtain ex parte and file with the court an order modifying the residential schedule in conformity with the proposed residential schedule specified in the notice before the thirty days have elapsed if the party files a copy of the notice, proof of service of such notice, and proof that no objection will be filed.

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. TEMPORARY ORDERS. (1) The court may grant a temporary order restraining relocation of the child, or ordering return of the child if the child's relocation has occurred, if the court finds:
- 16 (a) The required notice of an intended relocation of the child was 17 not provided in a timely manner and the nonrelocating party was 18 substantially prejudiced;
- 19 (b) The relocation of the child has occurred without agreement of 20 the parties, court order, or the notice required by this act; or
 - (c) After examining evidence presented at a hearing for temporary orders in which the parties had adequate opportunity to prepare and be heard, there is a likelihood that on final hearing the court will not approve the intended relocation of the child or no circumstances exist sufficient to warrant a relocation of the child prior to a final determination at trial.
- 27 (2) The court may grant a temporary order authorizing the intended 28 relocation of the child pending final hearing if the court finds:
- 29 (a) The required notice of an intended relocation of the child was 30 provided in a timely manner or that the circumstances otherwise warrant 31 issuance of a temporary order in the absence of compliance with the 32 notice requirements and issues an order for a revised schedule for 33 residential time with the child; and
- 34 (b) After examining the evidence presented at a hearing for 35 temporary orders in which the parties had adequate opportunity to 36 prepare and be heard, there is a likelihood that on final hearing the 37 court will approve the intended relocation of the child.

p. 7 ESHB 2884

- 1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 14.** BASIS FOR DETERMINATION. The person
- 2 proposing to relocate with the child shall provide his or her reasons
- 3 for the intended relocation. There is a rebuttable presumption that
- 4 the intended relocation of the child will be permitted. A person
- 5 entitled to object to the intended relocation of the child may rebut
- 6 the presumption by demonstrating that the detrimental effect of the
- 7 relocation outweighs the benefit of the change to the child and the
- 8 relocating person, based upon the following factors. The factors
- 9 listed in this section are not weighted. No inference is to be drawn
- 10 from the order in which the following factors are listed:
- 11 (1) The relative strength, nature, quality, extent of involvement,
- 12 and stability of the child's relationship with each parent, siblings,
- 13 and other significant persons in the child's life;
- 14 (2) Prior agreements of the parties;
- 15 (3) Whether disrupting the contact between the child and the person
- 16 with whom the child resides a majority of the time would be more
- 17 detrimental to the child than disrupting contact between the child and
- 18 the person objecting to the relocation;
- 19 (4) Whether either parent or a person entitled to residential time
- 20 with the child is subject to limitations under RCW 26.09.191;
- 21 (5) The reasons of each person for seeking or opposing the
- 22 relocation and the good faith of each of the parties in requesting or
- 23 opposing the relocation;
- 24 (6) The age, developmental stage, and needs of the child, and the
- 25 likely impact the relocation or its prevention will have on the child's
- 26 physical, educational, and emotional development, taking into
- 27 consideration any special needs of the child;
- 28 (7) The quality of life, resources, and opportunities available to
- 29 the child and to the relocating party in the current and proposed
- 30 geographic locations;
- 31 (8) The availability of alternative arrangements to foster and
- 32 continue the child's relationship with and access to the other parent;
- 33 (9) The alternatives to relocation and whether it is feasible and
- 34 desirable for the other party to relocate also;
- 35 (10) The financial impact and logistics of the relocation or its
- 36 prevention; and
- 37 (11) For a temporary order, the amount of time before a final
- 38 decision can be made at trial.

