<u>SHB 1319</u> - H AMD 19 By Representative McCaslin

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

3 "Sec. 1. RCW 28A.405.100 and 2012 c 35 s 1 are each amended to 4 read as follows:

(1)(a) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, the 5 superintendent of public instruction shall establish and may amend б 7 from time to time minimum criteria for the evaluation of the professional performance capabilities and development of certificated 8 9 classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. For classroom teachers the criteria shall be developed in the following categories: 10 Instructional skill; classroom management, professional preparation 11 12 and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; the handling 13 of student discipline and attendant problems; and interest in teaching pupils and knowledge of subject matter. 14

15 (b) Every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedure through 41.59.170, 41.59.910, provided RCW 41.59.010 16 in and 17 41.59.920, establish evaluative criteria and procedures for all certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. 18 The evaluative criteria must contain as a minimum the criteria 19 established by the superintendent of public instruction pursuant to 20 this section and must be prepared within six months following 21 22 adoption of the superintendent of public instruction's minimum criteria. The district must certify to the superintendent of public 23 24 instruction that evaluative criteria have been so prepared by the district. 25

(2)(a) ((Pursuant to the implementation schedule established in subsection (7)(c) of this section,)) Every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedures provided in RCW 41.59.010 through 41.59.170, 41.59.910, and 41.59.920, establish ((revised)) evaluative criteria and a four-level rating system for all certificated classroom teachers.

1 (b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Centering instruction on high expectations for student achievement; (ii) demonstrating 2 effective teaching practices; (iii) recognizing individual student 3 learning needs and developing strategies to address those needs; (iv) 4 providing clear and intentional focus on subject matter content and 5 6 curriculum; (v) fostering and managing a safe, positive learning 7 environment; (vi) using multiple student data elements to modify instruction and improve student learning; (vii) communicating and 8 collaborating with parents and the school community; and (viii) 9 exhibiting collaborative and collegial practices focused on improving 10 11 instructional practice and student learning. Student growth data must 12 be a substantial factor in evaluating the ((summative)) performance of certificated classroom teachers for at least three of the 13 evaluation criteria listed in this subsection. 14

The four-level rating system used to evaluate 15 (C) the 16 certificated classroom teacher must describe performance along a 17 continuum that indicates the extent to which the criteria have been met or exceeded. The ((summative)) performance ratings shall be as 18 follows: Level 1 - unsatisfactory; level 2 - basic; level 3 -19 proficient; and level 4 - distinguished. A classroom teacher shall 20 21 receive one of the four ((summative)) performance ratings for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection and one of the four 22 ((summative)) performance ratings for the evaluation as a whole, 23 which shall be the comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance 24 25 rating. ((By December 1, 2012,)) The superintendent of public instruction must 26 adopt rules prescribing a common method for calculating the comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance 27 rating for each of the preferred instructional frameworks, including 28 for a focused performance evaluation under subsection (12) of this 29 section, giving appropriate weight to the indicators evaluated under 30 31 each criteria and maximizing rater agreement among the frameworks.

32 (d) ((By December 1, 2012,)) The superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of 33 the ((summative)) performance ratings((, based on the development 34 work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. 35 Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may 36 only be)) with updates to the rules made following consultation with 37 ((a group broadly reflective of the parties represented)) the 38 39 steering committee described in subsection (7)(a)(i) of this section.

1 (e) ((<del>By September 1, 2012,</del>)) <u>The</u> superintendent of public instruction shall identify up to three preferred instructional 2 frameworks that support the ((revised)) four-level rating evaluation 3 The instructional frameworks shall be research-based and 4 svstem. establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four ((summative)) 5 6 performance ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school 7 district must adopt one of the preferred instructional frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of 8 public instruction shall establish a process for approving minor 9 modifications or adaptations to a preferred instructional framework 10 11 that may be proposed by a school district.

12 (f) Student growth data that is relevant to the teacher and subject matter must be a factor in the evaluation process and must be 13 14 based on multiple measures that can include classroom-based, schoolbased, district-based, and state-based tools. Student growth data 15 16 elements may include the teacher's performance as a member of a 17 grade-level, subject matter, or other instructional team within a school when the use of this data is relevant and appropriate. Student 18 growth data elements may also include the teacher's performance as a 19 member of the overall instructional team of a school when use of this 20 21 data is relevant and appropriate. As used in this subsection, "student growth" means the change in student achievement between two 22 points in time. 23

24

(g) Student input may also be included in the evaluation process.

