<u>SHB 3082</u> - S COMM AMD By Committee on Judiciary

- 1 Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the 2 following:
- 3 "Sec. 1. RCW 3.50.003 and 1984 c 258 s 125 are each amended to 4 read as follows:
- 5 (("Mayor," as used in this chapter,)) (1) "City" means an incorporated city or town.
- 7 (2) "Contracting city" means any city that contracts with a hosting 8 jurisdiction for the delivery of judicial services.
- 9 (3) "Mayor" means the chief administrative officer of the city.
- 10 (4) "Hosting jurisdiction" means a county or city designated in an interlocal agreement as receiving compensation for providing judicial services to a contracting city.
- 13 **Sec. 2.** RCW 3.50.005 and 1984 c 258 s 101 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
- 15 ((The legislature finds that there is a multitude of statutes governing the municipal courts of the state. This situation is 16 17 confusing and misleading to attorneys, judges, court personnel, and others who work with the municipal courts. The legislature therefore 18 19 finds that a reorganization of the municipal courts of the state would 20 allow those courts to operate in a more effective and efficient manner)) The legislature finds that permitting cities to contract with 21 counties or other cities for judicial services will allow cities to 2.2 provide more cost-effective services and encourage the creation of 23 regional courts of limited jurisdiction that provide the full range of 24 25 judicial functions and that are open and accessible to the citizens of 26 the state of Washington. This chapter provides a court structure which 27 may be used by cities and towns with a population of four hundred 2.8 thousand or less which choose to operate under this chapter.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 3.50 RCW to read as follows:

A city may meet the requirements of RCW 39.34.180 by entering into 3 an interlocal agreement with the county in which the city is located or 4 with one or more cities. The host jurisdiction in any such agreement 5 must be located within reasonable proximity to any contracting city or 6 cities. For purposes of this section, "reasonable proximity" shall be 7 determined after consideration of the factors set forth in RCW 8 39.34.010. The respective presiding judges from the host jurisdiction 9 and from any contracting city or cities shall be invited by the mayor 10 of each city and by any county to attend and participate in interlocal 11 agreement negotiations. The interlocal agreement shall provide that a 12 13 judge of the hosting jurisdiction sit as the municipal court judge for 14 the contracting city or cities and hear those cases specified in RCW 39.34.180. 15

Sec. 4. RCW 3.50.020 and 2005 c 282 s 14 are each amended to read as follows:

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

29

3031

32

33

3435

36

The municipal court shall have exclusive original jurisdiction over traffic infractions arising under city ordinances and exclusive original criminal jurisdiction of all violations of city ordinances duly adopted by the city ((in which the municipal court is located)) and shall have original jurisdiction of all other actions brought to enforce or recover license penalties or forfeitures declared or given by such ordinances or by state statutes. A hosting jurisdiction shall have exclusive original criminal and other jurisdiction as described in this section for all matters filed by a contracting city. The municipal court shall also have the jurisdiction as conferred by statute. The municipal court is empowered to forfeit cash bail or bail bonds and issue execution thereon; and in general to hear and determine all causes, civil or criminal, including traffic infractions, arising under such ordinances and to pronounce judgment in accordance therewith. A municipal court participating in the program established by the administrative office of the courts pursuant to RCW 2.56.160 shall have jurisdiction to take recognizance, approve bail, and arraign defendants held within its jurisdiction on warrants issued by any court of limited jurisdiction participating in the program.

