

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT  
**ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1881**

52nd Legislature  
1991 Regular Session

Passed by the House March 20, 1991  
Yeas 97 Nays 0

---

**Speaker of the  
House of Representatives**

Passed by the Senate April 12, 1991  
Yeas 38 Nays 0

---

**President of the Senate**

Approved

---

**Governor of the State of Washington**

CERTIFICATE

I, Alan Thompson, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1881** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

---

**Chief Clerk**

FILED

Secretary of State  
State of Washington



---

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1881

---

Passed Legislature - 1991 Regular Session

State of Washington                      52nd Legislature                      1991 Regular Session

By House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Appelwick, Padden, Paris, May, Winsley, Wood and D. Sommers).

Read first time March 6, 1991.

1            AN ACT Relating to determining the number of district court judges;  
2 amending RCW 3.34.010 and 3.34.020; adding a new section to chapter  
3 3.34 RCW; and creating a new section.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5            **Sec. 1.** RCW 3.34.010 and 1989 c 227 s 6 are each amended to read  
6 as follows:

7            The number of district judges (~~to be elected in each county shall~~  
8 ~~be: Adams, three; Asotin, one; Benton, two; Chelan, one; Clallam, one;~~  
9 ~~Clark, four; Columbia, one; Cowlitz, two; Douglas, one; Ferry, two;~~  
10 ~~Franklin, one; Garfield, one; Grant, one; Grays Harbor, two; Island,~~  
11 ~~three; Jefferson, one; King, twenty-four; Kitsap, two; Kittitas, two;~~  
12 ~~Klickitat, two; Lewis, two; Lincoln, one; Mason, one; Okanogan, two;~~  
13 ~~Pacific, three; Pend Oreille, two; Pierce, eight; San Juan, one;~~  
14 ~~Skagit, three; Skamania, one; Snohomish, eight; Spokane, eight;~~  
15 ~~Stevens, two; Thurston, one; Wahkiakum, one; Walla Walla, three;~~

1 ~~Whatcom, two; Whitman, two; Yakima, six: PROVIDED, That this number~~  
2 ~~may be increased in accordance with a resolution of the county~~  
3 ~~commissioners under RCW 3.34.020)) in each county shall be the base~~  
4 ~~number of full and part-time district judges that are in office as of~~  
5 ~~January 1, 1992, and may only be changed thereafter as provided in RCW~~  
6 ~~3.34.020 and section 3 of this act.~~

7       **Sec. 2.** RCW 3.34.020 and 1987 c 202 s 112 are each amended to read  
8 as follows:

9       (~~In each district having a population of forty thousand or more~~  
10 ~~but less than sixty thousand, there shall be elected one full time~~  
11 ~~district judge; in each district having a population of sixty thousand~~  
12 ~~but less than one hundred twenty five thousand, there shall be elected~~  
13 ~~two full time judges; in each district having a population of one~~  
14 ~~hundred twenty five thousand but less than two hundred thousand, there~~  
15 ~~shall be elected three full time judges; and in each district having a~~  
16 ~~population of two hundred thousand or more there shall be elected one~~  
17 ~~additional full time judge for each additional one hundred thousand~~  
18 ~~persons or fraction thereof. If a district having one or more full~~  
19 ~~time judges should change in population, for reasons other than change~~  
20 ~~in district boundaries, sufficiently to require a change in the number~~  
21 ~~of judges previously authorized to it, the change shall be made by the~~  
22 ~~county legislative authority without regard to RCW 3.34.010 as now or~~  
23 ~~hereafter amended and shall become effective on the second Monday of~~  
24 ~~January of the year following. Upon any redistricting of the county~~  
25 ~~thereafter the number of judges in the county shall be designated under~~  
26 ~~RCW 3.34.010. In a district having a population of one hundred twenty~~  
27 ~~thousand people or more adjoining a metropolitan county of another~~  
28 ~~state which has a population in excess of five hundred thousand, there~~  
29 ~~shall be one full time judge in addition to the number otherwise~~

1 ~~allowed by this section and without regard to RCW 3.34.030 or~~  
2 ~~resolution of the county legislative authority. The county legislative~~  
3 ~~authority may by resolution make a part time position a full time~~  
4 ~~office. The county legislative authority may by resolution provide for~~  
5 ~~the election of one full time judge in addition to the number of full~~  
6 ~~time judges authorized.))~~

7 (1) Any change in the number of full and part-time district judges  
8 after January 1, 1992, shall be determined by the legislature after  
9 receiving a recommendation from the supreme court. The supreme court  
10 shall make its recommendations to the legislature based on a weighted  
11 caseload analysis that takes into account the following:

12 (a) The extent of time that existing judges have available to hear  
13 cases in that court;

14 (b) A measurement of the judicial time needed to process various  
15 types of cases;

16 (c) A determination of the time required to process each type of  
17 case to the individual court workload;

18 (d) A determination of the amount of a judge's annual work time  
19 that can be devoted exclusively to processing cases; and

20 (e) An assessment of judicial resource needs, including annual case  
21 filings, and case weights and the judge year value determined under the  
22 weighted caseload method.

23 (2) The administrator for the courts, under the supervision of the  
24 supreme court, may consult with the board of judicial administration,  
25 the judicial council, and the district and municipal court judge's  
26 association in developing the procedures and methods of applying the  
27 weighted caseload analysis.

28 (3) For each recommended change from the number of full and part-  
29 time district judges in any county as of January 1, 1992, the  
30 administrator for the courts, under the supervision of the supreme

1 court, shall complete a judicial impact note detailing any local or  
2 state cost associated with such recommended change.

3 (4) If the legislature approves an increase in the base number of  
4 district judges in any county as of January 1, 1992, such increase in  
5 the base number of district judges and all related costs may be paid  
6 for by the county from moneys provided under RCW 82.14.310, and any  
7 such costs shall be deemed to be expended for criminal justice purposes  
8 as provided in RCW 82.14.315, and such expenses shall not constitute a  
9 supplanting of existing funding.

10 (5)(a) A county legislative authority that desires to change the  
11 number of full or part-time district judges from the base number on  
12 January 1, 1992, must first request the assistance of the supreme  
13 court. The administrator for the courts, under the supervision of the  
14 supreme court, shall conduct a weighted caseload analysis and make a  
15 recommendation of its findings to the legislature for consideration as  
16 provided in this section.

17 (b) The legislative authority of any county may change a part-time  
18 district judge position to a full-time position.

19 NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 3.34 RCW  
20 to read as follows:

21 Any additional district judge positions created under RCW 3.34.020  
22 shall be effective only if the legislative authority of the affected  
23 county documents its approval of any additional positions and its  
24 agreement that it will pay out of county funds, without reimbursement  
25 from the state, the expenses of such additional judicial positions as  
26 provided by statute. The additional expenses include, but are not  
27 limited to, expenses incurred for court facilities. The legislative  
28 authority of any such county may, at its discretion, phase in any

1 judicial positions over a period of time not to exceed two years from  
2 the effective date of the additional district judge positions.

3 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** The supreme court shall compile a report  
4 for the law and justice committee of the senate and the judiciary  
5 committee of the house of representatives no later than December 1,  
6 1991, that documents the number of full and part-time district judges  
7 by county, and a process to be used in applying a weighted caseload  
8 analysis to changing the number of district judges after the effective  
9 date of this act. The report may recommend any suggested changes that  
10 may be made to a weighted caseload analysis, its impact, costs, or any  
11 other issues affecting the number of district judges in the state.