S-3134.1			

SENATE BILL 6374

State of Washington

6

12

63rd Legislature

2014 Regular Session

By Senators Roach and Padden

Read first time 01/22/14. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to parent and child relationship termination; and
- 2 amending RCW 13.34.132, 13.34.180, 26.09.191, 26.26.505, and 26.33.170.
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 13.34.132 and 2013 c 302 s 11 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - A court may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed if the following requirements are met:
- 8 (1) The court has removed the child from his or her home pursuant 9 to RCW 13.34.130;
- 10 (2) Termination is recommended by the department or the supervising 11 agency;
 - (3) Termination is in the best interests of the child; and
- 13 Because of the existence of aggravated circumstances, 14 reasonable efforts to unify the family are not 15 Notwithstanding the existence of aggravated circumstances, reasonable 16 efforts may be required if the court or department determines it is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether aggravated 17 18 circumstances exist by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence, the court shall consider one or more of the following: 19

p. 1 SB 6374

1 (a) Conviction of the parent of rape of the child in the first, 2 second, or third degree as defined in RCW 9A.44.073, 9A.44.076, and 3 9A.44.079;

- (b) Conviction of the parent of criminal mistreatment of the child in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.42.020 and 9A.42.030;
- (c) Conviction of the parent of one of the following assault crimes, when the child is the victim: Assault in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.36.011 and 9A.36.021 or assault of a child in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.36.120 or 9A.36.130;
- (d) Conviction of the parent of murder, manslaughter, or homicide by abuse of the child's other parent, sibling, or another child;
- (e) Conviction of the parent of trafficking, or promoting commercial sexual abuse of a minor when the victim of the crime is the child, the child's other parent, a sibling of the child, or another child;
- (f) Conviction of the parent of attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to commit a crime listed in (a), (b), (c), or (d) of this subsection;
- (g) A finding by a court that a parent is a sexually violent predator as defined in RCW 71.09.020;
 - (h) Failure of the parent to complete available treatment ordered under this chapter or the equivalent laws of another state, where such failure has resulted in a prior termination of parental rights to another child and the parent has failed to effect significant change in the interim. In the case of a parent of an Indian child, as defined in RCW 13.38.040, the court shall also consider tribal efforts to assist the parent in completing treatment and make it possible for the child to return home;
 - (i) An infant under three years of age has been abandoned((÷
 - (j) Conviction of the parent, when a child has been born of the offense, of: (A) A sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or (B) incest under RCW 9A.64.020)).

A court shall order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed upon conviction of the parent, when a child was conceived as a result of: (i) A sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or (ii) incest under RCW 9A.64.020.

Sec. 2. RCW 13.34.180 and 2013 c 173 s 4 are each amended to read 2 as follows:

- (1) A petition seeking termination of a parent and child relationship may be filed in juvenile court by any party, including the supervising agency, to the dependency proceedings concerning that child. Such petition shall conform to the requirements of RCW 13.34.040, shall be served upon the parties as provided in RCW 13.34.070(8), and shall allege all of the following unless subsection (3) or (4) of this section applies:
 - (a) That the child has been found to be a dependent child;
- 11 (b) That the court has entered a dispositional order pursuant to 12 RCW 13.34.130;
 - (c) That the child has been removed or will, at the time of the hearing, have been removed from the custody of the parent for a period of at least six months pursuant to a finding of dependency;
 - (d) That the services ordered under RCW 13.34.136 have been expressly and understandably offered or provided and all necessary services, reasonably available, capable of correcting the parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been expressly and understandably offered or provided;
 - (e) That there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. A parent's failure to substantially improve parental deficiencies within twelve months following entry of the dispositional order shall give rise to a rebuttable presumption that there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. The presumption shall not arise unless the petitioner makes a showing that all necessary services reasonably capable of correcting the parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been clearly offered or provided. In determining whether the conditions will be remedied the court may consider, but is not limited to, the following factors:
 - (i) Use of intoxicating or controlled substances so as to render the parent incapable of providing proper care for the child for extended periods of time or for periods of time that present a risk of imminent harm to the child, and documented unwillingness of the parent to receive and complete treatment or documented multiple failed treatment attempts;

p. 3 SB 6374

(ii) Psychological incapacity or mental deficiency of the parent that is so severe and chronic as to render the parent incapable of providing proper care for the child for extended periods of time or for periods of time that present a risk of imminent harm to the child, and documented unwillingness of the parent to receive and complete treatment or documentation that there is no treatment that can render the parent capable of providing proper care for the child in the near future; or

