### <u>2SSB 5470</u> - H AMD **876** By Representative Lantz

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

23

2627

28

29

#### ADOPTED 4/18/2007

1 Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the 2 following:

3 "PART I - Intent

4 **Sec. 101.** RCW 26.09.002 and 1987 c 460 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

Parents have the responsibility to make decisions and perform other parental functions necessary for the care and growth of their minor children. In any proceeding between parents under this chapter, the best interests of the child shall be the standard by which the court determines and allocates the parties' parental responsibilities. The state recognizes the fundamental importance of the parent-child relationship to the welfare of the child, and that the relationship between the child and each parent should be fostered unless inconsistent with the child's best interests.

Residential time and financial support are equally important components of parenting arrangements. The best interests of the

components of parenting arrangements. The best interests of the child are served by a parenting arrangement that best maintains a child's emotional growth, health and stability, and physical care. Further, the best interest of the child is ordinarily served when the existing pattern of interaction between a parent and child is altered only to the extent necessitated by the changed relationship of the parents or as required to protect the child from physical,

NEW SECTION. Sec. 102. A new section is added to chapter 26.09
RCW to read as follows:

The legislature reaffirms the intent of the current law as expressed in RCW 26.09.002. However, after review, the legislature finds that there are certain components of the existing law which do not support the original legislative intent. In order to better

mental, or emotional harm.

implement the existing legislative intent the legislature finds that incentives for parties to reduce family conflict and additional alternative dispute resolution options can assist in reducing the number of contested trials. Furthermore, the legislature finds that the identification of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010 and the treatment needs of the parties to dissolutions are necessary to improve outcomes for children. When judicial officers have the discretion to tailor individualized resolutions, the legislative intent expressed in RCW 26.09.002 can more readily be achieved. Judicial officers should have the discretion and flexibility to assess each case based on the merits of the individual cases before them.

# PART II - Family Court Provisions

NEW SECTION. Sec. 201. A new section is added to chapter 26.12 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) After July 1, 2009, but no later than November 1, 2009, a county may, and to the extent state funding is provided to meet the minimum requirements of the program a county shall, create a program to provide services to all parties involved in proceedings under chapter 26.09 RCW. Minimum components of this program shall include: (a) An individual to serve as an initial point of contact for parties filing petitions for dissolutions or legal separations under chapter 26.09 RCW; (b) informing parties about courthouse facilitation programs and orientations; (c) informing parties of alternatives to filing a dissolution petition, such as marriage counseling; (d) informing parties of alternatives to litigation including counseling, legal separation and mediation services if appropriate; (e) informing parties of supportive family services available in the community; (f) screening for referral for services in the areas of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010, child abuse, substance abuse, and mental health; and (q) assistance to the court in superior court cases filed under 26.09 RCW.
- (2) This program shall not provide legal advice. No attorney-client relationship or privilege is created, by implication or by inference, between persons providing basic information under this section and the participants in the program.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

3233

34

35

- (3) The legislative authority of any county may impose user fees or may impose a surcharge of up to twenty dollars on only those superior court cases filed under this title, or both, to pay for the expenses of this program. Fees collected under this section shall be collected and deposited in the same manner as other county funds are collected and deposited, and shall be maintained in a separate account to be used as provided in this section. The program shall provide services to indigent persons at no expense.
- (4) Persons who implement the program shall be appointed in the same manner as investigators, stenographers and clerks as described in RCW 26.12.050.
- (5) If the county has a program under this section, any petition under RCW 26.09.020 must allege that the moving party met and conferred with the program prior to the filing of the petition.
- (6) If the county has a program under this section, parties shall meet and confer with the program prior to participation in mediation under section 301 of this act.

Sec. 202. RCW 2.56.180 and 2005 c 282 s 10 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1) The administrative office of the courts shall create a handbook explaining the sections of Washington law pertaining to the rights and responsibilities of marital partners to each other and to any children during a marriage and a dissolution of marriage. The handbook may also be provided in videotape or other electronic form.
- (2) The handbook created under subsection (1) of this section shall be provided by the county auditor when an individual applies for a marriage license under RCW 26.04.140.
- (3) The handbook created under subsection (1) of this section shall also be provided to the petitioner when he or she files a petition for dissolution, and to the respondent, unless the respondent did not file a response, notice of appearance, or any other paper in the case or did not appear in court. The administrative office of the courts shall on an annual basis reimburse the counties for each copy of the handbook that is distributed directly to family law parties under this section,

- provided that the county submits documentation of the number of handbooks distributed on an annual basis.
  - (4) The information contained in the handbook created under subsection (1) of this section shall be reviewed and updated annually. The handbook must contain the following information:
  - (a) Information on prenuptial agreements as contracts and as a means of structuring financial arrangements and other aspects of the marital relationship;
  - (b) Information on shared parental responsibility for children, including establishing a residential schedule for the child in the event of the dissolution of the marriage;
  - (c) Information on notice requirements and standards for parental relocation;
    - (d) Information on child support for minor children;
  - (e) Information on property rights, including equitable distribution of assets and premarital and postmarital property rights;
    - (f) Information on spousal maintenance;

