## SENATE BILL 5402

State of Washington57th Legislature2001 Regular SessionBy Senators Kline, Franklin, Fairley, McAuliffe, Kohl-Welles, Shin,

Regala, Costa, Carlson and Gardner; by request of Governor Locke

Read first time 01/22/2001. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

1 AN ACT Relating to sentencing of hate crimes; and amending RCW 2 9.94A.390.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 9.94A.390 and 2000 c 28 s 8 are each amended to read 5 as follows:

The court may impose a sentence outside the standard sentence range 6 7 for an offense if it finds, considering the purpose of this chapter, that there are substantial and compelling reasons justifying an 8 Whenever a sentence outside the standard 9 exceptional sentence. 10 sentence range is imposed, the court shall set forth the reasons for its decision in written findings of fact and conclusions of law. A 11 12 sentence outside the standard sentence range shall be a determinate 13 sentence.

14 If the sentencing court finds that an exceptional sentence outside 15 the standard sentence range should be imposed, the sentence is subject 16 to review only as provided for in RCW 9.94A.210(4).

A departure from the standards in RCW 9.94A.400 (1) and (2) 8 governing whether sentences are to be served consecutively or 9 concurrently is an exceptional sentence subject to the limitations in

p. 1

1 this section, and may be appealed by the offender or the state as set 2 forth in RCW 9.94A.210 (2) through (6).

The following are illustrative factors which the court may consider in the exercise of its discretion to impose an exceptional sentence. The following are illustrative only and are not intended to be exclusive reasons for exceptional sentences.

7

(1) Mitigating Circumstances

8 (a) To a significant degree, the victim was an initiator, willing 9 participant, aggressor, or provoker of the incident.

10 (b) Before detection, the defendant compensated, or made a good 11 faith effort to compensate, the victim of the criminal conduct for any 12 damage or injury sustained.

13 (c) The defendant committed the crime under duress, coercion, 14 threat, or compulsion insufficient to constitute a complete defense but 15 which significantly affected his or her conduct.

(d) The defendant, with no apparent predisposition to do so, wasinduced by others to participate in the crime.

(e) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the wrongfulness of his or her conduct, or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of the law, was significantly impaired. Voluntary use of drugs or alcohol is excluded.

(f) The offense was principally accomplished by another person and the defendant manifested extreme caution or sincere concern for the safety or well-being of the victim.

(g) The operation of the multiple offense policy of RCW 9.94A.400
results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly excessive in light of
the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.

(h) The defendant or the defendant's children suffered a continuing
pattern of physical or sexual abuse by the victim of the offense and
the offense is a response to that abuse.

31 (2) Aggravating Circumstances

32 (a) The defendant's conduct during the commission of the current33 offense manifested deliberate cruelty to the victim.

(b) The defendant knew or should have known that the victim of the
current offense was particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance
due to extreme youth, advanced age, disability, or ill health.

37 (c) The current offense was a violent offense, and the defendant38 knew that the victim of the current offense was pregnant.

p. 2

(d) The current offense was a major economic offense or series of
 offenses, so identified by a consideration of any of the following
 factors:

4 (i) The current offense involved multiple victims or multiple 5 incidents per victim;

6 (ii) The current offense involved attempted or actual monetary loss7 substantially greater than typical for the offense;

8 (iii) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication 9 or planning or occurred over a lengthy period of time; or

10 (iv) The defendant used his or her position of trust, confidence, 11 or fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current 12 offense.

(e) The current offense was a major violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, chapter 69.50 RCW (VUCSA), related to trafficking in controlled substances, which was more onerous than the typical offense of its statutory definition: The presence of ANY of the following may identify a current offense as a major VUCSA:

(i) The current offense involved at least three separate
transactions in which controlled substances were sold, transferred, or
possessed with intent to do so;

(ii) The current offense involved an attempted or actual sale or transfer of controlled substances in quantities substantially larger than for personal use;

(iii) The current offense involved the manufacture of controlledsubstances for use by other parties;

(iv) The circumstances of the current offense reveal the offenderto have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy;

(v) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication or
 planning, occurred over a lengthy period of time, or involved a broad
 geographic area of disbursement; or

(vi) The offender used his or her position or status to facilitate the commission of the current offense, including positions of trust, confidence or fiduciary responsibility (e.g., pharmacist, physician, or other medical professional).

35 (f) The current offense included a finding of sexual motivation 36 pursuant to RCW 9.94A.127.

(g) The offense was part of an ongoing pattern of sexual abuse of
the same victim under the age of eighteen years manifested by multiple
incidents over a prolonged period of time.

p. 3

(h) The current offense involved domestic violence, as defined in
 RCW 10.99.020, and one or more of the following was present:

3 (i) The offense was part of an ongoing pattern of psychological,
4 physical, or sexual abuse of the victim manifested by multiple
5 incidents over a prolonged period of time;

6 (ii) The offense occurred within sight or sound of the victim's or 7 the offender's minor children under the age of eighteen years; or

8 (iii) The offender's conduct during the commission of the current 9 offense manifested deliberate cruelty or intimidation of the victim.

(i) The operation of the multiple offense policy of RCW 9.94A.400
results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient in light
of the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.

(j) The defendant's prior unscored misdemeanor or prior unscored foreign criminal history results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient in light of the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.

17 (k) The offense resulted in the pregnancy of a child victim of 18 rape.

(1) The defendant knew that the victim of the current offense was a youth who was not residing with a legal custodian and the defendant established or promoted the relationship for the primary purpose of victimization.

23 (m) The defendant committed the current offense because of the 24 defendant's perception of the victim's race, color, religion, ancestry, 25 national origin, gender, sexual orientation, or mental, physical, or 26 sensory handicap.

--- END ---