CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6400

Chapter 287, Laws of 2002

57th Legislature 2002 Regular Session

BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/13/02

Passed by the Senate March 12, 2002 YEAS 36 NAYS 9

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House March 8, 2002 YEAS 55 NAYS 41

CERTIFICATE

I, Tony M. Cook, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6400** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

FRANK CHOPP

TONY M. COOK

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Secretary

Approved April 1, 2002

FILED

April 1, 2002 - 11:22 a.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6400

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2002 Regular Session

State of Washington 57th Legislature

2002 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Natural Resources, Parks & Shorelines (originally sponsored by Senators Jacobsen, Oke, Kohl-Welles and Kline) READ FIRST TIME 02/07/2002.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to biodiversity conservation; and creating new
- 2 sections.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that the state of
- 5 Washington possesses a diversity of plants and animals in a diverse
- 6 array of ecologically distinct regions. This biological diversity and
- 7 its role in forming the diverse landscapes of the state are an
- 8 important part of the high quality of life shared by all of the state's
- 9 citizens and its visitors. By better understanding the variety and
- 10 status of living organisms and the communities and ecosystems in which
- 11 they occur, conservation efforts can be more effective in ensuring that
- 12 this wealth of biological diversity is enjoyed by current and future
- 13 generations.
- 14 The legislature further finds that extensive scientific work has
- 15 been completed by both public and private entities to map the state's
- 16 ecoregions and address ecoregional planning issues, by academic
- 17 institutions, by state agencies such as the departments of natural
- 18 resources and fish and wildlife, and by nongovernmental organizations
- 19 such as the nature conservancy. However, these existing information

- 1 sources are not complete, and this information may not be sufficiently
- 2 coordinated or accessible and useful to the public or policymakers.
- 3 Similarly, there is no single entity responsible for development and
- 4 implementation of a coordinated state strategy to conserve remaining
- 5 functioning ecosystems and restore habitats needed to maintain
- 6 Washington's biodiversity. There should be a comprehensive review to
- 7 identify the state's needs for biodiversity data and conservation, and
- 8 to coordinate development, dissemination, and use of existing
- 9 information.
- 10 There is also a need to strengthen the state's nonregulatory
- 11 approaches to biodiversity conservation, including incentives for
- 12 voluntary conservation efforts by private landowners. Incentives shall
- 13 be a major element of the state's overall biodiversity conservation
- 14 strategy.
- The legislature further finds that resource management on a single-
- 16 species or single-resource basis has proven to be costly, acrimonious,
- 17 and ultimately ineffective at either preserving the state's
- 18 biodiversity or allowing reasonable economic development.
- 19 Therefore, the purpose of this act is to create a temporary
- 20 committee to develop recommendations to the governor and the
- 21 legislature to establish the framework for the development and
- 22 implementation of a statewide biodiversity conservation strategy, to
- 23 replace existing single-species or single-resource protection programs.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. (1) The interagency committee for outdoor
- 25 recreation is authorized to grant up to forty-five thousand dollars, on
- 26 a competitive basis, to conduct the review of biodiversity programs as
- 27 described in this section.
- 28 (2) The successful grantee must convene and facilitate a
- 29 biodiversity conservation committee that will review existing
- 30 biodiversity mapping and research programs in Washington conducted by
- 31 state and federal agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and other
- 32 entities, as well as reviewing programs and projects in other states.
- 33 (3) The biodiversity conservation committee must develop
- 34 recommendations for a state biodiversity strategy that includes:
- 35 (a) Creation and composition of a standing public/private council
- 36 to oversee design, development, and implementation of the strategy;

- 1 (b) Identification of a lead agency to support and facilitate 2 development and implementation of a state biodiversity conservation 3 plan;
- 4 (c) Methods to improve state agency and nongovernmental organization coordination and cooperation;
- 6 (d) Consistent definitions of the state's ecoregions and an 7 integrated system of data management and mapping of the state's 8 biodiversity;
- 9 (e) A review of Oregon's forest sustainability project and 10 incorporation of key processes and criteria that are applicable in 11 Washington;
- 12 (f) The state role for housing and administering biodiversity data 13 and making the data accessible to local governments and others;
- 14 (g) A public education and outreach component that includes the 15 production of a visual overview of Washington's ecoregions;
 - (h) Methods to ensure continuing stakeholder involvement;

16

- 17 (i) Methods to provide technical assistance to support state and 18 local government land management;
- 19 (j) Identification of the time frames and funding needed to 20 implement the strategy;
- 21 (k) Identification and development of nonregulatory methods to 22 preserve biodiversity, including incentives to conserve land with 23 important biodiversity values. These methods shall focus on approaches 24 such as landowner incentives and acquisition of conservation easements 25 from willing landowners;
- 26 (1) Recognition of the forests and fish program and other 27 public-private efforts to identify and protect important fish and 28 wildlife habitat;
- (m) Development of consistent, workable definitions for key terms that are currently undefined in this act, including the terms "biodiversity" and "ecosystem"; and
- 32 (n) Review state policies and legal mechanisms that may affect 33 biodiversity.
- 34 (4) The purpose of the state biodiversity strategy is to develop 35 and suggest implementation recommendations for an ongoing biodiversity 36 conservation strategy to maintain Washington's biodiversity in 37 perpetuity, within the context of human activities on the landscape, to 38 prevent additional species from being listed as endangered or

- 1 threatened, and to create a more predictable environment in which to 2 conduct economic activities.
- 3 (5) In carrying out the duties assigned in this section, the 4 biodiversity conservation committee must recognize existing 5 conservation commitments, including approved habitat conservation plans 6 and other similar methods initiated by the legislature or a regulatory 7 board, and focus on addressing conservation needs that have not already 8 been addressed.
- 9 (6) The successful grantee must invite representatives of the 10 following groups to participate on the biodiversity conservation 11 committee:
- 12 (a) State agencies, including the departments of fish and wildlife, 13 natural resources, and ecology, the Puget Sound action team, and the 14 state salmon recovery office;
- 15 (b) Federal land management and natural resource agencies;
- 16 (c) Local governments;
- 17 (d) Tribes;
- 18 (e) Property owners, including forestry and agriculture;
- 19 (f) Business, including land development;
- 20 (q) Academia and research institutions; and
- 21 (h) Conservation nongovernmental organizations.
- 22 (7) The biodiversity conservation committee must choose a chair 23 from among its members and adopt operating procedures.
- (8) The grant agreement must be conditioned to require that at least an amount of funding equal to the state grant be applied to the project from nonstate sources.
- (9) The grantee must provide a final report describing its review and recommendations to the governor and the appropriate standing committees of the senate and the house of representatives by October 1, 30 2003.

Passed the Senate March 12, 2002. Passed the House March 8, 2002. Approved by the Governor April 1, 2002. Filed in Office of Secretary of State April 1, 2002.