8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1543

State of Washington 65th Legislature 2017 Regular Session

By House Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Doglio, Jinkins, Goodman, Senn, Robinson, Stonier, Kagi, Cody, Macri, Bergquist, Slatter, McBride, Peterson, Hudgins, Stanford, Frame, and Appleton)

READ FIRST TIME 02/13/17.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to parental rights and responsibilities of sexual
- 2 assault perpetrators and survivors; amending RCW 26.09.191 and
- 3 26.33.170; and adding new sections to chapter 26.26 RCW.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 26.26 6 RCW to read as follows:
 - The legislature finds that studies estimate there are between twenty-five thousand and thirty-two thousand rape-related pregnancies in the United States annually. The legislature also finds that a substantial number of rape survivors who become pregnant as a result of sexual assault choose to give birth and raise their children. The legislature further finds that rape is one of the most underreported and underprosecuted serious crimes. The legislature also finds that rapists may use the threat of pursuing parental rights or custody to coerce survivors into not reporting or not assisting prosecution of the assault. The legislature finds that a rapist's pursuit of child custody of parental rights forces the survivor into an ongoing relationship with the rapist, effectively tethering the survivor to the perpetrator and potentially increasing power and control over the survivor. The legislature also finds that a survivor who is forced to coparent a child with the rapist will likely suffer

p. 1 SHB 1543

- 1 traumatic psychological stress, making recovery more difficult. The legislature also finds that other laws of this state recognize that a 2 child's safety and health may be undermined by having a parent/child 3 relationship with a biological parent who sexually assaulted the 4 child's other parent. The legislature intends, therefore, to 5 establish a process whereby a survivor who becomes pregnant as a 6 7 result of a sexual assault and who elects to raise the resulting child can seek the court's assistance in avoiding continued forced 8 interactions with the rapist, thereby eliminating another barrier to 9 healing from the assault. 10
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 26.26 RCW to read as follows:

14 15

16

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

33

3435

38

39

- (1) This section applies in cases when a person alleged or presumed to be a legal parent to a child is alleged to have committed a sexual assault that resulted in the victim of the assault becoming pregnant and subsequently giving birth to the child.
- 17 (2) For the purposes of this section, "sexual assault" means 18 nonconsensual sexual penetration that is capable of causing 19 pregnancy.
 - (3) For the purposes of this section, the fact that the person seeking parental rights or presumed to be a legal parent committed a sexual assault that resulted in the victim of the assault becoming pregnant and subsequently giving birth to the child may be proved by either:
 - (a) Evidence that the person seeking parental rights or presumed to be a legal parent was convicted of or pleaded guilty to a sexual assault under RCW 9A.44.040, 9A.44.050, 9A.44.060, or a comparable crime of sexual assault in any jurisdiction, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days after the sexual assault; or
 - (b) Clear, cogent, and convincing evidence that the person seeking parental rights or presumed to be a legal parent committed sexual assault, as defined in this section, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days after the sexual assault.
- 36 (4) An allegation that the child was born as the result of a sexual assault may be raised under this chapter:
 - (a) In a petition to adjudicate parentage; or
 - (b) In response to a petition to adjudicate parentage.

p. 2 SHB 1543

(5) If there is an allegation that the child was born as a result of a sexual assault against the child's parent by the person seeking parentage or presumed to be the parent of the child, the court must conduct a fact-finding hearing on the allegation within sixty days of the filing of the pleading that raised the allegation.

- (a) The court may not enter any temporary orders providing residential time or decision making to the alleged perpetrator prior to the fact-finding hearing on the sexual assault allegation unless both of the following criteria are satisfied: (i) The alleged perpetrator is a presumed parent of the child; and (ii) the court specifically finds that the child would suffer irreparable harm if such temporary orders are not entered.
- (b) Prior to the fact-finding hearing, the court may order genetic testing to determine whether the alleged perpetrator is biologically related to the child. If genetic testing reveals that the alleged perpetrator is not biologically related to the child, the fact-finding hearing must be stricken. If genetic testing reveals that the alleged perpetrator is not biologically related to the child and the proceeding is a petition filed by the alleged perpetrator to adjudicate parentage, the court shall also dismiss the petition with prejudice.
- (c) Fourteen days prior to the fact-finding hearing, the party alleging that the child was born as a result of a sexual assault shall submit affidavits setting forth facts supporting the allegation and shall give notice, together with a copy of the affidavit, to other parties to the proceedings, who may file opposing affidavits. Opposing affidavits must be submitted and served to other parties to the proceeding five days prior to the fact-finding hearing.
- (d) The court shall determine on the record whether affidavits and documents submitted for the fact-finding hearing should be sealed.
- (e)(i) The prior sexual activity or the reputation of the alleged victim is inadmissible in the fact-finding hearing, subsequent hearings under the same cause number, and in any subsequent trial under the same cause number, except:
- (A) As evidence concerning the past sexual conduct between the alleged victim and the alleged perpetrator, and only when such evidence is offered by the alleged perpetrator on the issue of whether the alleged victim consented to the sexual conduct that resulted in the pregnancy; and only if the court has ruled the

