CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 6194

Chapter 22, Laws of 2001

(partial veto)

57th Legislature 2001 Second Special Session

GRAYS HARBOR PILOTAGE DISTRICT

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/13/01

Passed by the Senate June 19, 2001 YEAS 44 NAYS 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House June 20, 2001 YEAS 83 NAYS 0

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the
House of Representatives

CLYDE BALLARD

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved July 13, 2001, with the exception of section 2, which is vetoed.

CERTIFICATE

I, Tony M. Cook, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 6194** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

TONY M. COOK

Secretary

FILED

July 13, 2001 - 3:13 p.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington ·

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 6194

Passed Legislature - 2001 Second Special Session

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2001 Second Special Session

By Senators Snyder, Hargrove and T. Sheldon

Read first time 06/04/2001. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to authorizing the provision of pilotage services
- 2 in the Grays Harbor pilotage district by port districts; adding new
- 3 sections to chapter 53.08 RCW; creating a new section; and declaring an
- 4 emergency.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 53.08 RCW
- 7 to read as follows:
- 8 A countywide port district located in part or in whole within the
- 9 Grays Harbor pilotage district, as defined by RCW 88.16.050(2), may
- 10 commence pilotage service with the following powers and subject to the
- 11 conditions contained in this section.
- 12 (1) Persons employed to perform the pilotage service of a port
- 13 district must be licensed under chapter 88.16 RCW to provide pilotage.
- 14 (2) Before establishing pilotage service, a port district shall
- 15 give at least sixty days' written notice to the chairman of the board
- 16 of pilotage commissioners to provide pilotage.
- 17 (3) A port district providing pilotage service under this section
- 18 requiring additional pilots may petition the board of pilotage
- 19 commissioners to qualify and license as a pilot a person who has passed

- the examination and is on the waiting list for the training program for the district. If there are no persons on the waiting list, the board shall solicit applicants and offer the examination.
- 4 (4) In addition to the power to employ or contract with pilots, a 5 port district providing pilotage services under this section has such 6 other powers as are reasonably necessary to accomplish the purpose of 7 this section including, but not limited to, providing through ownership 8 or contract pilots launches, dispatcher services, or ancillary tug 9 services required for operations or safety.
- 10 (5) A port district providing pilotage services under this section may recommend to the board of pilotage commissioners rules of service, 11 12 rates, and tariffs governing its pilotage services for consideration 13 and adoption pursuant to RCW 88.16.035. The rules, rates, and tariffs recommended by the port district must have been approved in open 14 15 meetings of the port district ten or more days after published notice in a newspaper of general circulation and after mailing a copy of the 16 notice to the chairman of the board of pilotage commissioners. 17
- 18 (6) A pilot providing pilotage services under this section must 19 comply with all requirements of the pilotage act, chapter 88.16 RCW, 20 and all rules adopted thereunder.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 53.08 RCW to read as follows:
 - The joint legislative audit and review committee shall study the authorization for the provision of pilotage services in the Grays Harbor pilotage district and issue a report to the house of representatives and senate transportation committees no later than June 30, 2006. Specifically, the joint legislative audit and review committee shall review whether the provisions of this act continue to ensure safe pilotage in the Grays Harbor port, whether there was a shortage of pilots, whether shipping has declined since the effective date of this act, and whether other ports have indicated an interest in providing pilotage services.
- 33 *Sec. 2 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** Nothing in this act is intended to amend chapter 88.16 RCW.

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

3132

- 1 NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act is necessary for the immediate
- 2 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the
- 3 state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect
- 4 immediately.

Passed the Senate June 19, 2001.

Passed the House June 20, 2001.

Approved by the Governor July 13, 2001, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State July 13, 2001.

- 1 Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:
- "I am returning herewith, without my approval as to section 2, Engrossed Senate Bill No. 6194 entitled:
- "AN ACT Relating to authorizing the provision of pilotage services
 in the Grays Harbor pilotage district by port districts;"
- Engrossed Senate Bill No. 6194 authorizes the Port of Grays Harbor to undertake pilotage services under certain conditions. This bill will help ensure the safe passage of maritime traffic in the Grays Harbor pilotage district when no private sector pilots are available.
- Section 2 of the bill mandates a study of this authorization by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee. Although a review of the provisions and effectiveness of this act should be undertaken, the scope of the study is too broad. It specifically requests that the committee investigate whether other ports have indicated an interest in providing pilotage services, suggesting that we might consider the provision of pilotage services by ports other than Grays Harbor.
- In the case of Grays Harbor, it is clear that the private sector is no longer able to adequately provide this essential public service. However, there is no evidence to suggest that the public needs to provide these services in any other area. The public sector should not unnecessarily displace functioning private sector businesses.
- For these reasons I have vetoed section 2 of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 6194.
- With the exception of section 2, Engrossed Senate Bill No. 6194 is approved."