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**SHB 2688** - H AMD **1110**

By Representative Morris

On page 2, line 23, after "hearing;" strike "and"

On page 2, line 24, after "proposed" insert "; (d) there is no court decision regarding a similar project or resource in which the court found that the project or resource did not qualify to meet a target under RCW 19.285.040; and (e) the applicable statutes defining what renewable resources and conservation resources qualify to meet a target under RCW 19.285.040 have not changed"

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | EFFECT: Adds additional criteria that must be met in order for an advisory opinion issued by the Washington State University Extension Energy Program to be binding on the State Auditor: (1) there is no court decision regarding a similar project or resource in which the court found that the project or resource did not qualify to meet a target under Initiative 937; and (2) the statutes defining what renewable resources and conservation resources qualify to meet a target under RCW 19.285.040 have not changed. |

**--- END ---**