p. 3 SHB 1543

- evidence is admissible after an offer of proof has been made in affidavits filed prior to the closed fact-finding hearing to determine whether the alleged perpetrator has evidence to impeach a witness when prior sexual conduct between the alleged perpetrator and alleged victim is denied. An offer of proof under this section includes reasonably specific information as to the date, time, and place of the past sexual conduct between the alleged victim and the alleged perpetrator; or
 - (B) When constitutionally required to be admitted.

- (ii) Evidence determined admissible under this subsection (5)(e) is admissible at a fact-finding hearing and at a subsequent bench trial to the extent the court enters an order specifying the evidence that may be admitted, and the issues with respect to which the alleged victim may be examined or cross-examined.
- assault, a court may not draw any inferences or conclusions based on evidence that: (i) The alleged victim engaged in limited consensual sexual touching; or (ii) the alleged victim chose to give birth to and raise the child. Evidence that the alleged victim and/or alleged perpetrator were voluntarily intoxicated at the time of an alleged sexual assault shall not be a basis, in itself, to conclude that the alleged victim consented to sexual activity or to conclude that the alleged perpetrator did not commit a sexual assault.
- (6) If, after the fact-finding hearing or after a bench trial, the court finds that the person seeking parental rights or presumed to be a legal parent committed sexual assault, pursuant to the standards set forth in subsection (3)(a) or (b) of this section, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault the court must:
- (a) Enter an order holding that the person seeking parental rights or presumed to be a legal parent is not a parent of the child, if such an order is requested by the child's legal parent or guardian; or
- (b) Enter an order consistent with the relief requested by the child's legal parent or guardian, provided that the court determines that the relief requested is in the best interests of the child.
- (7) Absent the express written consent of the child's legal parent or guardian, a person who is found to have committed a sexual assault, as defined in this section, against the child's parent, and

p. 4 SHB 1543

that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault has:

- (a) No right to an allocation of parental rights, including residential time or decision-making responsibilities for the child;
 - (b) No right to inheritance from the child; and

- 6 (c) No right to notification of, or standing to object to, the adoption of the child.
 - (8) If the court enters an order under subsection (6) of this section that is inconsistent with the information on the child's birth certificate, the court shall also order the birth certificate be amended in a manner that is consistent with the child's best interests and the wishes of the child's legal parent or guardian.
 - (9) If the court finds that the person seeking parentage or presumed to be the parent committed a sexual assault, as defined in this section, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault, and the legal parent or guardian requests it, the court must order the person seeking parentage or presumed to be the parent to pay child support or birth-related costs or both.
 - (10) The legal parent or guardian may decline an order for child support or birth-related costs. If the legal parent or guardian declines an order for child support, and is either currently receiving public assistance or later applies for it for the child born as a result of the sexual assault, support enforcement agencies as defined in this chapter shall not file administrative or court proceedings to establish or collect child support, including medical support, from the person seeking parentage or presumed to be the parent who has been found to have committed a sexual assault, as defined in this section, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault.
 - (11) If the court enters an order under subsection (10) of this section providing that no child support obligation may be established or collected from the person seeking parentage or presumed to be the parent who has been found to have committed a sexual assault, the court shall forward a copy of the order to the Washington state support registry.
- 38 (12) The court may order an award of attorneys' fees under this 39 section on the same basis as attorneys' fees are awarded under RCW 40 26.09.140.

p. 5 SHB 1543

(13) Any party may move to close the fact-finding hearing and any related proceedings under this section to the public. If no party files such a motion, the court shall determine on its own initiative whether the fact-finding hearing and any related proceedings under this section should be closed to the public. Upon finding good cause for closing the proceeding, and if consistent with Article I, section 10 of the state Constitution, the court may: (a) Restrict admission to only those persons whom the court finds to have a direct interest in the case or in the work of the court, including witnesses deemed necessary to the disposition of the case; and (b) restrict persons who are admitted from disclosing any information obtained at the hearing that would identify the parties involved or the child.

