

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1514

Chapter 174, Laws of 1999

56th Legislature
1999 Regular Session

PARENTING PLANS--MODIFICATIONS TO RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULES

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/25/99

Passed by the House April 19, 1999
Yeas 97 Nays 0

CLYDE BALLARD
Speaker of the House of Representatives

FRANK CHOPP
Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate April 13, 1999
Yeas 42 Nays 2

BRAD OWEN
President of the Senate

Approved May 5, 1999

GARY LOCKE
Governor of the State of Washington

CERTIFICATE

We, Dean R. Foster and Timothy A. Martin, Co-Chief Clerks of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1514** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

DEAN R. FOSTER
Chief Clerk

TIMOTHY A. MARTIN
Chief Clerk

FILED

May 5, 1999 - 3:52 p.m.

**Secretary of State
State of Washington**

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1514

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 1999 Regular Session

State of Washington 56th Legislature 1999 Regular Session

By House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by
Representatives Kastama and Wolfe)

Read first time 03/02/1999.

1 AN ACT Relating to modification of a parenting plan or custody
2 decree; and amending RCW 26.09.260.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 26.09.260 and 1991 c 367 s 9 are each amended to read
5 as follows:

6 (1) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (4), (5), (7), and
7 (9) of this section, the court shall not modify a prior custody decree
8 or a parenting plan unless it finds, upon the basis of facts that have
9 arisen since the prior decree or plan or that were unknown to the court
10 at the time of the prior decree or plan, that a substantial change has
11 occurred in the circumstances of the child or the nonmoving party and
12 that the modification is in the best interest of the child and is
13 necessary to serve the best interests of the child.

14 (2) In applying these standards, the court shall retain the
15 residential schedule established by the decree or parenting plan
16 unless:

17 (a) The parents agree to the modification;

1 (b) The child has been integrated into the family of the petitioner
2 with the consent of the other parent in substantial deviation from the
3 parenting plan;

4 (c) The child's present environment is detrimental to the child's
5 physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be caused
6 by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a change
7 to the child; or

8 (d) The court has found the nonmoving parent in contempt of court
9 at least twice within three years because the parent failed to comply
10 with the residential time provisions in the court-ordered parenting
11 plan, or the parent has been convicted of custodial interference in the
12 first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.

13 (3) A conviction of custodial interference in the first or second
14 degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070 shall constitute a substantial
15 change of circumstances for the purposes of this section.

16 (4) The court may reduce or restrict contact between the nonprimary
17 residential parent and a child if it finds that the reduction or
18 restriction would serve and protect the best interests of the child
19 using the criteria in RCW 26.09.191.

20 (5) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of
21 a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change in
22 circumstances of either parent or of the child, and without
23 consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this
24 section, if the proposed modification is only a(†

25 ~~(a) Modification in the dispute resolution process; or~~

26 ~~(b) Minor modification in the residential schedule that:~~

27 ~~(i) Does not change the residence the child is scheduled to reside~~
28 ~~in the majority of the time; and~~

29 ~~(ii) Does not exceed twenty four full days in a calendar year or~~
30 ~~five full days in a calendar month; or~~

31 ~~(iii) Is based on a change of residence or an involuntary change in~~
32 ~~work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the~~
33 ~~parenting plan impractical to follow)) minor modification in the~~
34 ~~residential schedule that does not change the residence the child is~~
35 ~~scheduled to reside in the majority of the time and:~~

36 ~~(a) Does not exceed twenty-four full days in a calendar year; or~~

37 ~~(b) Is based on a change of residence or an involuntary change in~~
38 ~~work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the~~
39 ~~parenting plan impractical to follow; or~~

1 (c) Does not result in a schedule that exceeds ninety overnights
2 per year in total, if the court finds that the decree of dissolution or
3 parenting plan does not provide reasonable time with the nonprimary
4 residential parent at the time the petition for modification is filed,
5 and further, the court finds that it is in the best interests of the
6 child to increase residential time with the nonprimary residential
7 parent in excess of the residential time period in (a) of this
8 subsection. However, any motion under this subsection (5)(c) is
9 subject to the factors established in subsection (2) of this section if
10 the party bringing the motion has previously been granted a
11 modification under this same subsection within twenty-four months of
12 the current motion. Relief granted under this section shall not be the
13 sole basis for adjusting or modifying child support.

14 (6) A nonprimary residential parent whose residential time with the
15 child is subject to limitations pursuant to RCW 26.09.191 (2) or (3)
16 may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of
17 this section unless that parent demonstrates a substantial change in
18 circumstances specifically related to the basis for the limitation.

19 (7) If a nonprimary residential parent voluntarily fails to
20 exercise residential time for an extended period, that is, one year or
21 longer, the court upon proper motion may make adjustments to the
22 parenting plan in keeping with the best interests of the minor child.

23 (8) A nonprimary parent who is required by the existing parenting
24 plan to complete evaluations, treatment, parenting, or other classes
25 may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of
26 this section unless that parent has fully complied with such
27 requirements.

28 (9) The court may order adjustments to any of the nonresidential
29 aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change of
30 circumstances of either parent or of a child, and the adjustment is in
31 the best interest of the child. Adjustments ordered under this section
32 may be made without consideration of the factors set forth in
33 subsection (2) of this section.

34 ~~((+5))~~ (10) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior
35 decree or parenting plan has been brought in bad faith, the court shall
36 assess the attorney's fees and court costs of the nonmoving parent
37 against the moving party.

Passed the House April 19, 1999.
Passed the Senate April 13, 1999.
Approved by the Governor May 5, 1999.
Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 5, 1999.