- (iii) Failure of the parent to have contact with the child for an extended period of time after the filing of the dependency petition if the parent was provided an opportunity to have a relationship with the child by the department or the court and received documented notice of the potential consequences of this failure, except that the actual inability of a parent to have visitation with the child including, but not limited to, mitigating circumstances such as a parent's current or prior incarceration or service in the military does not in and of itself constitute failure to have contact with the child; and
- (f) That continuation of the parent and child relationship clearly diminishes the child's prospects for early integration into a stable and permanent home. If the parent is incarcerated, the court shall consider whether a parent maintains a meaningful role in his or her child's life based on factors identified in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b); whether the department or supervising agency made reasonable efforts as defined in this chapter; and whether particular barriers existed as described in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b) including, but not limited to, delays or barriers experienced in keeping the agency apprised of his or her location and in accessing visitation or other meaningful contact with the child.
- (2) As evidence of rebuttal to any presumption established pursuant to subsection (1)(e) of this section, the court may consider the particular constraints of a parent's current or prior incarceration. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, delays or barriers a parent may experience in keeping the agency apprised of his or her location and in accessing visitation or other meaningful contact with the child.
- 36 (3) In lieu of the allegations in subsection (1) of this section, 37 the petition may allege that the child was found under such

circumstances that the whereabouts of the child's parent are unknown and no person has acknowledged paternity or maternity and requested custody of the child within two months after the child was found.

- (4) In lieu of the allegations in subsection (1)(b) through (f) of this section, the petition may allege that the parent has been convicted of:
- (a) Murder in the first degree, murder in the second degree, or homicide by abuse as defined in chapter 9A.32 RCW against another child of the parent;
- (b) Manslaughter in the first degree or manslaughter in the second degree, as defined in chapter 9A.32 RCW against another child of the parent;
- (c) Attempting, conspiring, or soliciting another to commit one or more of the crimes listed in (a) or (b) of this subsection; ((or))
- 15 (d) Assault in the first or second degree, as defined in chapter 16 9A.36 RCW, against the surviving child or another child of the parent: 17 or
 - (e) A sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, against the other parent, when the child was conceived as a result of the offense.
 - (5) When a parent has been sentenced to a long-term incarceration and has maintained a meaningful role in the child's life considering the factors provided in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b), and it is in the best interest of the child, the department should consider a permanent placement that allows the parent to maintain a relationship with his or her child, such as, but not limited to, a guardianship pursuant to chapter 13.36 RCW.
 - (6) Notice of rights shall be served upon the parent, guardian, or legal custodian with the petition and shall be in substantially the following form:

31 "NOTICE

A petition for termination of parental rights has been filed against you. You have important legal rights and you must take steps to protect your interests. This petition could result in permanent loss of your parental rights.

- 1. You have the right to a fact-finding hearing before a judge.
 - 2. You have the right to have a lawyer represent you at

p. 5 SB 6374

the hearing. A lawyer can look at the files in your case, talk to the ((department of social and health services or the)) supervising agency and other agencies, tell you about the law, help you understand your rights, and help you at hearings. If you cannot afford a lawyer, the court will appoint one to represent you. To get a court-appointed lawyer you must contact: (explain local procedure) .

3. At the hearing, you have the right to speak on your own behalf, to introduce evidence, to examine witnesses, and to receive a decision based solely on the evidence presented to the judge.

You should be present at this hearing.

You may call <u>(insert agency)</u> for more information about your child. The agency's name and telephone number are <u>(insert name and telephone number)</u>."

- Sec. 3. RCW 26.09.191 and 2011 c 89 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (b) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; ((or)) (c) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (d) has been found guilty of a sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, against the other parent, when the child was born of the offense.
 - (2)(a) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; ((or)) (iv) has been found guilty of a sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or incest under RCW

- 9A.64.020, against the other parent, when the child was conceived as a result of the offense; or (v) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
 - (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
 - (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 10 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
 - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 14 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

5

6 7

8

9

13

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

30

3132

33

34

- 15 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 16 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 17 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 18 under (d) of this subsection;
- 19 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 20 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 21 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
 - (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
 - This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
 - (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- 35 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;

p. 7 SB 6374

- 1 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
 - (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
 - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
 - (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 9 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

5

6 7

8

13

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

3132

33

3435

38

- 10 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 11 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 12 under (e) of this subsection;
 - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 14 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 15 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.
 - (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
 - (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- 36 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 37 was at least five years older than the other person;
 - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