25 (3)(a) Except as provided in subsection (11) of this section, it 26 shall be the responsibility of a principal or his or her designee to evaluate all certificated personnel in his or her school. During each 27 school year all classroom teachers and certificated support personnel 28 29 shall be observed for the purposes of evaluation at least twice in the performance of their assigned duties. Total observation time for 30 31 each employee for each school year shall be not less than sixty 32 minutes. An employee in the third year of provisional status as defined in RCW 28A.405.220 shall be observed at least three times in 33 the performance of his or her duties and the total observation time 34 for the school year shall not be less than ninety minutes. Following 35 each observation, or series of observations, the principal or other 36 evaluator shall promptly document the results of the observation in 37 writing, and shall provide the employee with a copy ((thereof)) 38 39 within three days after such report is prepared. New employees shall 40 be observed at least once for a total observation time of thirty Code Rev/SCG:lel 3 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

1 minutes during the first ninety calendar days of their employment 2 period.

3 (b) As used in this subsection and subsection (4) of this 4 section, "employees" means classroom teachers and certificated 5 support personnel except where otherwise specified.

6 (4)(a) At any time after October 15th, an employee whose work is not judged satisfactory based on district evaluation criteria shall 7 be notified in writing of the specific areas of deficiencies along 8 with a reasonable program for improvement. For classroom teachers who 9 ((have been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to 10 11 the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section)) are required to be on the four-level rating 12 the following comprehensive 13 evaluation system, ((<del>summative</del> evaluation)) performance ratings based on the evaluation criteria in 14 subsection (2)(b) of this section mean a classroom teacher's work is 15 16 not judged satisfactory:

(i) Level 1; or

17

(ii) Level 2 if the classroom teacher is a continuing contract employee under RCW 28A.405.210 with more than five years of teaching experience and if the level 2 comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance rating has been received for two consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period.

(b) During the period of probation, the employee may not be 23 supervision of the original 24 transferred from the evaluator. 25 Improvement of performance or probable cause for nonrenewal must occur and be documented by the original evaluator before any 26 consideration of a request for transfer or reassignment 27 as contemplated by either the individual or the school district. A 28 probationary period of sixty school days shall be established. Days 29 may be added if deemed necessary to complete a program for 30 31 improvement and evaluate the probationer's performance, as long as 32 the probationary period is concluded before May 15th of the same 33 school year. The probationary period may be extended into the following school year if the probationer has five or more years of 34 teaching experience and has a comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) 35 performance rating as of May 15th of less than level 2. 36 The establishment of a probationary period does not adversely affect the 37 contract status of an employee within the meaning of RCW 28A.405.300. 38 39 The purpose of the probationary period is to give the employee 40 opportunity to demonstrate improvements in his or her areas of H-1932.2/17 2nd draft Code Rev/SCG:lel 4

1 deficiency. The establishment of the probationary period and the giving of the notice to the employee of deficiency shall be by the 2 school district superintendent and need not be submitted to the board 3 directors for approval. During the probationary period the 4 of evaluator shall meet with the employee at least twice monthly to 5 б supervise and make a written evaluation of the progress, if any, made The evaluator may authorize one 7 employee. additional by the certificated employee to evaluate the probationer and to aid the 8 employee in improving his or her areas of deficiency. Should the 9 evaluator not authorize such additional evaluator, the probationer 10 11 may request that an additional certificated employee evaluator become 12 part of the probationary process and this request must be implemented by including an additional experienced evaluator assigned by the 13 educational service district in which the school district is located 14 and selected from a list of evaluation specialists compiled by the 15 educational service district. Such additional certificated employee 16 17 shall be immune from any civil liability that might otherwise be 18 incurred or imposed with regard to the good faith performance of such 19 evaluation. If a procedural error occurs in the implementation of a error does not 20 program for improvement, the invalidate the 21 probationer's plan for improvement or evaluation activities unless the error materially affects the effectiveness of the plan or the 22 ability to evaluate the probationer's performance. The probationer 23 be removed from probation if he or she has demonstrated 24 must improvement to the satisfaction of the evaluator in those areas 25 specifically detailed in his or her initial notice of deficiency and 26 subsequently detailed in his or her program for improvement. A 27 28 classroom teacher who ((has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule 29 adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section)) is required to be 30 on the four-level rating evaluation system must be removed from 31 32 probation if he or she has demonstrated improvement that results in a new comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance rating of 33 level 2 or above for a provisional employee or a continuing contract 34 employee with five or fewer years of experience, or of level 3 or 35 above for a continuing contract employee with more than five years of 36 experience. Lack of necessary improvement during the established 37 probationary period, as specifically documented in writing with 38 39 notification to the probationer constitutes grounds for a finding of 40 probable cause under RCW 28A.405.300 or 28A.405.210. Code Rev/SCG:lel