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

21

22

27

28

29

30

31

32

- (g) Information on domestic violence, child abuse, and neglect,including penalties;
  - (h) Information on the court process for dissolution;
  - (i) Information on the effects of dissolution on children;
- 23 (j) Information on community resources that are available to 24 separating or divorcing persons and their children.
- 25 **Sec. 203.** RCW 26.09.020 and 2001 c 42 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) A petition in a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, legal separation, or for a declaration concerning the validity of a marriage shall allege:
  - (a) The last known state of residence of each party, and if a party's last known state of residence is Washington, the last known county of residence;
    - (b) The date and place of the marriage;
- 34 (c) If the parties are separated the date on which the separation occurred;
- 36 (d) The names and ages of any child dependent upon either or 37 both spouses and whether the wife is pregnant;

- (e) Any arrangements as to the residential schedule of, decision making for, dispute resolution for, and support of the children and the maintenance of a spouse;
- (f) A statement specifying whether there is community or separate property owned by the parties to be disposed of;
- (g) If the county has established a program under section 201 of this act, a statement affirming that the moving party met and conferred with the program prior to filing the petition;
  - (h) The relief sought.

- (2) Either or both parties to the marriage may initiate the proceeding.
- (3) The petitioner shall complete and file with the petition a certificate under RCW 43.70.150 on the form provided by the department of health and the confidential information form under RCW 26.23.050.
- (4) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or prohibit the ability of parties to obtain appropriate emergency orders.
- **Sec. 204.** RCW 36.18.016 and 2006 c 192 s 2 are each amended to 20 read as follows:
  - (1) Revenue collected under this section is not subject to division under RCW 36.18.025 or 27.24.070.
  - (2)(a) For the filing of a petition for modification of a decree of dissolution or paternity, within the same case as the original action, and any party filing a counterclaim, cross-claim, or third-party claim in any such action, a fee of thirty-six dollars must be paid.
  - (b) The party filing the first or initial petition for dissolution, legal separation, or declaration concerning the validity of marriage shall pay, at the time and in addition to the filing fee required under RCW 36.18.020, a fee of thirty dollars. The clerk of the superior court shall transmit monthly twenty-four dollars of the thirty-dollar fee collected under this subsection to the state treasury for deposit in the domestic violence prevention account. The remaining six dollars shall be retained by the county for the purpose of supporting community-based services within the county for victims of domestic violence, except for five percent of

the six dollars, which may be retained by the court for administrative purposes.

- (3)(a) The party making a demand for a jury of six in a civil action shall pay, at the time, a fee of one hundred twenty-five dollars; if the demand is for a jury of twelve, a fee of two hundred fifty dollars. If, after the party demands a jury of six and pays the required fee, any other party to the action requests a jury of twelve, an additional one hundred twenty-five dollar fee will be required of the party demanding the increased number of jurors.
- (b) Upon conviction in criminal cases a jury demand charge of one hundred twenty-five dollars for a jury of six, or two hundred fifty dollars for a jury of twelve may be imposed as costs under RCW 10.46.190.
- (4) For preparing a certified copy of an instrument on file or of record in the clerk's office, for the first page or portion of the first page, a fee of five dollars, and for each additional page or portion of a page, a fee of one dollar must be charged. For authenticating or exemplifying an instrument, a fee of two dollars for each additional seal affixed must be charged. For preparing a copy of an instrument on file or of record in the clerk's office without a seal, a fee of fifty cents per page must be charged. When copying a document without a seal or file that is in an electronic format, a fee of twenty-five cents per page must be charged. For copies made on a compact disc, an additional fee of twenty dollars for each compact disc must be charged.
- (5) For executing a certificate, with or without a seal, a fee of two dollars must be charged.
- (6) For a garnishee defendant named in an affidavit for garnishment and for a writ of attachment, a fee of twenty dollars must be charged.
- (7) For filing a supplemental proceeding, a fee of twenty dollars must be charged.
- (8) For approving a bond, including justification on the bond, in other than civil actions and probate proceedings, a fee of two dollars must be charged.
- (9) For the issuance of a certificate of qualification and a certified copy of letters of administration, letters testamentary, or letters of guardianship, there must be a fee of two dollars.

- (10) For the preparation of a passport application, the clerk may collect an execution fee as authorized by the federal government.
- (11) For clerk's services such as processing ex parte orders, performing historical searches, compiling statistical reports, and conducting exceptional record searches, the clerk may collect a fee not to exceed twenty dollars per hour or portion of an hour.
- (12) For duplicated recordings of court's proceedings there must be a fee of ten dollars for each audio tape and twenty-five dollars for each video tape or other electronic storage medium.
- (13) For registration of land titles, Torrens Act, under RCW 65.12.780, a fee of twenty dollars must be charged.
- (14) For the issuance of extension of judgment under RCW 6.17.020 and chapter 9.94A RCW, a fee of two hundred dollars must be charged. When the extension of judgment is at the request of the clerk, the two hundred dollar charge may be imposed as court costs under RCW 10.46.190.
- (15) A facilitator surcharge of up to twenty dollars must be charged as authorized under RCW 26.12.240.
- (16) For filing a water rights statement under RCW 90.03.180, a fee of twenty-five dollars must be charged.
- (17) For filing a claim of frivolous lien under RCW 60.04.081, a fee of thirty-five dollars must be charged.
- (18) For preparation of a change of venue, a fee of twenty dollars must be charged by the originating court in addition to the per page charges in subsection (4) of this section.
- (19) A service fee of three dollars for the first page and one dollar for each additional page must be charged for receiving faxed documents, pursuant to Washington state rules of court, general rule 17.
- (20) For preparation of clerk's papers under RAP 9.7, a fee of fifty cents per page must be charged.
- (21) For copies and reports produced at the local level as permitted by RCW 2.68.020 and supreme court policy, a variable fee must be charged.
- 36 (22) Investment service charge and earnings under RCW 36.48.090 must be charged.
- 38 (23) Costs for nonstatutory services rendered by clerk by 39 authority of local ordinance or policy must be charged.

