SSB 6020 - S AMD 548

By Senators Keiser, McCoy, Hatfield

ADOPTED 02/28/2014

1 On page 34, after line 7, insert the following:

2

3

- 4 "NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to 2013 2nd sp.s. c
- 5 19 (uncodified) to read as follows:

6 FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

7 Flood Hazard Reduction Grants (91000239)

8

- 9 The appropriation in this section is subject to the following 10 conditions and limitations:
- 11 (1) \$15,00,000 of the appropriation in this section is provided
- 12 solely for a statewide competitive grant program for flood hazard
- 13 reduction projects that also protect or restore floodplain ecosystem
- 14 functions.
- 15 (a) Grants may be distributed on a competitive basis to the
- 16 following eligible entities: Counties, cities, federally recognized
- 17 Indian tribes; port districts; flood control districts; conservation
- 18 districts; and diking and drainage districts.
- 19 (b) Applicants must provide a twenty percent match from nonstate
- 20 sources. The nonstate match may include cash on hand, the value of
- 21 real property when acquired solely for the purpose of the project, the
- 22 proceeds of a letter of credit or other binding loan commitment, a
- 23 pledge commitment, and in-kind contributions. Applicants may receive
- 24 credit for properly documented nonstate matching funds that were
- 25 contributed no earlier than ten years prior to the grant solicitation
- 26 round and that are related to the needs identified in the project

27

- 1 application. The department may develop additional requirements 2 related to documenting the value of the nonstate match.
- 3 (c) The department, in consultation with the office of financial
- 4 management, must evaluate, score, and rank applications based on the
- 5 following criteria, in descending order of priority:
- 6 (i) Projects that achieve multiple benefits, including, but not
- 7 limited to, cost-effective flood hazard reduction to people, property,
- 8 critical facilities, and transportation corridors, flood risk
- 9 reduction, salmon recovery, water quality improvements, habitat
- 10 restoration, agricultural viability, public access, and channel
- 11 migration zone protection. Projects must address both reduction and
- 12 prevention of flood risks, and protection or restoration of floodplain
- 13 ecosystem functions. For the Chehalis river basin, projects may also
- 14 include, but not be limited to, an upstream water retention facility.
- 15 (A) Priority must first be given to projects that:
- 16 (I) Are located in a county or counties covered by ten or more
- 17 state emergency flood proclamations from 1996 to 2012; and
- 18 (II) Are part of a basin-wide strategy created by a governor's
- 19 work group in close collaboration with an Indian tribe and a
- 20 multicounty flood authority.
- 21 (B) Priority must next be given to projects in counties that have
- 22 historically been and are projected to continue to be at greatest risk
- 23 and most vulnerable to flooding;
- 24 (ii) Projects that are consistent with and recommended by a
- 25 collaborative planning and approval process that includes public
- 26 comment, such as a comprehensive flood hazard management plan, a
- 27 hazard mitigation plan, a comprehensive plan, a watershed plan, or
- 28 other applicable plans;
- 29 (iii) Projects that minimize or eliminate future costs for
- 30 maintenance, operation, or emergency response; and
- 31 (iv) Projects that are ready to proceed with the scope of work,
- 32 and whose sponsors have the capacity to complete the project
- 33 successfully.

Τ	
2	Appropriation:
3	State Building Construction AccountState \$15,000,000
4	
5	Prior Biennia (Expenditures)\$0
6	Future Biennia (Projected Costs)\$0
7	TOTAL \$15,000,000"
8	
9	Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any
10	internal references accordingly.
11	
	EFFECT: Provides \$15 million in bonds for flood hazard
	mitigation grants.

--- END ---