5

H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

1 (c) When a continuing contract employee with five or more years of experience receives a comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) 2 performance rating below level 2 for two consecutive years, the 3 school district shall, within ten days of the completion of the 4 second ((summative)) comprehensive (([comprehensive summative])) 5 6 performance evaluation or May 15th, whichever occurs first, implement 7 notification of discharge as provided the employee in RCW 28A.405.300. 8

(d) Immediately following the completion of a probationary period 9 that does not produce performance changes detailed in the initial 10 11 notice of deficiencies and program for improvement, the employee may 12 be removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year. In the case of a 13 14 classroom teacher who ((has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule 15 16 adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section)) is required to be 17 on the four-level rating evaluation system, the teacher may be 18 removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year immediately following 19 the completion of a probationary period that does not result in the 20 21 required comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance ratings specified in (b) of this subsection. This reassignment may not 22 may it adversely affect displace another employee nor 23 the probationary employee's compensation or benefits for the remainder of 24 25 the employee's contract year. If such reassignment is not possible, 26 the district may, at its option, place the employee on paid leave for the balance of the contract term. 27

(5) Every board of directors shall establish evaluative criteria 28 29 and procedures for all superintendents, principals, and other administrators. It shall be the responsibility of the district 30 31 superintendent or his or her designee to evaluate all administrators. Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, such evaluation 32 shall be based on the administrative position job description. Such 33 criteria, when applicable, shall include at least the following 34 categories: Knowledge of, experience in, and training in recognizing 35 36 good professional performance, capabilities and development; school finance; school 37 administration and management; professional preparation and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; 38 39 interest in pupils, employees, patrons and subjects taught in school;

б

1 leadership; and ability and performance of evaluation of school 2 personnel.

3 (6)(a) ((Pursuant to the implementation schedule established by subsection (7)(b) of this section,)) Every board of directors shall 4 establish ((revised)) evaluative criteria and a four-level rating 5 6 system for principals.

(b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Creating a school 7 culture that promotes the ongoing improvement of 8 learning and teaching for students and staff; (ii) demonstrating commitment to 9 closing the achievement gap; (iii) providing for school safety; (iv) 10 leading the development, implementation, and evaluation of a data-11 12 driven plan for increasing student achievement, including the use of multiple student data elements; (v) assisting instructional staff 13 14 with alignment of curriculum, instruction, and assessment with state and local district learning goals; (vi) monitoring, assisting, and 15 16 evaluating effective instruction and assessment practices; (vii) 17 managing both staff and fiscal resources to support student 18 achievement and legal responsibilities; and (viii) partnering with the school community to promote student learning. Student growth data 19 must be a substantial factor in evaluating the ((summative)) 20 21 performance of the principal for at least three of the evaluation 22 criteria listed in this subsection.

(c) The four-level rating system used to evaluate the principal 23 must describe performance along a continuum that indicates the extent 24 25 to which the criteria have been met or exceeded. The ((summative)) 26 performance ratings shall be as follows: Level 1 - unsatisfactory; level 2 - basic; level 3 - proficient; and level 4 - distinguished. A 27 principal shall receive one of the four ((summative)) performance 28 ratings for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection 29 and one of the four ((summative)) performance ratings for the 30 31 evaluation as a whole, which shall be the comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance rating. 32

(d) ((<del>By December 1, 2012,</del>)) The superintendent of public 33 instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of 34 35 the ((summative)) performance ratings((, based on the development work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. 36 Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may 37 only be)) with updates to the rules made following consultation with 38 ((a group broadly reflective of the parties represented)) the 39 40 steering committee described in subsection (7)(a)(i) of this section. Code Rev/SCG:lel 7 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

1 (e) ((<del>By September 1, 2012,</del>)) <u>The</u> superintendent of public shall identify up to three preferred leadership 2 instruction frameworks that support the ((revised)) four-level rating evaluation 3 system. leadership frameworks shall be research-based and 4 The establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four performance 5 6 ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school district shall 7 adopt one of the preferred leadership frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of public 8 shall establish a process for 9 instruction approving minor 10 modifications or adaptations to a preferred leadership framework that 11 may be proposed by a school district.