- 1 **Sec. 5.** RCW 3.50.805 and 2005 c 433 s 35 are each amended to read 2 as follows:
- 3 (1) A ((municipality)) city operating a municipal court under this chapter shall not terminate that court or terminate an interlocal 4 agreement entered into under section 3 of this act unless the 5 ((municipality)) city has reached an agreement with the appropriate 6 7 county or another ((municipality)) city under chapter 39.34 RCW under which the county or ((municipality)) city is to be paid a reasonable 8 amount for costs associated with prosecution, adjudication, and 9 sentencing in criminal cases, traffic infractions, or protective orders 10 as defined in RCW 39.34.180 that are filed in district or municipal 11 court as a result of the termination. The agreement shall provide for 12 13 periodic review and renewal of the terms of the agreement. 14 ((municipality)) city and the county or ((municipality)) city are unable to agree on the terms for renewal of the agreement, they shall 15 16 be deemed to have entered into an agreement to submit the issue to 17 arbitration under chapter 7.04A RCW. Pending conclusion of the arbitration proceeding, the terms of the agreement shall remain in 18 effect. The ((municipality)) city and the county or ((municipality)) 19 20 city have the same rights and are subject to the same duties as other 21 parties who have agreed to submit to arbitration under chapter 7.04A 22 A ((municipality)) city that has entered into agreements with other ((municipalities)) cities that have terminated their municipal 23 24 not thereafter terminate its court may unless 25 ((municipality)) city has reached an agreement with the appropriate county or city in accordance with this section. 26
 - (2) A ((municipality)) city operating a municipal court under this chapter may not repeal in its entirety that portion of its municipal code defining crimes while retaining the court's authority to hear and determine traffic infractions under chapter 46.63 RCW unless the ((municipality)) city has reached an agreement with the county under chapter 39.34 RCW under which the county is to be paid a reasonable amount for costs associated with prosecution, adjudication, and sentencing in criminal cases, traffic infractions, or protective orders as defined in RCW 39.34.180 that are filed in district court as a result of the repeal. The agreement shall provide for periodic review and renewal of the terms of the agreement. If the ((municipality)) city and the county are unable to agree on the terms for renewal of the

27

28

29

30

3132

33

3435

3637

agreement, they shall be deemed to have entered into an agreement to submit the issue to arbitration under chapter 7.04A RCW. Pending conclusion of the arbitration proceeding, the terms of the agreement shall remain in effect. The ((municipality)) city and the county have the same rights and are subject to the same duties as other parties who have agreed to submit to arbitration under chapter 7.04A RCW.

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

3031

32

33

34

3536

37

(3) A ((municipality)) city operating a municipal court under this chapter may not repeal a provision of its municipal code which defines a crime equivalent to an offense listed in RCW 46.63.020 unless the ((municipality)) city has reached an agreement with the county under chapter 39.34 RCW under which the county is to be paid a reasonable amount for costs associated with prosecution, adjudication, and sentencing in criminal cases, traffic infractions, or protective orders as defined in RCW 39.34.180 that are filed in district court as a result of the repeal. The agreement shall provide for periodic review and renewal of the terms of the agreement. If the ((municipality)) city and the county are unable to agree on the terms for renewal of the agreement, they shall be deemed to have entered into an agreement to submit the issue to arbitration under chapter 7.04A RCW. conclusion of the arbitration proceeding, the terms of the agreement shall remain in effect. The ((municipality)) city and the county have the same rights and are subject to the same duties as other parties who have agreed to submit to arbitration under chapter 7.04A RCW.

Sec. 6. RCW 39.34.180 and 2001 c 68 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Each county, city, and town is responsible for the prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration of misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses and traffic infractions committed by adults in their respective jurisdictions, and referred from their respective law enforcement agencies, whether filed under state law or city ordinance, and must carry out these responsibilities through the use of their own courts, staff, and facilities, or by entering into contracts or interlocal agreements under this chapter to provide these services. Nothing in this section is intended to alter the statutory responsibilities of each county for the prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration for not more than one year of felony offenders, nor shall this section apply to any offense initially filed

by the prosecuting attorney as a felony offense or an attempt to commit a felony offense. For purposes of this section, a "protective order" is any domestic violence no-contact order under chapter 10.99 RCW, domestic violence protection order under chapter 26.50 RCW, antiharassment order under chapter 10.14 RCW, or sexual assault protection order under chapter 7.-- RCW (sections 1 through 20, chapter . . (Substitute House Bill No. 2576), Laws of 2006), over which a district or municipal court has jurisdiction.

