ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5378

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2001 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Natural Resources, Parks & Shorelines (originally sponsored by Senators Jacobsen, Swecker and Spanel; by request of Governor Locke)

READ FIRST TIME 03/05/01.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to amendments to shoreline master programs and
- 2 critical areas; amending RCW 90.58.080 and 36.70A.130; and
- 3 creating a new section.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that many factors
- 6 impact the quality and availability of habitat for salmon in their
- 7 various life stages. The legislature also finds that substantial
- 8 portions of the shoreline management rules recently adopted by the
- 9 department of ecology are intended to improve shoreline practices
- 10 that impact salmon. The legislature also finds that many state and
- 11 federal laws direct counties and cities to take action to protect
- 12 and improve salmon habitat.
- 13 The legislature recognizes that there are numerous regulations
- 14 requiring local governments to protect the environment, and salmon
- 15 in particular. The growth management act requires that county and
- 16 city development regulations include best available science when
- 17 designating and protecting critical areas, including fish and
- 18 wildlife areas, wetlands, and frequently flooded areas. The growth

p. 1 ESSB 5378

- 1 management act also requires counties and cities to give special
- 2 consideration to conservation and protection measures necessary to
- 3 preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries. In addition, most
- 4 counties and cities must comply with the federal clean water
- 5 act. Many counties and cities must develop storm water management
- 6 plans and must require those developing property to use best
- 7 management practices to prevent storm water runoff. Counties and
- 8 cities must also comply with the state environmental policy
- 9 act. All counties and cities with threatened or endangered salmonid
- 10 species must avoid take through their development practices and
- 11 permitting activities, subject to enforcement by the federal
- 12 government or third-party lawsuits. Many counties and cities also
- 13 have in place flood hazard reduction programs, are engaged in
- 14 watershed planning, and are engaged in salmon recovery limiting
- 15 factors analysis.
- 16 It is the intent of this act to coordinate the planning process
- 17 of the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, the critical
- 18 areas provision of the growth management act, RCW 36.70A.130, and
- 19 the shoreline management act, chapter 90.58 RCW. The planning
- 20 should be on the same schedule to fully integrate the statutory
- 21 requirements of each.
- 22 **Sec. 2.** RCW 90.58.080 and 1995 c 347 s 305 are each amended to 23 read as follows:
- 24 (1) Local governments shall develop or amend, ((within twenty-
- 25 four months after)) pursuant to the adoption of guidelines as
- 26 provided in RCW 90.58.060, a master program for regulation of uses
- 27 of the shorelines of the state consistent with the required
- 28 elements of the guidelines adopted by the department.
- 29 (2) The department must consult with counties and cities and
- 30 <u>develop a master program amendment schedule consistent with the</u>
- 31 priority salmon recovery regions identified in the statewide
- 32 strategy to recover salmon and population growth data provided by
- 33 the office of financial management. Taking into account the amount
- 34 of funding provided by the legislature and the ability of the
- 35 department to timely review and approve the shoreline master
- 36 program amendments, the schedule may not require a county or city
- 37 to submit its amended master program to the department sooner than