12 (f) Student growth data that is relevant to the principal must be a factor in the evaluation process and must be based on multiple 13 measures that can include classroom-based, school-based, district-14 based, and state-based tools. As used in this subsection, "student 15 16 growth" means the change in student achievement between two points in 17 time.

18 (q) Input from building staff may also be included in the 19 evaluation process.

(h) ((For principals who have been transitioned to the revised 20 evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule 21 adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section,)) The following 22 comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance ratings mean a 23 principal's work is not judged satisfactory: 24

(i) Level 1; or

25

26 (ii) Level 2 if the principal has more than five years of experience in the principal role and if the level 2 comprehensive 27 28 ((summative evaluation)) performance rating has been received for two 29 consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period. 30

31 (7)(a) ((The superintendent of public instruction, in collaboration with state associations representing teachers, 32 33 principals, administrators, school board members, and parents, to be known as the steering committee, shall create models for implementing 34 the evaluation system criteria, student growth tools, professional 35 development programs, and evaluator training for certificated 36 classroom teachers and principals. Human resources specialists, 37 professional development experts, and assessment experts must also be 38 39 consulted. Due to the diversity of teaching assignments and the many 40 developmental levels of students, classroom teachers and principals Code Rev/SCG:lel 8 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

1 must be prominently represented in this work. The models must be 2 available for use in the 2011-12 school year.

3 (b) A new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system that 4 implements the provisions of subsection (2) of this section and a new 5 principal evaluation system that implements the provisions of 6 subsection (6) of this section shall be phased-in beginning with the 7 2010-11 school year by districts identified in (d) of this subsection 8 and implemented in all school districts beginning with the 2013-14 9 school year.

10 (c) Each school district board of directors shall adopt a schedule for implementation of the revised evaluation systems that 11 transitions a portion of classroom teachers and principals in the 12 district to the revised evaluation systems each year beginning no 13 later than the 2013-14 school year, until all classroom teachers and 14 15 principals are being evaluated under the revised evaluation systems 16 no later than the 2015-16 school year. A school district is not precluded from completing the transition of all classroom teachers 17 and principals to the revised evaluation systems before the 2015-16 18 school year. The schedule adopted under this subsection (7)(c) must 19 provide that the following employees are transitioned to the revised 20 21 evaluation systems beginning in the 2013-14 school year:

22 (i) Classroom teachers who are provisional employees under RCW23 28A.405.220;

24 (ii) Classroom teachers who are on probation under subsection (4)
25 of this section;

26 (iii) Principals in the first three consecutive school years of 27 employment as a principal;

28 (iv) Principals whose work is not judged satisfactory in their 29 most recent evaluation; and

30 (v) Principals previously employed as a principal by another 31 school district in the state of Washington for three or more 32 consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in 33 the school district.

(d) A set of school districts shall be selected by the 34 superintendent of public instruction to participate in a 35 collaborative process resulting in the development and piloting of 36 37 new certificated classroom teacher and principal evaluation systems during the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years. These school districts 38 must be selected based on: (i) The agreement of the local 39 40 associations representing classroom teachers and principals to Code Rev/SCG:lel 9 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