- (24) For filing a request for mandatory arbitration, a filing fee may be assessed against the party filing a statement of arbitrability not to exceed two hundred twenty dollars as established by authority of local ordinance. This charge shall be used solely to offset the cost of the mandatory arbitration program.
- (25) For filing a request for trial de novo of an arbitration award, a fee not to exceed two hundred fifty dollars as established by authority of local ordinance must be charged.
- (26) A public agency may not charge a fee to a law enforcement agency, for preparation, copying, or mailing of certified copies of the judgment and sentence, information, affidavit of probable cause, and/or the notice of requirement to register, of a sex offender convicted in a Washington court, when such records are necessary for risk assessment, preparation of a case for failure to register, or maintenance of a sex offender's registration file.
- (27) For the filing of a will or codicil under the provisions of chapter 11.12 RCW, a fee of twenty dollars must be charged.
- (28) A surcharge of up to twenty dollars may be charged as authorized by section 201 of this act.

The revenue to counties from the fees established in this section shall be deemed to be complete reimbursement from the state for the state's share of benefits paid to the superior court judges of the state prior to July 24, 2005, and no claim shall lie against the state for such benefits.

#### PART III - Domestic Violence and Child Abuse

NEW SECTION. Sec. 301. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 RCW to read as follows:

Mediation is generally inappropriate in cases involving domestic violence and child abuse. In order to effectively identify cases where issues of domestic violence and child abuse are present and reduce conflict in dissolution matters: (1) Where appropriate parties shall be provided access to trained domestic violence advocates; and (2) in cases where a victim requests mediation the court may make exceptions and permit mediation, so long as the court makes a finding that mediation is appropriate under the

б

**Sec. 302.** RCW 2.56.030 and 2005 c 457 s 7 and 2005 c 282 s 7 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

The administrator for the courts shall, under the supervision and direction of the chief justice:

- (1) Examine the administrative methods and systems employed in the offices of the judges, clerks, stenographers, and employees of the courts and make recommendations, through the chief justice, for the improvement of the same;
- (2) Examine the state of the dockets of the courts and determine the need for assistance by any court;
- (3) Make recommendations to the chief justice relating to the assignment of judges where courts are in need of assistance and carry out the direction of the chief justice as to the assignments of judges to counties and districts where the courts are in need of assistance;
- (4) Collect and compile statistical and other data and make reports of the business transacted by the courts and transmit the same to the chief justice to the end that proper action may be taken in respect thereto;
- (5) Prepare and submit budget estimates of state appropriations necessary for the maintenance and operation of the judicial system and make recommendations in respect thereto;
- (6) Collect statistical and other data and make reports relating to the expenditure of public moneys, state and local, for the maintenance and operation of the judicial system and the offices connected therewith;
- (7) Obtain reports from clerks of courts in accordance with law or rules adopted by the supreme court of this state on cases and other judicial business in which action has been delayed beyond periods of time specified by law or rules of court and make report thereof to supreme court of this state;
- (8) Act as secretary of the judicial conference referred to in RCW 2.56.060;
- (9) Submit annually, as of February 1st, to the chief justice, a report of the activities of the administrator's office for the

preceding calendar year including activities related to courthouse security;

- (10) Administer programs and standards for the training and education of judicial personnel;
- (11) Examine the need for new superior court and district court judge positions under an objective workload analysis. The results of the objective workload analysis shall be reviewed by the board for judicial administration which shall make recommendations to the It is the intent of the legislature that an objective legislature. workload analysis become the basis for creating additional district and superior court positions, and recommendations should address that objective;
- (12) Provide staff to the judicial retirement account plan under chapter 2.14 RCW;
- (13) Attend to such other matters as may be assigned by the supreme court of this state;
- (14) Within available funds, develop a curriculum for a general understanding of child development, placement, and treatment resources, as well as specific legal skills and knowledge of relevant statutes including chapters 13.32A, 13.34, and 13.40 RCW, cases, court rules, interviewing skills, and special needs of the abused or neglected child. This curriculum shall be completed and made available to all juvenile court judges, court personnel, and service providers and be updated yearly to reflect changes in statutes, court rules, or case law;
- (15) Develop, in consultation with the entities set forth in RCW 2.56.150(3), a comprehensive statewide curriculum for persons who act as guardians ad litem under Title 13 or 26 RCW. The curriculum shall be made available July 1, (( 1997)) 2008, and include specialty sections on child development, child sexual abuse, child physical abuse, child neglect, domestic violence, clinical and forensic investigative and interviewing techniques, family reconciliation and mediation services, and relevant statutory and legal requirements. The curriculum shall be made available to all superior court judges, court personnel, and all persons who act as guardians ad litem;
- (16) Develop a curriculum for a general understanding of crimes of malicious harassment, as well as specific legal skills and knowledge of RCW 9A.36.080, relevant cases, court rules, and the