- (2) The following principles must be followed in negotiating interlocal agreements or contracts: Cities and counties must consider (a) anticipated costs of services; and (b) anticipated and potential revenues to fund the services, including fines and fees, criminal justice funding, and state-authorized sales tax funding levied for criminal justice purposes.
- (3) If an agreement as to the levels of compensation within an interlocal agreement or contract for gross misdemeanor and misdemeanor services cannot be reached between ((a city and county)) the parties, then either party may invoke binding arbitration on the compensation issued by notice to the other party. In the case of establishing initial compensation, the notice shall request arbitration within thirty days. In the case of nonrenewal of an existing contract or interlocal agreement, the notice must be given one hundred twenty days prior to the expiration of the existing contract or agreement and the existing contract or agreement remains in effect until a new agreement is reached or until an arbitration award on the matter of fees is made. The ((city and county)) parties each select one arbitrator, and the initial two arbitrators pick a third arbitrator.
- (4) A city or county that wishes to terminate an agreement for the provision of court services must provide written notice of the intent to terminate the agreement in accordance with RCW 3.50.810 and 35.20.010.
- (5) For cities or towns that have not adopted, in whole or in part, criminal code or ordinance provisions related to misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor crimes as defined by state law, this section shall have no application until July 1, 1998.
- **Sec. 7.** RCW 39.34.180 and 2001 c 68 s 4 are each amended to read 37 as follows:

(1) Each county, city, and town is responsible for the prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration of misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses committed by adults in their respective jurisdictions, and referred from their respective law enforcement agencies, whether filed under state law or city ordinance, and for issuing and enforcing protective orders, and must carry out these responsibilities through the use of their own courts, staff, and facilities, or by entering into contracts or interlocal agreements under this chapter to provide these services. Nothing in this section is intended to alter the statutory responsibilities of each county for the prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration for not more than one year of felony offenders, nor shall this section apply to any offense initially filed by the prosecuting attorney as a felony offense or an attempt to commit a felony offense.

- (2) The following principles must be followed in negotiating interlocal agreements or contracts: Cities and counties must consider (a) anticipated costs of services; and (b) anticipated and potential revenues to fund the services, including fines and fees, criminal justice funding, and state-authorized sales tax funding levied for criminal justice purposes.
- (3) If an agreement as to the levels of compensation within an interlocal agreement or contract for gross misdemeanor and misdemeanor services cannot be reached between a city and county, then either party may invoke binding arbitration on the compensation issued by notice to the other party. In the case of establishing initial compensation, the notice shall request arbitration within thirty days. In the case of nonrenewal of an existing contract or interlocal agreement, the notice must be given one hundred twenty days prior to the expiration of the existing contract or agreement and the existing contract or agreement remains in effect until a new agreement is reached or until an arbitration award on the matter of fees is made. The city and county each select one arbitrator, and the initial two arbitrators pick a third arbitrator.
- 34 (4) A city or county that wishes to terminate an agreement for the 35 provision of court services must provide written notice of the intent 36 to terminate the agreement in accordance with RCW 3.50.810 and 37 35.20.010.

- 1 (5) For cities or towns that have not adopted, in whole or in part, 2 criminal code or ordinance provisions related to misdemeanor and gross 3 misdemeanor crimes as defined by state law, this section shall have no 4 application until July 1, 1998.
- **Sec. 8.** RCW 10.14.150 and 2005 c 196 s 1 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

- (1) The district courts shall have jurisdiction and cognizance of any civil actions and proceedings brought under this chapter, except the district court shall transfer such actions and proceedings to the superior court when it is shown that the respondent to the petition is under eighteen years of age.
- (2) Municipal courts ((may exercise)) shall have jurisdiction and cognizance of any civil actions and proceedings brought under this chapter ((by adoption of local court rule)), except the municipal court shall transfer such actions and proceedings to the superior court when it is shown that the respondent to the petition is under eighteen years of age.
- (3) Superior courts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to receive transfer of antiharassment petitions in cases where a district or municipal court judge makes findings of fact and conclusions of law showing that meritorious reasons exist for the transfer. The municipal and district courts shall have jurisdiction and cognizance of any criminal actions brought under RCW 10.14.120 and 10.14.170.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect July 1, 2006, except for section 7 of this act which takes effect January 1, 2007."

<u>SHB 3082</u> - S COMM AMD By Committee on Judiciary

On page 1, line 2 of the title, after "jurisdiction;" strike the remainder of the title and insert "amending RCW 3.50.003, 3.50.005, 3.50.020, 3.50.805, 39.34.180, 39.34.180, and 10.14.150; adding a new section to chapter 3.50 RCW; providing effective dates; and declaring an emergency."

--- END ---