1 collaborate with the district in this developmental work and (ii) the agreement to participate in the full range of development and 2 implementation activities, including: Development of rubrics for the 3 evaluation criteria and ratings in subsections (2) and (6) of this 4 5 section; identification of or development of appropriate multiple measures of student growth in subsections (2) and (6) of this б 7 section; development of appropriate evaluation system forms; participation in professional development for principals and 8 classroom teachers regarding the content of the new evaluation 9 10 system; participation in evaluator training; and participation in activities to evaluate the effectiveness of the new systems and 11 support programs. The school districts must submit to the office of 12 the superintendent of public instruction data that is used in 13 evaluations and all district-collected student achievement, aptitude, 14 and growth data regardless of whether the data is used in 15 evaluations. If the data is not available electronically, the 16 district may submit it in nonelectronic form. The superintendent of 17 public instruction must analyze the districts' use of student data in 18 evaluations, including examining the extent that student data is not 19 used or is underutilized. The superintendent of public instruction 20 21 must also consult with participating districts and stakeholders, recommend appropriate changes, and address statewide implementation 22 issues. The superintendent of public instruction shall report 23 24 evaluation system implementation status, evaluation data, and 25 recommendations to appropriate committees of the legislature and governor by July 1, 2011, and at the conclusion of the development 26 phase by July 1, 2012. In the July 1, 2011, report, the 27 superintendent shall include recommendations for whether a single 28 29 statewide evaluation model should be adopted, whether modified 30 versions developed by school districts should be subject to state 31 approval, and what the criteria would be for determining if a school 32 district's evaluation model meets or exceeds a statewide model. The report shall also identify challenges posed by requiring a state 33 approval process. 34

35 (e)(i) The steering committee in subsection (7)(a) of this 36 section and the pilot school districts in subsection (7)(d) of this 37 section shall continue to examine implementation issues and refine 38 tools for the new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system in 39 subsection (2) of this section and the new principal evaluation 1 system in subsection (6) of this section during the 2013-14 through

2 2015-16 implementation phase.

3 (ii) Particular attention shall be given to the following issues:
 4 (A) Developing a report for the legislature and governor, due by
 5 December 1, 2013, of best practices and recommendations regarding how

6 teacher and principal evaluations and other appropriate elements
7 shall inform school district human resource and personnel practices.
8 The legislature and governor are provided the opportunity to review

9 the report and recommendations during the 2014 legislative session;

10 (B) Taking the new teacher and principal evaluation systems to 11 scale and the use of best practices for statewide implementation;

12 (C) Providing guidance regarding the use of student growth data 13 to assure it is used responsibly and with integrity;

14 (D) Refining evaluation system management tools, professional 15 development programs, and evaluator training programs with an 16 emphasis on developing rater reliability;

17 (E) Reviewing emerging research regarding teacher and principal 18 evaluation systems and the development and implementation of 19 evaluation systems in other states;

20 (F) Reviewing the impact that variable demographic 21 characteristics of students and schools have on the objectivity, 22 reliability, validity, and availability of student growth data; and

(G) Developing recommendations regarding how teacher evaluations could inform state policies regarding the criteria for a teacher to obtain continuing contract status under RCW 28A.405.210. In developing these recommendations the experiences of school districts and teachers during the evaluation transition phase must be considered. Recommendations must be reported by July 1, 2016, to the legislature and the governor.

30 (iii) To support the tasks in (e)(ii) of this subsection, the 31 superintendent of public instruction may contract with an independent 32 research organization with expertise in educator evaluations and 33 knowledge of the revised evaluation systems being implemented under 34 this section.

35 (iv)) (i) The following participants must be known as the 36 steering committee: State associations representing teachers, 37 principals, administrators, school board members, and parents. The 38 committee shall cease to function July 1, 2022.

39 (ii) The superintendent of public instruction, in collaboration 40 with the steering committee, shall periodically examine 1 <u>implementation issues and refine tools for the teacher and principal</u> 2 <u>four-level rating evaluation systems.</u>

(b) The superintendent of public instruction shall monitor the 3 statewide implementation of ((revised)) teacher and principal four-4 level rating evaluation systems using data reported under RCW 5 6 28A.150.230 as well as periodic input from focus groups of 7 administrators, principals, and teachers.

(((v) The superintendent of public instruction shall submit 8 reports detailing findings, emergent issues or trends, 9 recommendations from the steering committee, and pilot school 10 districts, and other recommendations, to enhance implementation and 11 continuous improvement of the revised evaluation systems to 12 appropriate committees of the legislature and the governor beginning 13 July 1, 2013, and each July 1st thereafter for each year of the 14 school district implementation transition period concluding with a 15 16 report on December 1, 2016.))