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

special needs of malicious harassment victims. This curriculum shall be made available to all superior court and court of appeals judges and to all justices of the supreme court;

- (17) Develop, in consultation with the criminal justice training commission and the commissions established under chapters 43.113, 43.115, and 43.117 RCW, a curriculum for a general understanding of ethnic and cultural diversity and its implications for working with youth of color and their families. The curriculum shall be available to all superior court judges and court commissioners assigned to juvenile court, and other court personnel. cultural diversity training shall be provided annually so as to incorporate cultural sensitivity and awareness into the daily operation of juvenile courts statewide;
- (18) Authorize the use of closed circuit television and other electronic equipment in judicial proceedings. The administrator shall promulgate necessary standards and procedures and shall provide technical assistance to courts as required;
- (19) Develop a Washington family law handbook in accordance with RCW 2.56.180;
- (20) Administer state funds for improving the operation of the courts and provide support for court coordinating councils, under the direction of the board for judicial administration;
- (21)(a) Administer and distribute amounts appropriated from the equal justice subaccount under RCW 43.08.250(2) for district court judges' and qualifying elected municipal court judges' salary contributions. The administrator for the courts shall develop a distribution formula for these amounts that does not differentiate between district and elected municipal court judges.
- (b) A city qualifies for state contribution of elected municipal court judges' salaries under (a) of this subsection if:
  - (i) The judge is serving in an elected position;
- (ii) The city has established by ordinance that a full-time judge is compensated at a rate equivalent to at least ninety-five percent, but not more than one hundred percent, of a district court judge salary or for a part-time judge on a pro rata basis the same equivalent; and
- (iii) The city has certified to the office of the administrator for the courts that the conditions in (b)(i) and (ii) of this subsection have been met.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

- 1 **Sec. 303.** RCW 26.09.191 and 2004 c 38 s 12 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (b) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; or (c) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm.
  - (2)(a) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
  - (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
  - (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
  - (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 29 (d) of this subsection;
- 30 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

31

- (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 32 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 33 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in 34 age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption 35 exists under (d) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 37 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses 38 listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;

(J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.

This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.

- (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
  - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
  - (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
  - (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

37

38

39

- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.

35 This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 36 subsection applies.

(c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with

- a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted was at least five years older than the other person;
  - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
  - (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 20 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;

- 21 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at 22 least eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
  - (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
  - (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
  - (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence:
  - (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted was at least five years older than the other person;

1 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

- (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 2 least eight years older than the victim; 3
  - (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
    - (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
  - (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
    - (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
    - (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
    - (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
    - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
    - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
    - (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
    - (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
    - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting

residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or

- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

- as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor,

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28 29

3031

32

33

34

3536

37

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting The limitations shall also be reasonably residential time. calculated to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of relevant counseling or treatment. the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

(iii) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

- protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (1), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
- (3) A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors exist:
- (a) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of parenting functions;
- (b) A long-term emotional or physical impairment which interferes with the parent's performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004;
- (c) A long-term impairment resulting from drug, alcohol, or other substance abuse that interferes with the performance of parenting functions;
- (d) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional ties between the parent and the child;
- (e) The abusive use of conflict by the parent which creates the danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development;
- (f) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the child for a protracted period without good cause; or
- (g) Such other factors or conduct as the court expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

- (4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child and the parties.
  - (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting plan.
- (((5))) (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of evidence, proof, and procedure.
- (((6))) (7) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 304. A new section is added to chapter 26.09
  RCW to read as follows:
  - Before entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall determine the existence of any information and proceedings relevant to the placement of the child that are available in the judicial information system and databases.
- **Sec. 305.** RCW 26.12.177 and 2005 c 282 s 30 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) All guardians ad litem and investigators appointed under this title must comply with the training requirements established under RCW 2.56.030(15), prior to their appointment in cases under Title 26 RCW, except that volunteer guardians ad litem or courtappointed special advocates may comply with alternative training requirements approved by the administrative office of the courts that meet or exceed the statewide requirements. In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under RCW 26.09.191, the quardians ad litem and investigators appointed under this title must have additional relevant training under RCW 2.56.030(15) and as recommended under section 306 of this act, when it is available.
  - (2)(a) Each guardian ad litem program for compensated guardians ad litem shall establish a rotational registry system for the appointment of guardians ad litem and investigators under this title. If a judicial district does not have a program the court shall establish the rotational registry system. Guardians ad litem

