CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1091

60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

Passed by the House April 20, 2007 Yeas 98 Nays 0

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate April 20, 2007 Yeas 48 Nays 0

President of the Senate

Approved

CERTIFICATE

I, Richard Nafziger, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1091** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

Chief Clerk

FILED

Secretary of State State of Washington

Governor of the State of Washington

## SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1091

## AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2007 Regular Session

## State of Washington 60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

**By** House Committee on Community & Economic Development & Trade (originally sponsored by Representatives VanDeWege, Chase, Upthegrove, Miloscia, B. Sullivan, O'Brien, P. Sullivan, Morrell, Sells, Kenney, Rolfes, Kelley, Moeller, Wallace and Eddy; by request of Governor Gregoire)

READ FIRST TIME 02/28/07.

1 AN ACT Relating to innovation partnership zones; and adding new 2 sections to chapter 43.330 RCW.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 43.330 RCW 5 to read as follows:

6 (1) The director shall designate innovation partnership zones on 7 the basis of the following criteria:

8 (a) Innovation partnership zones must have three types of 9 institutions operating within their boundaries, or show evidence of 10 planning and local partnerships that will lead to dense concentrations 11 of these institutions:

12 (i) Research capacity in the form of a university or community valuable fostering commercially 13 college research, nonprofit 14 institutions creating commercially applicable innovations, or а 15 national laboratory;

(ii) Dense proximity of globally competitive firms in a research based industry or industries or of individual firms with innovation
 strategies linked to (a)(i) of this subsection. A globally competitive

1 firm may be signified through international organization for 2 standardization 9000 or 1400 certification, or other recognized 3 evidence of international success; and

4 (iii) Training capacity either within the zone or readily 5 accessible to the zone. The training capacity requirement may be met 6 by the same institution as the research capacity requirement, to the 7 extent both are associated with an educational institution in the 8 proposed zone.

9 (b) The support of a local jurisdiction, a research institution, an 10 educational institution, an industry or cluster association, a 11 workforce development council, and an associate development 12 organization, port, or chamber of commerce;

(c) Identifiable boundaries for the zone within which the applicant will concentrate efforts to connect innovative researchers, entrepreneurs, investors, industry associations or clusters, and training providers. The geographic area defined should lend itself to a distinct identity and have the capacity to accommodate firm growth;

(d) The innovation partnership zone administrator must be an
 economic development council, port, workforce development council,
 city, or county.

21 (2) On October 1st of each year, the director shall designate 22 innovation partnership zones on the basis of applications that meet the legislative criteria, estimated economic impact of the zone, evidence 23 24 of forward planning for the zone, and other criteria as recommended by 25 the Washington state economic development commission. Estimated impact must include evidence of 26 economic anticipated private 27 investment, job creation, innovation, and commercialization. The director shall require evidence that zone applicants will promote 28 commercialization, innovation, and collaboration among zone residents. 29

30 (3) Innovation partnership zones are eligible for funds and other 31 resources as provided by the legislature or at the discretion of the 32 governor.

33 (4) If the innovation partnership zone meets the other requirements 34 of the fund sources, then the zone is eligible for the following funds 35 relating to:

36 (a) The local infrastructure financing tools program;

37 (b) The sales and use tax for public facilities in rural counties;38 and

1

(c) Job skills.

(5) An innovation partnership zone shall be designated as a zone
for a four-year period. At the end of the four-year period, the zone
must reapply for the designation through the department.

5 (6) The department shall convene annual information sharing events 6 for innovation partnership zone administrators and other interested 7 parties.

(7) An innovation partnership zone shall provide performance 8 measures as required by the director, including but not limited to 9 private investment measures, job creation measures, and measures of 10 innovation such as licensing of ideas in research institutions, 11 patents, or other recognized measures of innovation. The Washington 12 13 state economic development commission shall review annually the individual innovation partnership zone's performance measures and make 14 recommendations to the department regarding additional zone designation 15 16 criteria.

