Washington State House of Representatives Office of Program Research

BILL ANALYSIS

Commerce & Labor Committee

HB 2614

Brief Description: Regulating employment decisions based on consumption of lawful products.

Sponsors: Representatives Morrell, Conway, Williams, Blake, Kirby, Eickmeyer, Grant, Wallace, Hankins, Linville, McCoy, Flannigan, Anderson, McIntire, Kenney, Walsh, Hudgins, Holmquist, Condotta, Ormsby, Upthegrove and Simpson.

Brief Summary of Bill

Prohibits an employer from refusing to hire, discharging an individual, or otherwise
disadvantaging an individual based on the individual's consumption of lawful products off
the premises of the employer during nonworking hours.

Hearing Date: 2/1/06

Staff: Sarah Dylag (786-7109).

Background:

State law does not explicitly prohibit an employer from requiring as a condition of employment or continued employment that an applicant or employee refrain from consuming lawful products away from the workplace during nonworking hours.

State law also does not explicitly prohibit an employer from putting an employee at a disadvantage in other ways because the employee consumes lawful products away from the workplace during nonworking hours.

Summary of Bill:

Employment Practices

An employer cannot refuse to hire, discharge an individual, or otherwise disadvantage an individual with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment because the individual engages in the consumption of lawful products off the premises of the employer during nonworking hours. The individual must comply with applicable laws or policies regulating consumption of lawful products on the premises of the employer during working hours.

An employer may refuse to hire, discharge, or otherwise disadvantage an individual with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment if the employer's decision is based on:

- the individual's failure to meet job-related standards set by the employer;
- an employer's legitimate conflict of interest policy reasonably designed to protect the employer's trade secrets, proprietary information, or other proprietary interests;
- a bona fide occupational qualification or requirement, including qualifications or requirements implemented by the employer to screen for respiratory diseases in occupations where the individual will be exposed to smoke and noxious fumes;
- the employer's drug and alcohol free workplace program, including those adopted in response to federal requirements.

Exemptions

These requirements do not apply to any matter that is also subject to collective bargaining between the employer and the affected employee.

A religious or health organization, whose tenets prohibit the use of an otherwise lawful product or a company or nonprofit organization whose primary business purpose is the prevention of heart and lung disease, may refuse to employ an individual who uses an otherwise lawful product.

Remedies

An individual who believes that an employer has violated these requirements may bring a civil action for damages for all wages and benefits deprived the individual by reason of the violation. The civil action must be filed within six months after the alleged unlawful or unfair employment practice or the discovery of that practice. In a civil action alleging a violation, the court may award the prevailing party court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

Rulemaking Authority: The bill does not contain provisions addressing the rule-making powers of an agency.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.