- and investigators under this title shall be selected from the registry except in exceptional circumstances as determined and documented by the court. The parties may make a joint recommendation for the appointment of a guardian ad litem from the registry.
- (b) In judicial districts with a population over one hundred thousand, a list of three names shall be selected from the registry and given to the parties along with the background information as specified in RCW 26.12.175(3), including their hourly rate for services. Each party may, within three judicial days, strike one name from the list. If more than one name remains on the list, the court shall make the appointment from the names on the list. In the event all three names are stricken the person whose name appears next on the registry shall be appointed.
- (c) If a party reasonably believes that the appointed guardian ad litem lacks the necessary expertise for the proceeding, charges an hourly rate higher than what is reasonable for the particular proceeding, or has a conflict of interest, the party may, within three judicial days from the appointment, move for substitution of the appointed guardian ad litem by filing a motion with the court.
- (d) Under this section, within either registry referred to in (a) of this subsection, a subregistry may be created that consists of guardians ad litem under contract with the department of social and health services' division of child support. Guardians ad litem on such a subregistry shall be selected and appointed in state-initiated paternity cases only.
- (e) The superior court shall remove any person from the guardian ad litem registry who misrepresents his or her qualifications pursuant to a grievance procedure established by the court.
- (3) The rotational registry system shall not apply to courtappointed special advocate programs.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 306. A new section is added to chapter 2.53 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1)(a) The legislature requests that the supreme court convene and support a task force to establish statewide protocols for dissolution cases.
  - (b) The task force shall develop: (i) Clear and concise dispute resolution procedures; (ii) in conjunction with the office of crime

- 1 victims advocacy, a sexual assault training curriculum; (iii) 2 consistent standards for parenting evaluators; and (iv) a domestic violence training curriculum for individuals making evaluations in 3 dissolution cases. The task force shall make recommendations 4 5 concerning specialized evaluators for dissolution cases,
- dissolution forms and procedures, and fees. 6 7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

- (c) The task force shall also study issues related to: (i) Venue for filing and modifying petitions; and (ii) the program established under section 201 of this act, including but not limited to: (A) the minimum components of the program; (B) the extent of the program; (C) the administration of the program; (D) the handling of confidential information obtained; and (E) the selection of appropriate short screen tools to be utilized in the administration of the program.
- (2) The governor shall appoint the following members of the task force:
  - (a) A representative of the office of crime victims advocacy;
  - (b) A professor of law specializing in family law;
- (c) A representative from a statewide domestic violence advocacy 19 20 group;
  - (d) A representative from a community sexual assault program;
  - (e) Two noncustodial parents with at least one representing the interests of low-income noncustodial parents; and
  - (f) Two custodial parents with at least one representing the interests of low-income custodial parents.
  - (3) The chief justice of the supreme court is requested to appoint the following members of the task force:
  - (a) Two representatives from the superior court judges association, including a superior court judge and a court commissioner who is familiar with dissolution issues;
  - (b) A representative from the administrative office of the courts;
  - (c) A representative from the Washington state bar association's family law executive committee;
  - (d) A representative from a qualified legal aid provider that receives funding from the office of civil legal aid;
- (e) A representative of the Washington state association of 37 county clerks; and 38
  - (f) A guardian ad litem.

- (4) The president of the senate shall appoint one member from each of the two largest caucuses of the senate.
- (5) The speaker of the house of representatives shall appoint one member from each of the two largest caucuses of the house of representatives, with at least one member.
- (6) Membership of the task force may also include members of the civil legal aid oversight committee, including but not limited to the legislative members of the committee.
- (7) The task force shall carefully consider all input received from interested organizations and individuals during the task force process.
- (8) The task force may form an executive committee, create subcommittees, designate alternative representatives, and define other procedures, as needed, for operation of the task force.
- (9) Legislative members of the task force shall be reimbursed for travel expenses under RCW 44.04.120. Nonlegislative members, except those representing an employee or organization, are entitled to be reimbursed for travel expenses in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060.
- (10) The task force shall present preliminary findings and conclusions to the governor's office, the supreme court, and the appropriate committees of the legislature by September 1, 2008. A final report and recommendations, including recommendations for legislative action, if necessary, and recommendations regarding the program under section 201 of this act, shall be completed by December 1, 2008.
  - (11) This section expires June 30, 2009.

### PART IV - Additional Services

29 NEW SECTION. Sec. 401. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 RCW to read as follows: 30

In order to provide judicial officers with better information and to facilitate decision making which allows for the protection of children from physical, mental, or emotional harm and in order to facilitate consistent healthy contact between both parents and their children:

(1) Parties and witnesses who require the assistance of interpreters shall be provided access to qualified interpreters

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

31

32 33

34

35

36

- pursuant to chapter 2.42 or 2.43 RCW. To the extent practicable and within available resources, interpreters shall also be made available at dissolution-related proceedings.
- (2) Parties and witnesses who require literacy assistance shall be referred to the multipurpose service centers established in chapter 28B.04 RCW.
- (3) In matters involving quardian ad litems, the court shall specify the hourly rate the guardian ad litem may charge for his or her services, and shall specify the maximum amount the guardian ad litem may charge without additional review. Counties may, and to the extent state funding is provided therefor counties shall, provide indigent parties with quardian ad litem services at a reduced or waived fee.
- (4) Parties may request to participate by telephone or interactive videoconference. The court may allow telephonic or interactive videoconference participation of one or more parties at any proceeding in its discretion. The court may also allow telephonic or interactive videoconference participation of witnesses.
- (5) In cases involving domestic violence or child abuse, if residential time is ordered, the court may:
  - (a) Order exchange of a child to occur in a protected setting;
- (b) Order residential time supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the supervisor is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor if the court determines, after a hearing, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child. If the court allows a family or household member to supervise residential time, the court shall establish conditions to be followed during residential time.
- (6) In cases in which the court finds that the parties do not have a satisfactory history of cooperation or there is a high level of parental conflict, the court may order the parties to use supervised visitation and safe exchange centers or alternative safe locations to facilitate the exercise of residential time.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

