

FINAL BILL REPORT

SJM 8003

Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Requesting Congress to amend the Communications Decency Act.

Sponsors: Senators Kohl-Welles, Padden, Kline, Roach, Fraser, Carrell, Darneille, Pearson, Conway and Chase.

Senate Committee on Human Services & Corrections

House Committee on Technology & Economic Development

Background: The Communications Decency Act (Act) was enacted in 1996 to promote the continued development of the Internet. Section 230 of the Act assures internet service providers (ISPs) nearly complete immunity from liability as a way to encourage ISPs to promote the growth of the fledging internet without incurring liability for third-party communications. The section immunizes ISPs, even if they have actual notice of the harmful or offensive content and fail to take action.

In 1996, section 230 applied mainly to companies such as AOL and other large ISPs. But as the Internet has expanded, some courts have held that the section also immunizes websites such as Facebook, MySpace, and Backpage.com, making the legislation controversial. Today, the Internet makes it possible for companies such as Backpage.com to earn millions of dollars annually from the sale of location-specific advertisements, some of which directly facilitate the sex trafficking of minors and other victims. Without a change to section 230, states are unable to enact reforms that hold ISPs responsible for facilitating crimes against children and refusing to implement any measures to verify the age of persons featured in their advertisements.

Summary: The Washington State Legislature respectfully urges Congress to amend the Act to reflect the current scope and power of the Internet, to acknowledge the publisher-like role of companies like backpage.com, and to authorize states to enact and enforce laws holding ISPs liable when they knowingly facilitate child sex trafficking through the sale of adult escort advertisements.

Votes on Final Passage:

Senate	48	0
House	98	0

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.