17 (8)(a) Beginning with the 2015-16 school year, evaluation results for certificated classroom teachers and principals must be used as 18 19 one of multiple factors in making human resource and personnel decisions. Human resource decisions include, but are not limited to: 20 21 Staff assignment, including the consideration of an agreement to an assignment by an appropriate teacher, principal, and superintendent; 22 and reduction in force. Nothing in this section limits the ability to 23 collectively bargain how the multiple factors shall be used in making 24 25 human resource or personnel decisions, with the exception that 26 evaluation results must be a factor.

(b) The office of the superintendent of public instruction must report to the legislature and the governor regarding the school district implementation of the provisions of (a) of this subsection by December 1, 2017.

(9) Each certificated classroom teacher and certificated support personnel shall have the opportunity for confidential conferences with his or her immediate supervisor on no less than two occasions in each school year. Such confidential conference shall have as its sole purpose the aiding of the administrator in his or her assessment of the employee's professional performance.

(10) The failure of any evaluator to evaluate or supervise or
 cause the evaluation or supervision of certificated classroom
 teachers and certificated support personnel or administrators in
 accordance with this section, as now or hereafter amended, when it is
 Code Rev/SCG:lel
 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft

his or her specific assigned or delegated responsibility to do so, shall be sufficient cause for the nonrenewal of any such evaluator's contract under RCW 28A.405.210, or the discharge of such evaluator under RCW 28A.405.300.

(11) After a certificated classroom teacher ((or)) who is not 5 б required to be on the four-level rating evaluation system or a 7 certificated support personnel has four years of satisfactory evaluations under subsection (1) of this section, a school district 8 may use a short form of evaluation, a locally bargained evaluation 9 emphasizing professional growth, an evaluation under subsection (1) 10 ((or (2))) of this section, or any combination thereof. The short 11 form of evaluation shall include either a thirty minute observation 12 during the school year with a written summary or a final annual 13 written evaluation based on the criteria in subsection (1)  $\left(\left(\frac{\text{or}}{2}\right)\right)$ 14 of this section and based on at least two observation periods during 15 16 the school year totaling at least sixty minutes without a written 17 summary of such observations being prepared. ((A locally bargained short-form evaluation emphasizing professional growth must provide 18 19 that the professional growth activity conducted by the certificated classroom teacher be specifically linked to one or more of the 20 21 certificated classroom teacher evaluation criteria.)) However, the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) ((or (2))) of this 22 section shall be followed at least once every three years unless this 23 time is extended by a local school district under the bargaining 24 25 process set forth in chapter 41.59 RCW. The employee or evaluator may require that the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) ((or 26 (2)) of this section be conducted in any given school year. No 27 28 evaluation other than the evaluation authorized under subsection (1)  $\left(\left(\frac{\text{or}}{2}\right)\right)$  of this section may be used as a basis for determining 29 that an employee's work is not satisfactory under subsection (1) ((or 30 31 (2)) of this section or as probable cause for the nonrenewal of an 32 employee's contract under RCW 28A.405.210 unless an evaluation process developed under chapter 41.59 RCW determines otherwise. ((The 33 provisions of this subsection apply to certificated classroom 34 teachers only until the teacher has been transitioned to the revised 35 evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule 36 adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section.)) 37

38 (12) ((All)) <u>Certificated classroom teachers and principals who</u> 39 ((have been transitioned to the revised evaluation systems pursuant 40 to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection

Code Rev/SCG:lel

1 (7)(c) of this section)) are required to be on the four-level rating
2 evaluation system must receive annual performance evaluations as
3 provided in this subsection((÷)) (12).

((All classroom teachers and principals shall receive a 4 (a) comprehensive summative evaluation at least once every four years.)) 5 б A comprehensive ((summative)) performance evaluation assesses all 7 evaluation criteria and all criteria contribute to the eight comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance rating. Classroom 8 teachers and principals must receive a comprehensive performance 9 evaluation according to the schedule specified in (b) of this 10 11 subsection.

12 (b)(i) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection (12)(b), 13 classroom teachers and principals must receive a comprehensive 14 performance evaluation at least once every four years.