**Sec. 501.** RCW 26.09.015 and 2005 c 172 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1) In any proceeding under this chapter, the matter may be set for mediation of the contested issues before or concurrent with the setting of the matter for hearing. The purpose of the mediation proceeding shall be to reduce acrimony which may exist between the parties and to develop an agreement assuring the child's close and continuing contact with both parents after the marriage is dissolved. The mediator shall use his or her best efforts to effect a settlement of the dispute.
- (2)(a) Each superior court may make available a mediator. The court shall use the most cost-effective mediation services that are readily available unless there is good cause to access alternative providers. The mediator may be a member of the professional staff of a family court or mental health services agency, or may be any other person or agency designated by the court. In order to provide mediation services, the court is not required to institute a family court.
- (b) In any proceeding involving issues relating to residential time or other matters governed by a parenting plan, the matter may be set for mediation of the contested issues before or concurrent with the setting of the matter for hearing. Counties may, and to the extent state funding is provided therefor counties shall, provide both predecree and postdecree mediation at reduced or waived fee to the parties within one year of the filing of the dissolution petition.
- (3)(a) Mediation proceedings under this chapter shall be governed in all respects by chapter 7.07 RCW, except as follows:
- (i) Mediation communications in postdecree mediations mandated by a parenting plan are admissible in subsequent proceedings for the limited purpose of proving:
- (A) Abuse, neglect, abandonment, exploitation, or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a child;
- (B) Abuse or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a family or household member as defined in RCW 26.50.010(2); or
- (C) That a parent used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without good reason for purposes of RCW 26.09.184(3)(d).

- (ii) If a postdecree mediation-arbitration proceeding is required pursuant to a parenting plan and the same person acts as both mediator and arbitrator, mediation communications in the mediation phase of such a proceeding may be admitted during the arbitration phase, and shall be admissible in the judicial review of such a proceeding under RCW 26.09.184(3)(e) to the extent necessary for such review to be effective.
- (b) None of the exceptions under (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection shall subject a mediator to compulsory process to testify except by court order for good cause shown, taking into consideration the need for the mediator's testimony and the interest in the mediator maintaining an appearance of impartiality. If a mediation communication is not privileged under (a)(i) of this subsection or that portion of (a)(ii) of this subsection pertaining to judicial review, only the portion of the communication necessary for the application of the exception may be admitted, and such admission of evidence shall not render any other mediation communication discoverable or admissible except as may be provided in chapter 7.07 RCW.
- (4) The mediator shall assess the needs and interests of the child or children involved in the controversy and may interview the child or children if the mediator deems such interview appropriate or necessary.
- (5) Any agreement reached by the parties as a result of mediation shall be reported to the court and to counsel for the parties by the mediator on the day set for mediation or any time thereafter designated by the court.

### PART VI - Residential Time

- 29 **Sec. 601.** RCW 26.09.184 and 1991 c 367 s 7 are each amended to read as follows: 30
- 31 (1) OBJECTIVES. The objectives of the permanent parenting plan 32 are to:
  - (a) Provide for the child's physical care;
  - (b) Maintain the child's emotional stability;
- 35 (c) Provide for the child's changing needs as the child grows and matures, in a way that minimizes the need for future 36 37 modifications to the permanent parenting plan;

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

33

- (d) Set forth the authority and responsibilities of each parent with respect to the child, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191;
  - (e) Minimize the child's exposure to harmful parental conflict;
- (f) Encourage the parents, where appropriate under RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191, to meet their responsibilities to their minor children through agreements in the permanent parenting plan, rather than by relying on judicial intervention; and
- (g) To otherwise protect the best interests of the child consistent with RCW 26.09.002.
- (2) CONTENTS OF THE PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. The permanent parenting plan shall contain provisions for resolution of future disputes between the parents, allocation of decision-making authority, and residential provisions for the child.
- (3) CONSIDERATION IN ESTABLISHING THE PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. In establishing a permanent parenting plan, the court may consider the cultural heritage and religious beliefs of a child.
- (4) DISPUTE RESOLUTION. A process for resolving disputes, other than court action, shall be provided unless precluded or limited by RCW 26.09.187 or 26.09.191. A dispute resolution process may include counseling, mediation, or arbitration by a specified individual or agency, or court action. In the dispute resolution process:
- (a) Preference shall be given to carrying out the parenting plan;
- (b) The parents shall use the designated process to resolve disputes relating to implementation of the plan, except those related to financial support, unless an emergency exists;
- (c) A written record shall be prepared of any agreement reached in counseling or mediation and of each arbitration award and shall be provided to each party;
- (d) If the court finds that a parent has used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without good reason, the court shall award attorneys' fees and financial sanctions to the prevailing parent;
- (e) The parties have the right of review from the dispute resolution process to the superior court; and
- (f) The provisions of (a) through (e) of this subsection shall 38 be set forth in the decree. 39