- 1 thirty-six months or later than seventy-two months after that
- 2 county or city has received the full amount of funding needed to
- 3 amend its shoreline master program.
- 4 (3) The department, upon the request of a local government,
- 5 <u>must grant an extension of no less than twelve months to the</u>
- 6 <u>deadlines established by the department under subsection (2) of</u>
- 7 this section for amending the shoreline master program element of
- 8 <u>comprehensive plans</u>.
- 9 <u>(4) Amendments to the guidelines adopted by the department</u>
- 10 after January 1, 2000, do not apply to any:
- 11 (a) County or city with a shoreline master program and
- 12 <u>comprehensive land use plan in place;</u>
- 13 (b) County, or city within a county, that has seventy-five
- 14 percent or more of its land base in preservation, natural resource
- 15 use, or open space. (i) "Preservation" means land that is set aside
- 16 for national parks, national wildlife refuges, state parks, local
- 17 parks, conservation easements, natural resource conservation
- 18 <u>areas, open space, or any similar status; (ii) "natural resource</u>
- 19 <u>use" means national forest land, state forest land, and</u>
- 20 <u>agricultural</u>, <u>forest</u>, <u>and mineral resource lands designated under</u>
- 21 RCW 36.70A.170; and (iii) "open space" has the same definition as
- 22 <u>in RCW 84.34.020;</u> and
- 23 (c) Counties that border on another state of the United States.
- 24 (5) Counties or cities that are not required to amend an
- 25 existing master program to conform to shoreline master program
- 26 <u>quidelines adopted by the department after January 1, 2000, must</u>
- 27 <u>either maintain and enforce a master program subject to the</u>
- 28 previous quidelines or voluntarily amend their master program
- 29 <u>subject to the amended guidelines</u>.
- 30 **Sec. 3.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 1997 c 429 s 10 are each amended to
- 31 read as follows:
- 32 (1) Except as provided in subsection (5) of this section, each
- 33 comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be
- 34 subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city
- 35 that adopted them. Not later than September 1, 2002, and at least
- 36 every five years thereafter, a county or city shall take action to
- 37 review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and

p. 3 ESSB 5378

- 1 development regulations to ensure that the plan and regulations
- 2 are complying with the requirements of this chapter. The review and
- 3 evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the
- 4 review required by subsection (3) of this section.
- 5 Any amendment or revision to a comprehensive land use plan
- 6 shall conform to this chapter, and any change to development
- 7 regulations shall be consistent with and implement the
- 8 comprehensive plan.
- 9 (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly
- 10 disseminate to the public a public participation program
- 11 identifying procedures whereby proposed amendments or revisions of
- 12 the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the
- 13 county or city no more frequently than once every year except that
- 14 amendments may be considered more frequently under the following
- 15 circumstances:
- 16 (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan;
- 17 (ii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program
- 18 under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW; and
- 19 (iii) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a
- 20 comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or
- 21 amendment of a county or city budget.
- 22 (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all
- 23 proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently
- 24 so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be
- 25 ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a
- 26 county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its
- 27 comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an
- 28 emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan
- 29 filed with a growth management hearings board or with the court.
- 30 (3) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW
- 31 36.70A.110 shall review, at least every ten years, its designated
- 32 urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within
- 33 both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban
- 34 growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each
- 35 city located within an urban growth area shall review the
- 36 densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which
- 37 the urban growth occurring within the county has located within
- 38 each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth

- 1 areas. The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth
- 2 areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by
- 3 the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within
- 4 the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban
- 5 growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-
- 6 year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined
- 7 with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- 8 (4) It is the intent of this section to coordinate the planning
- 9 process of the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, the
- 10 critical areas provision of the growth management act under this
- 11 section, and the shoreline management act, chapter 90.58 RCW. The
- 12 planning should be on the same schedule to fully integrate the
- 13 <u>statutory requirements of each.</u>
- 14 <u>(5) Revisions of critical areas must be completed according to</u>
- 15 the following schedule:
- 16 (a) Not later than September 1, 2004, and every ten years
- 17 thereafter, for each county and city that is subject to the
- 18 requirements of RCW 36.70A.215;
- 19 (b) Not later than September 1, 2006, and every ten years
- 20 thereafter, for each county and city that adopted a comprehensive
- 21 plan between January 1, 1992, and January 1, 1997, unless it is
- 22 <u>subject to the requirements of RCW 36.70A.215;</u>
- 23 (c) Not later than September 1, 2008, and every ten years
- 24 thereafter, for all other counties and cities, except that if a
- 25 county or city becomes required or chooses to plan under RCW
- 26 <u>36.70A.040 after July 1, 2001, it must take action to formally</u>
- 27 review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive plan and
- 28 development regulations no later than ten years after the due
- 29 <u>dates required for its initial adoption of a comprehensive plan</u>
- 30 and development regulations or, if it is subject to the
- 31 requirements of RCW 36.70A.215, consistent with the schedule in
- 32 (a) of this subsection.

--- END ---

p. 5 ESSB 5378