17 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 43.330 RCW
 18 to read as follows:

(1) The Washington state economic development commission shall, with the advice of an innovation partnership advisory group selected by the commission, have oversight responsibility for the implementation of the state's efforts to further innovation partnerships throughout the state. The commission shall:

(a) Provide information and advice to the department of community,
trade, and economic development to assist in the implementation of the
innovation partnership zone program, including criteria to be used in
the selection of grant applicants for funding;

(b) Document clusters of companies throughout the state that have comparative competitive advantage or the potential for comparative competitive advantage, using the process and criteria for identifying strategic clusters developed by the working group specified in subsection (2) of this section;

33 (c) Conduct an innovation opportunity analysis to identify (i) the 34 strongest current intellectual assets and research teams in the state 35 focused on emerging technologies and their commercialization, and (ii) 36 faculty and researchers that could increase their focus on

p. 3

1 commercialization of technology if provided the appropriate technical 2 assistance and resources;

3 (d) Based on its findings and analysis, and in conjunction with the4 higher education coordinating board and research institutions:

(i) Develop a plan to build on existing, and develop new, 5 intellectual assets and innovation research teams in the state in 6 7 research areas where there is a high potential to commercialize technologies. The commission shall present the plan to the governor 8 legislature by December 31, 2007. 9 and The higher education coordinating board shall be responsible for implementing the plan in 10 conjunction with the publicly funded research institutions in the 11 state. The plan shall address the following elements and such other 12 13 elements as the commission deems important:

14 (A) Specific mechanisms to support, enhance, or develop innovation 15 research teams and strengthen their research and commercialization 16 capacity in areas identified as useful to strategic clusters and 17 innovative firms in the state;

18 (B) Identification of the funding necessary for laboratory19 infrastructure needed to house innovation research teams;

20 (C) Specification of the most promising research areas meriting 21 enhanced resources and recruitment of significant entrepreneurial 22 researchers to join or lead innovation research teams;

(D) The most productive approaches to take in the recruitment, in the identified promising research areas, of a minimum of ten significant entrepreneurial researchers over the next ten years to join or lead innovation research teams;

(E) Steps to take in solicitation of private sector support for the
 recruitment of entrepreneurial researchers and the commercialization
 activity of innovation research teams; and

30 (F) Mechanisms for ensuring the location of innovation research 31 teams in innovation partnership zones;

32 (ii) Provide direction for the development of comprehensive entrepreneurial assistance programs at research institutions. 33 The involve multidisciplinary students, faculty, 34 programs may entrepreneurial researchers, entrepreneurs, and investors in building 35 business models and evolving business plans around innovative ideas. 36 37 The programs may provide technical assistance and the support of an entrepreneur-in-residence to innovation research teams and offer 38

p. 4

entrepreneurial training to faculty, researchers, undergraduates, and
 graduate students. Curriculum leading to a certificate in
 entrepreneurship may also be offered;

(e) Develop performance measures to be used in evaluating the 4 5 performance of innovation research teams, the implementation of the plan and programs under (d)(i) and (ii) of this subsection, and the 6 7 performance of innovation partnership zone grant recipients, including but not limited to private investment measures, business initiation 8 measures, job creation measures, and measures of innovation such as 9 10 licensing of ideas in research institutions, patents, or other recognized measures of innovation. The performance measures developed 11 shall be consistent with the economic development commission's 12 13 comprehensive plan for economic development and its standards and 14 metrics for program evaluation. The commission shall report to the legislature and the governor by December 31, 2008, on the measures 15 16 developed; and

(f) Using the performance measures developed, perform a biennial assessment and report, the first of which shall be due December 31, 2012, on:

(i) Commercialization of technologies developed at state
 universities, found at other research institutions in the state, and
 facilitated with public assistance at existing companies;

23 (ii) Outcomes of the funding of innovation research teams and 24 recruitment of significant entrepreneurial researchers;

(iii) Comparison with other states of Washington's outcomes from the innovation research teams and efforts to recruit significant entrepreneurial researchers; and

28 (iv) Outcomes of the grants for innovation partnership zones.

29 The report shall include recommendations for modifications of this act 30 and of state commercialization efforts that would enhance the state's 31 economic competitiveness.

32 (2) The economic development commission and the workforce training
 33 and education coordinating board shall jointly convene a working group
 34 to:

(a) Specify the process and criteria for identification of substate
 geographic concentrations of firms or employment in an industry and the
 industry's customers, suppliers, supporting businesses, and

p. 5

1 institutions, which process will include the use of labor market 2 information from the employment security department and local labor 3 markets; and

(b) Establish criteria for identifying strategic clusters which are
important to economic prosperity in the state, considering cluster
size, growth rate, and wage levels among other factors.

--- END ---