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

 $((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

- (a) The plan shall allocate decision-making authority to one or both parties regarding the children's education, health care, and religious upbringing. The parties may incorporate an agreement related to the care and growth of the child in these specified areas, or in other areas, into their plan, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191. Regardless of the allocation of decision-making in the parenting plan, either parent may make emergency decisions affecting the health or safety of the child.
  - (b) Each parent may make decisions regarding the day-to-day care and control of the child while the child is residing with that parent.
  - (c) When mutual decision making is designated but cannot be achieved, the parties shall make a good-faith effort to resolve the issue through the dispute resolution process.
  - $((\frac{(5)}{(5)}))$  (6) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS FOR THE CHILD. The plan shall include a residential schedule which designates in which parent's home each minor child shall reside on given days of the year, including provision for holidays, birthdays of family members, vacations, and other special occasions, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191.
  - (((6))) (7) PARENTS' OBLIGATION UNAFFECTED. If a parent fails to comply with a provision of a parenting plan or a child support order, the other parent's obligations under the parenting plan or the child support order are not affected. Failure to comply with a provision in a parenting plan or a child support order may result in a finding of contempt of court, under RCW 26.09.160.
  - $((\frac{7}{1}))$  (8) PROVISIONS TO BE SET FORTH IN PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. The permanent parenting plan shall set forth the provisions of subsections  $\left(\left(\frac{(3)}{3}\right)\right)$   $\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$  (a) through (c),  $\left(\left(\frac{(4)}{4}\right)\right)$   $\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)$  (b) and (c), and  $((\frac{(6)}{(6)}))$  of this section.
  - Sec. 602. RCW 26.09.015 and 2005 c 172 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) In any proceeding under this chapter, the matter may be set for mediation of the contested issues before or concurrent with the setting of the matter for hearing. The purpose of the mediation proceeding shall be to reduce acrimony which may exist between the

- parties and to develop an agreement assuring the child's close and continuing contact with both parents after the marriage is dissolved. The mediator shall use his or her best efforts to effect a settlement of the dispute.
- (2) Each superior court may make available a mediator. The mediator may be a member of the professional staff of a family court or mental health services agency, or may be any other person or agency designated by the court. In order to provide mediation services, the court is not required to institute a family court.
- (3)(a) Mediation proceedings under this chapter shall be governed in all respects by chapter 7.07 RCW, except as follows:
- (i) Mediation communications in postdecree mediations mandated by a parenting plan are admissible in subsequent proceedings for the limited purpose of proving:
- (A) Abuse, neglect, abandonment, exploitation, or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a child;
- (B) Abuse or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a family or household member as defined in RCW 26.50.010(2); or
- (C) That a parent used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without good reason for purposes of RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{3}{3}))$  (4)(d).
- (ii) If a postdecree mediation-arbitration proceeding is required pursuant to a parenting plan and the same person acts as both mediator and arbitrator, mediation communications in the mediation phase of such a proceeding may be admitted during the arbitration phase, and shall be admissible in the judicial review of such a proceeding under RCW  $26.09.184((\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ ))$  (4) (e) to the extent necessary for such review to be effective.
- (b) None of the exceptions under (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection shall subject a mediator to compulsory process to testify except by court order for good cause shown, taking into consideration the need for the mediator's testimony and the interest in the mediator maintaining an appearance of impartiality. If a mediation communication is not privileged under (a)(i) of this subsection or that portion of (a)(ii) of this subsection pertaining to judicial review, only the portion of the communication necessary for the application of the exception may be admitted, and such admission of evidence shall not render any other mediation

communication discoverable or admissible except as may be provided in chapter 7.07 RCW.

- (4) The mediator shall assess the needs and interests of the child or children involved in the controversy and may interview the child or children if the mediator deems such interview appropriate or necessary.
- (5) Any agreement reached by the parties as a result of mediation shall be reported to the court and to counsel for the parties by the mediator on the day set for mediation or any time thereafter designated by the court.
- Sec. 603. RCW 26.09.187 and 1989 c 375 s 10 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 applies, or when it finds that either parent is unable to afford the cost of the proposed dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not precluded or limited, then in designating such a process the court shall consider all relevant factors, including:
  - (a) Differences between the parents that would substantially inhibit their effective participation in any designated process;
  - (b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly and voluntarily; and
  - (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute resolution process.
    - (2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.
  - (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5)(a), when it finds that:
  - (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a parent's decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191; and
    - (ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.
- 36 (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole decision-making to one parent when it finds that:

- (i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority 1 2 is mandated by RCW 26.09.191;
  - (ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;
  - (iii) One parent is opposed to mutual decision making, and such opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this subsection;
  - (c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a) and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following criteria in allocating decision-making authority:
    - (i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191;
  - (ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision making in each of the areas in RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5)(a);
  - (iii) Whether the parents have a demonstrated ability and desire to cooperate with one another in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184((((4)))) (5)(a); and
  - (iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to the extent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.
    - (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37

- (a) The court shall make residential provisions for each child which encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's level and the family's social and economic developmental circumstances. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent with RCW 26.09.191. Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive of the child's residential schedule, the court shall consider the following factors:
- (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent((, including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child));
- (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered into knowingly and voluntarily;
- (iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004(3), including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;
  - (iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;

- (v) The child's relationship with siblings and with other significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;
  - (vi) The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and
  - (vii) Each parent's employment schedule, and shall make accommodations consistent with those schedules.
    - Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.
- (b) Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive, the court may order that a child frequently alternate his or her residence between the households of the parents for brief and substantially equal intervals of time ((only if the court finds the following:
- (i) No limitation exists under RCW 26.09.191; 15

