CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

HOUSE BILL 1533

63rd Legislature 2013 Regular Session

Passed by the House March 4, 2013 Yeas 98 Nays 0 Speaker of the House of Representatives	CERTIFICATE I, Barbara Baker, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is HOUSI BILL 1533 as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate of the dates hereon set forth.
Passed by the Senate April 12, 2013 Yeas 43 Nays 5	
	Chief Clerk
President of the Senate	
Approved	FILED
	Secretary of State State of Washington
Governor of the State of Washington	

HOUSE BILL 1533

Passed Legislature - 2013 Regular Session

State of Washington

6 7

8

10

11

12

1314

1516

17

18 19 63rd Legislature

2013 Regular Session

By Representatives Rodne and Jinkins

Read first time 01/30/13. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to clarifying notice of claims in health care actions; and amending RCW 7.70.100.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 Sec. 1. RCW 7.70.100 and 2007 c 119 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) ((No action based upon a health care provider's professional negligence may be commenced unless the defendant has been given at least ninety days' notice of the intention to commence the action. The notice required by this section shall be given by regular mail, registered mail, or certified mail with return receipt requested, by depositing the notice, with postage prepaid, in the post office addressed to the defendant. If the defendant is a health care provider entity defined in RCW 7.70.020(3) or, at the time of the alleged professional negligence, was acting as an actual agent or employee of such a health care provider entity, the notice may be addressed to the chief executive officer, administrator, office of risk management, if any, or registered agent for service of process, if any, of such health care provider entity. Notice for a claim against a local government entity shall be filed with the agent as identified in RCW 4.96.020(2).

p. 1 HB 1533.PL

- Proof of notice by mail may be made in the same manner as that prescribed by court rule or statute for proof of service by mail. If the notice is served within ninety days of the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, the time for the commencement of the action must be extended ninety days from the date the notice was mailed, and after the ninety-day extension expires, the claimant shall have an additional five court days to commence the action.
- (2) The provisions of subsection (1) of this section are not applicable with respect to any defendant whose name is unknown to the plaintiff at the time of filing the complaint and who is identified therein by a fictitious name.
- (3) After the filing of the ninety-day presuit notice, and)) Before a superior court trial, all causes of action, whether based in tort, contract, or otherwise, for damages arising from injury occurring as a result of health care provided after July 1, 1993, shall be subject to mandatory mediation prior to trial except as provided in subsection (((6))) (4) of this section.
- ((4))) (2) The supreme court shall by rule adopt procedures to implement mandatory mediation of actions under this chapter. The implementation contemplates the adoption of rules by the supreme court which will require mandatory mediation without exception unless subsection ((6)) (4) of this section applies. The rules on mandatory mediation shall address, at a minimum:
- (a) Procedures for the appointment of, and qualifications of, mediators. A mediator shall have experience or expertise related to actions arising from injury occurring as a result of health care, and be a member of the state bar association who has been admitted to the bar for a minimum of five years or who is a retired judge. The parties may stipulate to a nonlawyer mediator. The court may prescribe additional qualifications of mediators;
- (b) Appropriate limits on the amount or manner of compensation of mediators;
 - (c) The number of days following the filing of a claim under this chapter within which a mediator must be selected;
- 35 (d) The method by which a mediator is selected. The rule shall 36 provide for designation of a mediator by the superior court if the 37 parties are unable to agree upon a mediator;

- (e) The number of days following the selection of a mediator within which a mediation conference must be held;
 - (f) A means by which mediation of an action under this chapter may be waived by a mediator who has determined that the claim is not appropriate for mediation; and
 - (g) Any other matters deemed necessary by the court.

- ((+5))) (3) Mediators shall not impose discovery schedules upon the parties.

 - $((\frac{7}{1}))$ (5) The implementation also contemplates the adoption of a rule by the supreme court for procedures for the parties to certify to the court the manner of mediation used by the parties to comply with this section.

--- END ---

p. 3 HB 1533.PL