- 1 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 3 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 4 eight years older than the victim;
 - (v) RCW 9A.44.083;

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

30

- 6 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 7 eight years older than the victim;
- 8 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 9 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses 10 listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 11 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 12 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of 13 this subsection.
 - (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence:
- 23 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 24 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 25 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 26 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 28 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 29 eight years older than the victim;
 - (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 31 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 32 eight years older than the victim;
- 33 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.

p. 9 SB 6374

(f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:

- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between

the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been

p. 11 SB 6374

convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this 1 2 subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and 3 4 pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the 5 child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, 6 7 that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from 8 The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon 9 finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to 10 protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the 11 child.

(k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who

SB 6374 p. 12

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

3233

3435

36

37

38

resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of relevant counseling or treatment. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court

p. 13 SB 6374

shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

(iii) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.

(n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter $26.50 \ RCW$ as to domestic violence is within

the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.

4 5

6 7

10

11 12

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

3031

38

- (3) A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors exist:
- 8 (a) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of parenting functions;
 - (b) A long-term emotional or physical impairment which interferes with the parent's performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004;
- 13 (c) A long-term impairment resulting from drug, alcohol, or other 14 substance abuse that interferes with the performance of parenting 15 functions;
- 16 (d) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional ties between 17 the parent and the child;
 - (e) The abusive use of conflict by the parent which creates the danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development;
 - (f) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the child for a protracted period without good cause; ((or))
 - (g) A parent has been found guilty of a sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, against the other parent, when the child was conceived as a result of the offense; or
 - (h) Such other factors or conduct as the court expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.
 - (4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child and the parties.
- 32 (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 33 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 34 plan.
- 35 (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this 36 section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of 37 evidence, proof, and procedure.
 - (7) For the purposes of this section:

p. 15 SB 6374

- 1 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild; and
- 3 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 4 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 5 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.
- 6 **Sec. 4.** RCW 26.26.505 and 2011 c 283 s 29 are each amended to read 7 as follows:
- 8 Subject to RCW 26.26.300 through 26.26.375, 26.26.530, and 9 26.26.540, a proceeding to adjudicate parentage may be maintained by:
- 10 (1) The child;

- 11 (2) The person who has established a parent-child relationship with the child;
- (3) A person whose parentage of the child is to be adjudicated,
 except a person convicted of a sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or
 incest under RCW 9A.64.020, against the other parent, may not maintain
 a proceeding unless the person proves by clear, cogent, and convincing
 evidence that the child was conceived as a result of the criminal
 offense;
 - (4) The division of child support;
 - (5) An authorized adoption agency or licensed child-placing agency;
- 21 (6) A representative authorized by law to act for an individual who 22 would otherwise be entitled to maintain a proceeding but who is 23 deceased, incapacitated, or a minor; or
- 24 (7) An intended parent under a surrogate parentage contract, as 25 provided in RCW 26.26.210 through 26.26.260.
- 26 **Sec. 5.** RCW 26.33.170 and 1999 c 173 s 1 are each amended to read 27 as follows:
- (1) An agency's, the department's, or a legal guardian's consent to adoption may be dispensed with if the court determines by clear, cogent and convincing evidence that the proposed adoption is in the best interests of the adoptee.
- 32 (2) An alleged father's, birth parent's, or parent's consent to 33 adoption may be dispensed with if the court finds that the proposed 34 adoption is in the best interests of the adoptee and((÷
- 35 $\frac{(a)}{(a)}$)) the alleged father, birth parent, or parent has been found

guilty of rape under chapter 9A.44 RCW or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, where the adoptee was the victim of the rape or incest($(\cdot; \cdot)$)

- (b) The alleged father, birth parent, or parent has been found guilty of rape under chapter 9A.44 RCW or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, where the other parent of the adoptee was the victim of the rape or incest and the adoptee was conceived as a result of the rape or incest)).
- (3) An alleged father's, birth parent's, or parent's consent to adoption shall be dispensed with if the court finds that the proposed adoption is in the best interests of the adoptee and the alleged father, birth parent, or parent has been found guilty of rape under chapter 9A.44 RCW or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, where the other parent of the adoptee was the victim of the rape or incest and the adoptee was conceived as a result of the rape or incest.
- $\underline{(4)}$ Nothing in this section shall be construed to eliminate the notice provisions of this chapter.

--- END ---

p. 17 SB 6374