## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

### SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6012

Chapter 262, Laws of 2003

58th Legislature 2003 Regular Session

SHORELINE MANAGEMENT

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/27/03

Passed by the Senate April 26, 2003 YEAS 44 NAYS 5

### BRAD OWEN

## President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 17, 2003 YEAS 61 NAYS 37

## FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

### CERTIFICATE

I, Milton н. Doumit, Jr., Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6012 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

# MILTON H. DOUMIT JR.

Secretary

Approved May 14, 2003.

FILED

May 14, 2003 - 10:16 a.m.

GARY F. LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

# .....

### SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6012

## AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2003 Regular Session

# State of Washington 58th Legislature 2003 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Land Use & Planning (originally sponsored by Senators Mulliken, T. Sheldon and Morton)

READ FIRST TIME 03/05/03.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to shoreline management; and amending RCW
- 2 90.58.060, 90.58.080, and 90.58.250.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 90.58.060 and 1995 c 347 s 304 are each amended to read as follows:
- 6 (1) The department shall periodically review and adopt guidelines 7 consistent with RCW 90.58.020, containing the elements specified in RCW 8 90.58.100 for:
- 9 (a) Development of master programs for regulation of the uses of 10 shorelines; and
- 11 (b) Development of master programs for regulation of the uses of 12 shorelines of statewide significance.
- 13 (2) Before adopting or amending guidelines under this section, the 14 department shall provide an opportunity for public review and comment 15 as follows:
- 16 (a) The department shall mail copies of the proposal to all cities, 17 counties, and federally recognized Indian tribes, and to any other 18 person who has requested a copy, and shall publish the proposed

guidelines in the Washington state register. Comments shall be submitted in writing to the department within sixty days from the date the proposal has been published in the register.

- (b) The department shall hold at least four public hearings on the proposal in different locations throughout the state to provide a reasonable opportunity for residents in all parts of the state to present statements and views on the proposed guidelines. Notice of the hearings shall be published at least once in each of the three weeks immediately preceding the hearing in one or more newspapers of general circulation in each county of the state. If an amendment to the guidelines addresses an issue limited to one geographic area, the number and location of hearings may be adjusted consistent with the intent of this subsection to assure all parties a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed amendment. The department shall accept written comments on the proposal during the sixty-day public comment period and for seven days after the final public hearing.
- (c) At the conclusion of the public comment period, the department shall review the comments received and modify the proposal consistent with the provisions of this chapter. The proposal shall then be published for adoption pursuant to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW.
- (3) The department may ((propose)) adopt amendments to the guidelines not more than once each year. ((At least once every five years the department shall conduct a review of the guidelines pursuant to the procedures outlined in subsection (2) of this section)) Such amendments shall be limited to: (a) Addressing technical or procedural issues that result from the review and adoption of master programs under the guidelines; or (b) issues of guideline compliance with statutory provisions.
- **Sec. 2.** RCW 90.58.080 and 1995 c 347 s 305 are each amended to 30 read as follows:
  - (1) Local governments shall develop or amend((, within twenty four months after the adoption of guidelines as provided in RCW 90.58.060,)) a master program for regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in accordance with the schedule established by this section.
    - (2)(a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of this

- section, each local government subject to this chapter shall develop or 1 2 amend its master program for the regulation of uses of shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following schedule: 3
- (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend, 4 the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and 5 Whatcom county; 6
- (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the cities 7 8 within King county greater in population than ten thousand;
- (iii) Except as provided by (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection, on 9 or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, 10 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the 11 12 cities within those counties;
- 13 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within 14 those counties; 15
- (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, 16 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within 17 those counties; and 18
- (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, 19 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, 20 21 Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties. 22
- (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local 23 24 government from developing or amending its master program prior to the dates established by this subsection (2). 25
- (3)(a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended 26 27 master program, local governments required to develop or amend master programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection 28 (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied 29 with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this section 30 and shall not be required to complete master program amendments until 31 seven years after the applicable dates established by subsection 32 (2)(a)(iii) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection 33 (2)(a)(i) of this section that has a new or amended master program 34 approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before the 35 effective date of this section, shall not be required to complete 36 37 master program amendments until seven years after the applicable date 38
  - provided by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this section.

- (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete master program amendments until seven years after the applicable dates established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section.
  - (4) Local governments shall conduct a review of their master programs at least once every seven years after the applicable dates established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section. Following the review required by this subsection (4), local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master programs. The purpose of the review is:
- (a) To assure that the master program complies with applicable law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and
- (b) To assure consistency of the master program with the local government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local requirements.
- (5) Local governments are encouraged to begin the process of developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of this subsection.
- (6)(a) Grants to local governments for developing and amending master programs pursuant to the schedule established by this section shall be provided at least two years before the adoption dates specified in subsection (2) of this section. To the extent possible, the department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated for such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend master programs during the biennium according to the schedule established by subsection (2) of this section. Any local government that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the provisions of

subsection (2) of this section may delay the development or amendment of its master program until the following biennium.

- (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in subsequent biennia, and the development or amendment compliance deadline for those local governments shall be two years after the date of grant approval.
- (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.
- (7) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by the department after January 1, 2003.
- **Sec. 3.** RCW 90.58.250 and 1971 ex.s. c 286 s 25 are each amended 19 to read as follows:
  - (1) The legislature intends to eliminate the limits on state funding of shoreline master program development and amendment costs. The legislature further intends that the state will provide funding to local governments that is reasonable and adequate to accomplish the costs of developing and amending shoreline master programs consistent with the schedule established by RCW 90.58.080. Except as specifically described herein, nothing in this act is intended to alter the existing obligation, duties, and benefits provided by this act to local governments and the department.
  - (2) The department is directed to cooperate fully with local governments in discharging their responsibilities under this chapter. Funds shall be available for distribution to local governments on the basis of applications for preparation of master programs and the provisions of RCW 90.58.080(7). Such applications shall be submitted in accordance with regulations developed by the department. The department is authorized to make and administer grants within appropriations authorized by the legislature to any local government

```
within the state for the purpose of developing a master shorelines
program.
```

> Passed by the Senate April 26, 2003. Passed by the House April 17, 2003. Approved by the Governor May 14, 2003. Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 14, 2003.