Washington State House of Representatives Office of Program Research

BILL ANALYSIS

Judiciary Committee

HB 1224

Title: An act relating to expert witnesses in actions under chapter 7.70 RCW.

Brief Description: Qualifying expert witnesses in actions under chapter 7.70 RCW.

Sponsors: Representatives Schual-Berke, Cody, Lantz, Fromhold, Moeller, P. Sullivan, Morrell, Linville, Clibborn, Kagi and Ormsby.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Establishes qualifications for expert witnesses in medical malpractice actions.
- Requires expert testimony in a medical malpractice action to be corroborated by admissible evidence.

Hearing Date: 2/14/05

Staff: Edie Adams (786-7180).

Background:

Medical malpractice actions are civil tort actions for the recovery of damages for injury or death resulting from the provision of health care. There are three grounds on which a health care provider may be found liable in a medical malpractice action:

- the health care provider failed to follow the required standard of care;
- the health care provider promised that the injury suffered would not occur; or
- the injury resulted from health care to which the patient did not consent.

Failure to follow the standard of care means that the health care provider failed to exercise the degree of care expected of a reasonably prudent provider in the same field at that time, and acting in the same or similar circumstances.

In a medical malpractice action, the plaintiff has the burden of proof to establish all necessary elements. Expert witnesses are generally required in a medical malpractice action to establish the standard of care of a reasonably prudent health care provider and to prove that the failure to exercise that standard of care was the proximate cause of the patient's injury.

Statutory law dealing with medical malpractice actions does not establish qualifications for expert witnesses. However, court rule provides requirements for the use of expert witnesses in any trial, including medical malpractice cases. Under Evidence Rule 702, a person may be an expert if

qualified by "knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education." The trial court judge has broad discretion under this rule to determine whether a witness is qualified to give an expert opinion.

Summary of Bill:

An expert in a medical malpractice action must meet the following qualifications in order to provide expert testimony at trial:

- have expertise in the medical condition at issue in the action; and
- at the time of the incident, was either: (1) engaged in active practice in the same or similar area of practice or specialty as the defendant; or (2) teaching in the same or similar area of practice or specialty as the defendant, including instruction regarding the particular condition at issue in the action.

The court may waive the expert qualifications if the court finds that: (1) extensive efforts were made to locate an expert meeting the qualifications, but none was willing and able to testify; and (2) the proposed expert is qualified to be an expert by virtue of his or her training, experience, and knowledge.

An expert opinion provided during the course of a medical malpractice action must be corroborated by admissible evidence. Examples of admissible evidence are provided, including treatment or practice protocols or guidelines, objective academic research, or clinical trials.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.