- Sec. 15. FACTOR NOT TO BE CONSIDERED. 1 NEW SECTION. In 2 determining whether to permit or restrain the relocation of the child, the court may not admit evidence on the issue of whether the person 3 4 seeking to relocate the child will forego his or her own relocation if 5 the child's relocation is not permitted or whether the person opposing relocation will also relocate if the child's relocation is permitted. 6 7 The court may admit and consider such evidence after it makes the 8 decision to allow or restrain relocation of the child and other 9 parenting, custody, or visitation issues remain before the court, such 10 as what, if any, modifications to the parenting plan are appropriate and who the child will reside with the majority of the time if the 11 court has denied relocation of the child and the person is relocating 12 13 without the child.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. OBJECTIONS BY NONPARENTS. A court may not restrict the right of a parent to relocate the child when the sole objection to the relocation is from a third party, unless that third party is entitled to residential time or visitation under a court order and has served as the primary residential care provider to the child for a substantial period of time during the thirty-six consecutive months preceding the intended relocation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 17. SANCTIONS. The court may sanction a party if it finds that a proposal to relocate the child or an objection to an intended relocation or proposed revised residential schedule was made to harass a person, to interfere in bad faith with the relationship between the child and another person entitled to residential time or visitation with the child, or to unnecessarily delay or needlessly increase the cost of litigation.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 18. PRIORITY FOR HEARING. A hearing involving relocations or intended relocations of children shall be accorded priority on the court's motion calendar and trial docket.
- 31 **Sec. 19.** RCW 26.09.260 and 1999 c 174 s 1 are each amended to read 32 as follows:
- 33 (1) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (4), (5), $((\frac{7}{}))$ 34 (6), (8), and $((\frac{9}{}))$ (10) of this section, the court shall not modify
- 35 a prior custody decree or a parenting plan unless it finds, upon the

p. 9 ESHB 2884

- 1 basis of facts that have arisen since the prior decree or plan or that
- 2 were unknown to the court at the time of the prior decree or plan, that
- 3 a substantial change has occurred in the circumstances of the child or
- 4 the nonmoving party and that the modification is in the best interest
- 5 of the child and is necessary to serve the best interests of the child.
- 6 (2) In applying these standards, the court shall retain the 7 residential schedule established by the decree or parenting plan 8 unless:
 - (a) The parents agree to the modification;

9

25

26

27

28

29

37

- 10 (b) The child has been integrated into the family of the petitioner 11 with the consent of the other parent in substantial deviation from the 12 parenting plan;
- (c) The child's present environment is detrimental to the child's physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be caused by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a change to the child; or
- (d) The court has found the nonmoving parent in contempt of court at least twice within three years because the parent failed to comply with the residential time provisions in the court-ordered parenting plan, or the parent has been convicted of custodial interference in the first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.
- 22 (3) A conviction of custodial interference in the first or second 23 degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070 shall constitute a substantial 24 change of circumstances for the purposes of this section.
 - (4) The court may reduce or restrict contact between the ((nonprimary residential)) child and the parent ((and a child)) with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time if it finds that the reduction or restriction would serve and protect the best interests of the child using the criteria in RCW 26.09.191.
- (5) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change in circumstances of either parent or of the child, and without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section, if the proposed modification is only a minor modification in the residential schedule that does not change the residence the child is scheduled to reside in the majority of the time and:
 - (a) Does not exceed twenty-four full days in a calendar year; or
- 38 (b) Is based on a change of residence of the parent with whom the 39 child does not reside the majority of the time or an involuntary change

ESHB 2884 p. 10

in work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the parenting plan impractical to follow; or

1 2

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

35

3637

38 39

- 3 (c) Does not result in a schedule that exceeds ninety overnights 4 per year in total, if the court finds that, at the time the petition for modification is filed, the decree of dissolution or parenting plan 5 does not provide reasonable time with the ((nonprimary residential)) 6 7 parent ((at the time the petition for modification is filed)) with whom 8 the child does not reside a majority of the time, and further, the 9 court finds that it is in the best interests of the child to increase 10 residential time with the ((nonprimary residential)) parent in excess of the residential time period in (a) of this subsection. However, any 11 motion under this subsection (5)(c) is subject to the factors 12 13 established in subsection (2) of this section if the party bringing the ((motion)) petition has previously been granted a modification under 14 15 this same subsection within twenty-four months of the current motion. Relief granted under this section shall not be the sole basis for 16 adjusting or modifying child support. 17
 - (6) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of a parenting plan pursuant to a proceeding to permit or restrain a relocation of the child. The person objecting to the relocation of the child or the relocating person's proposed revised residential schedule may file a petition to modify the parenting plan, including a change of the residence in which the child resides the majority of the time, without a showing of adequate cause other than the proposed relocation itself. A hearing to determine adequate cause for modification shall not be required so long as the request for relocation of the child is being pursued. In making a determination of a modification pursuant to relocation of the child, the court shall first determine whether to permit or restrain the relocation of the child using the procedures and standards provided in sections 2 through 18 of this act. Following that determination, the court shall determine what modification pursuant to relocation should be made, if any, to the parenting plan or custody order or visitation order.
 - (7) A ((nonprimary residential)) parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time and whose residential time with the child is subject to limitations pursuant to RCW 26.09.191 (2) or (3) may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent demonstrates a substantial change in circumstances specifically related to the basis for the limitation.