- **Sec. 3.** RCW 26.09.191 and 2011 c 89 s 6 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
 - (1) The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (b) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; or (c) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW $26.50.010((\frac{1}{1}))$ (3) or an assault or sexual assault $(\frac{1}{1})$ that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that results in a pregnancy.
 - (2)(a) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(((1))) (3) or an assault or sexual assault ((which)) that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that results in a pregnancy; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
- 35 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 36 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 37 (d) of this subsection;

p. 6 SHB 1543

- 1 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 2 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 4 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between 5 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 6 (d) of this subsection;

21

22

2324

25

26

27

2829

(d) of this subsection;

- 7 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 8 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 9 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 10 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 11 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 12 under (d) of this subsection;
- 13 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 14 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 15 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 16 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
 - (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010((\(\frac{1}{1}\))) (3) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that results in a pregnancy; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- 30 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 31 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 32 (e) of this subsection;
- 33 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 34 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 35 (e) of this subsection;
- 36 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between 37 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
- 38 (e) of this subsection;
- 39 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 40 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

p. 7 SHB 1543

1 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

- 2 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 3 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 4 under (e) of this subsection;
- 5 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 6 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 7 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 8 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 9 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- 11 This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 12 subsection applies.
 - (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted was at least five years older than the other person;
- 30 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 31 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 32 least eight years older than the victim;
- (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 35 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 36 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 38 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;

p. 8 SHB 1543

- 1 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 2 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of 3 this subsection.
- (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides 4 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile 5 6 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through 7 (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the 8 9 convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with 10 11 the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence: 12
- 13 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 14 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 15 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 16 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 18 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at 19 least eight years older than the victim;
- 20 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 21 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at 22 least eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

27

28

33

34

3536

37

38

39

40

- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
 - (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
- (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:
 - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or

p. 9 SHB 1543

(ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

p. 10 SHB 1543

(h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor

p. 11 SHB 1543

has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

2829

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.
- (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has

p. 12 SHB 1543

supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of relevant counseling or treatment. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action

p. 13 SHB 1543

to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

- (iii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to section 2 of this act to have committed sexual assault, as defined in section 2 of this act, against the child's parent, and that the child was born within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault.
- (iv) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (((iii))) (iv) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (((iii))) (iv) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
- (3) A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors exist:

p. 14 SHB 1543

1 (a) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of parenting 2 functions;

3

4

5

11

12

28

- (b) A long-term emotional or physical impairment which interferes with the parent's performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004;
- 6 (c) A long-term impairment resulting from drug, alcohol, or other 7 substance abuse that interferes with the performance of parenting 8 functions;
- 9 (d) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional ties 10 between the parent and the child;
 - (e) The abusive use of conflict by the parent which creates the danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development;
- 13 (f) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the 14 child for a protracted period without good cause; or
- 15 (g) Such other factors or conduct as the court expressly finds 16 adverse to the best interests of the child.
- 17 (4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under 18 subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall 19 be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive 20 assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child 21 and the parties.
- 22 (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 23 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 24 plan.
- 25 (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this 26 section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of 27 evidence, proof, and procedure.
 - (7) For the purposes of this section:
- 29 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted 30 child, or stepchild; and
- 31 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 32 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 33 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.
- 34 **Sec. 4.** RCW 26.33.170 and 1999 c 173 s 1 are each amended to 35 read as follows:
- 36 (1) An agency's, the department's, or a legal guardian's consent 37 to adoption may be dispensed with if the court determines by clear, 38 cogent and convincing evidence that the proposed adoption is in the 39 best interests of the adoptee.

p. 15 SHB 1543

(2) An alleged father's, birth parent's, or parent's consent to adoption ((may)) shall be dispensed with if the court finds that the proposed adoption is in the best interests of the adoptee and:

- (a) The alleged father, birth parent, or parent has been found guilty of rape under chapter 9A.44 RCW or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, where the adoptee was the victim of the rape or incest; or
- (b) The alleged father, birth parent, or parent has been found guilty of rape under chapter 9A.44 RCW or incest under RCW 9A.64.020, or has been found by clear and convincing evidence to have committed a sexual assault, where the other parent of the adoptee was the victim of the rape $((\Theta r))$, incest, or sexual assault and the adoptee was conceived as a result of the rape $((\Theta r))$, incest, or sexual assault, unless the parent who is the victim indicates by affidavit or sworn testimony that he or she does not want to dispense with consent to adoption by the person who committed the rape, incest, or sexual assault.
- 17 (3) Nothing in this section shall be construed to eliminate the 18 notice provisions of this chapter.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

p. 16 SHB 1543