15 (((<del>b)</del>)) (<u>ii</u>) The following ((<del>categories</del>)) <u>types</u> of classroom 16 teachers and principals ((<del>shall</del>)) <u>must</u> receive an annual 17 comprehensive ((<del>summative</del>)) <u>performance</u> evaluation:

18 ((((i))) (A) A classroom teacher((s)) who ((are)) is a provisional 19 employee((s)) under RCW 28A.405.220;

20 ((((ii))) (B) A principal((s)) in the first three consecutive 21 school years of employment as a principal;

((((iii))) (C) A principal((s)) previously employed as a principal by another school district in the state of Washington for three or more consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in the school district; and

26 (((iv) Any)) (D) A classroom teacher or principal who received a 27 comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) performance rating of level 1 28 or level 2 in the previous school year.

(iii) A classroom teacher who holds a valid Washington 29 professional teaching certificate or a valid certification from the 30 national board for professional teaching standards and a principal 31 32 who holds a valid Washington professional administrator certificate, and who received a comprehensive performance rating of level 3 or 33 above in his or her previous comprehensive performance evaluation 34 must receive a comprehensive performance evaluation at least every 35 36 six years.

37 (c)(i) In the years when a comprehensive ((summative)) 38 performance evaluation is not required, classroom teachers and 39 principals who received a comprehensive ((summative evaluation)) 40 performance rating of level 3 or above in ((the previous school Code Rev/SCG:lel 14 H-1932.2/17 2nd draft 1 year)) their previous comprehensive performance evaluation are 2 required to complete a focused <u>performance</u> evaluation. A focused 3 <u>performance</u> evaluation includes an assessment of one of the eight 4 criteria selected for a performance rating plus professional growth 5 activities specifically linked to the selected criteria.

6 (ii) The selected criteria must be approved by the teacher's or 7 principal's evaluator and may have been identified in a previous 8 comprehensive ((summative)) performance evaluation as benefiting from 9 additional attention. A group of teachers may focus on the same 10 evaluation criteria and share professional growth activities. A group 11 of principals may focus on the same evaluation criteria and share 12 professional growth activities.

13 (iii) The evaluator must assign a ((comprehensive summative 14 evaluation)) performance rating for the focused <u>performance</u> 15 evaluation using the methodology adopted by the superintendent of 16 public instruction for the instructional or leadership framework 17 being used.

18 (iv) A teacher or principal may be transferred from a focused 19 <u>performance</u> evaluation to a comprehensive ((summative)) <u>performance</u> 20 evaluation at the request of the teacher or principal, or at the 21 direction of the teacher's or principal's evaluator.

22 (v) Due to the importance of instructional leadership and 23 assuring rater agreement among evaluators, particularly those 24 evaluating teacher performance, school districts are encouraged to 25 conduct comprehensive ((summative)) performance evaluations of 26 principals ((performance)) on an annual basis.

(vi) A classroom teacher or principal may apply the focused <u>performance</u> evaluation professional growth activities toward the professional growth plan for professional certificate renewal as required by the professional educator standards board.

31 (13) Each school district is encouraged to acknowledge and 32 recognize classroom teachers and principals who have attained level 4 33 - distinguished performance ratings."

34 Correct the title.

<u>EFFECT:</u> Retains the policy that classroom teachers with a Washington professional teacher certificate or a National Board Certificate, and principals with a Washington professional principal certificate, who previously received a comprehensive performance rating of level 3 or above must receive a comprehensive performance

evaluation at least every six years, rather than every four years as required in current statute.

Extends the evaluation system steering committee until July 1, 2022.

Makes the following nonsubstantive/clarifying changes:

Specifies that a focused performance evaluation must be completed for classroom teachers and principals who received a rating of level 3 or above "in their previous comprehensive performance evaluation," rather than "in the previous year" (some will not have received a comprehensive performance evaluation in the previous year).

Replaces the term "revised evaluation system" with "four-level rating evaluation system" for clarity.

Removes references to "summative" when describing the evaluations and rating, and uses the following terms instead: Comprehensive performance evaluation, comprehensive performance rating, focused performance evaluation, and focused performance rating.

Strikes language related to the implementation schedule, pilots, and related reports, as well as distinctions between classroom teachers and principals who have been transitioned to the revised system because these provisions are no longer applicable.

Deletes language allowing classroom teachers to use a locally bargained short-form evaluation because this option does not apply once all classroom teachers have transitioned to the "revised evaluation system."

Makes technical changes.

--- END ---