p. 11 ESHB 2884

- 1 (((7))) (8) If a ((nonprimary residential)) parent with whom the 2 <u>child does not reside a majority of the time</u> voluntarily fails to 3 exercise residential time for an extended period, that is, one year or 4 longer, the court upon proper motion may make adjustments to the 5 parenting plan in keeping with the best interests of the minor child. 6 (((8))) (9) A ((nonprimary)) parent with whom the child does not
 - ((\(\frac{(\(\frac{8}{}\)\)}{\)}) (9) A ((\(\text{nonprimary}\))) parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time who is required by the existing parenting plan to complete evaluations, treatment, parenting, or other classes may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent has fully complied with such requirements.
- (((+9))) (10) The court may order adjustments to any of the nonresidential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change of circumstances of either parent or of a child, and the adjustment is in the best interest of the child. Adjustments ordered under this section may be made without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section.
- ((\(\frac{(10)}{10}\))) (11) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior decree or parenting plan has been brought in bad faith, the court shall assess the attorney's fees and court costs of the nonmoving parent against the moving party.
- 22 **Sec. 20.** RCW 26.26.160 and 1992 c 229 s 8 are each amended to read 23 as follows:
- (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section the court has continuing jurisdiction to prospectively modify a judgment and order for future education and future support, and with respect to matters listed in RCW 26.26.130 (3) and ((4)) (5), and RCW 26.26.150(2) upon showing a substantial change of circumstances. The procedures set forth in RCW 26.09.175 shall be used in modification proceedings under this section.
- 31 (2) A judgment or order entered under this chapter may be modified 32 without a showing of substantial change of circumstances upon the same 33 grounds as RCW 26.09.170 permits support orders to be modified without 34 a showing of a substantial change of circumstance.
- 35 (3) The court may modify a parenting plan or residential provisions adopted pursuant to RCW 26.26.130((+6))) (7) in accordance with the 37 provisions of chapter 26.09 RCW.

7

8

9

11

- (4) The court shall hear and review petitions for modifications of a parenting plan, custody order, visitation order, or other order governing the residence of a child, and conduct any proceedings concerning a relocation of the residence where the child resides a majority of the time, pursuant to chapter 26.09 RCW.
- 6 **Sec. 21.** RCW 26.10.190 and 1989 c 375 s 24 are each amended to 7 read as follows:
 - (1) ((The court shall not modify a prior custody decree unless it finds, upon the basis of facts that have arisen since the prior decree or that were unknown to the court at the time of the prior decree, that a change has occurred in the circumstances of the child or the custodian and that the modification is necessary to serve the best interests of the child. In applying these standards, the court shall retain the custodian established by the prior decree unless:
- 15 (a) The custodian agrees to the modification;

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

- 16 (b) The child has been integrated into the family of the petitioner
 17 with the consent of the custodian; or
- 18 (c) The child's present environment is detrimental to his or her physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be caused 19 by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a change 20 to the child.)) The court shall hear and review petitions for 21 modifications of a parenting plan, custody order, visitation order, or 22 23 other order governing the residence of a child, and conduct any proceedings concerning a relocation of the residence where the child 24 resides a majority of the time, pursuant to chapter 26.09 RCW. 25
- (2) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior custody decree has been brought in bad faith, the court shall assess the attorney's fees and court costs of the custodian against the petitioner.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 22. Captions used in this act are not any part of the law.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 23. Sections 2 through 18 of this act are each added to chapter 26.09 RCW and codified with the subchapter heading "Notice requirements and standards for parental relocation."

--- END ---

p. 13 ESHB 2884