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

36

37

- (ii)(A) The parties have agreed to such provisions and the 16 agreement was knowingly and voluntarily entered into; or 17
- (B) The parties have a satisfactory history of cooperation and 18 shared performance of parenting functions; the parties are 19 20 available to each other, especially in geographic proximity, to the 21 extent necessary to ensure their ability to share performance of 22 the parenting functions; and
  - (iii) The provisions are in the best interests of the child)) if such provision is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether such an arrangement is in the best interests of the child, the court may consider the parties geographic proximity to the extent necessary to ensure the ability to share performance of the parenting functions.
  - (c) For any child, residential provisions may contain any reasonable terms or conditions that facilitate the orderly and meaningful exercise of residential time by a parent, including but not limited to requirements of reasonable notice when residential time will not occur.
- Sec. 604. RCW 26.09.197 and 1987 c 460 s 14 are each amended to 34 35 read as follows:
  - After considering the affidavit required by RCW 26.09.194(1) and other relevant evidence presented, the court shall make a temporary parenting plan that is in the best interest of the child.

making this determination, the court shall give particular consideration to:

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35 36

- (1) ((Which parent has taken greater responsibility during the last twelve months for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child)) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent; and
- (2) Which parenting arrangements will cause the least disruption to the child's emotional stability while the action is pending.

The court shall also consider the factors used to determine residential provisions in the permanent parenting plan.

## PART VII - Data Tracking

NEW SECTION. Sec. 701. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 RCW to read as follows:

The parties to dissolution matters shall file with the clerk of the court the residential time summary report. The summary report shall be on the form developed by the administrative office of the courts in consultation with the department of social and health services division of child support. The parties must complete the form and file the form with the court order. The clerk of the court must forward the form to the division of child support on at least a monthly basis.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 702. A new section is added to chapter 26.18 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) The administrative office of the courts in consultation with the department of social and health services, division of child support, shall develop a residential time summary report form to provide for the reporting of summary information in every case in which residential time with children is to be established or modified.
- (2) The residential time summary report must include at a minimum: A breakdown of residential schedules with a reasonable degree of specificity regarding actual time with each parent, including enforcement practices, representation status of the parties, whether domestic violence, child abuse, chemical dependency, or mental health issues exist, and whether the matter was agreed or contested.

- The division of child support shall compile and electronically transmit the information in the residential time summary reports to the administrative office of the courts for purposes of tracking residential time awards by parent, enforcement practices, representation status of the parties, the existence of domestic violence, child abuse, chemical dependency, or mental health issues and whether the matter was agreed or contested.
- (4) The administrative office of the courts shall report the compiled information, organized by each county, on at least an annual basis. The information shall be itemized by quarter. reports shall be made publicly available through the judicial information public access services and shall not contain any personal identifying information of parties in the proceedings.

#### PART VIII - Miscellaneous

- 15 NEW SECTION. Sec. 801. Part headings used in this act are not 16 any part of the law.
- 17 NEW SECTION. Sec. 802. If specific funding for the purposes of 18 section 306 of this act, referencing section 306 of this act by 19 bill or chapter number and section number, is not provided by June 20 30, 2007, in the omnibus appropriations act, section 306 of this 21 act is null and void.
- 22 NEW SECTION. Sec. 803. If specific funding for the purposes of 23 section 701 of this act, referencing section 701 of this act by bill or chapter number and section number, is not provided by June 24 30, 2007, in the omnibus appropriations act, section 701 of this 25 act is null and void. 26
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 804. If specific funding for the purposes of 27 section 702 of this act, referencing section 702 of this act by 28 29 bill or chapter number and section number, is not provided by June 30 30, 2007, in the omnibus appropriations act, section 702 of this 31 act is null and void.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 805. (1) Sections 201 and 204 of this act 32 33 take effect July 1, 2009.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

- (2) Section 202 of this act takes effect January 1, 2008.
- 2 (3) Section 501 of this act takes effect January 1, 2009."
- 3 Correct the title.

4 --- END ---

> **EFFECT:** The striking amendment incorporates the striking amendment as it passed the House, with the following additions and changes:

> After July 1, 2009, but no later than November 1, 2009, counties may, and to the extent state funding is provided counties must, establish a program to provide services to all parties filing dissolutions and legal separations. At a minimum the program must include components for: (a) an individual to serve as an initial point of contact for parties; (b) informing parties of courthouse facilitation programs; (c) informing parties of alternatives to filing for dissolution, such as marriage counseling, and other information; (d) screening for referrals for services in the areas of domestic violence, child abuse, substance abuse, and mental health; and (e) assistance to the court. Counties may charge user fees and impose a surcharge of up to \$20 on family law filings to pay for the program. Program services must be provided to indigent persons at no expense. Petitioners must meet and confer with the program prior to filing a petition for dissolution or legal separation and parties must meet and confer with the program before participating in mediation when there is domestic violence or child abuse.

> The task force must study issues related to the program, including the minimum requirements of the administration of the program, the handling of confidential information, and the selection of appropriate screening tools to be used. The task force must make recommendations regarding the program by December 1, 2008.

> In establishing a parenting plan, the court may consider the cultural heritage and religious beliefs of a child (the striking amendment as passed the House made it mandatory rather than discretionary).

> The residential time information compiled by the Administrative Office of the Courts must be itemized by quarter.