	-	4	$\sim$	$\sim$		-
H-	. 1	21	×	~		- 1
11			O		•	

## HOUSE BILL 1943

State of Washington 65th Legislature 2017 Regular Session

By Representatives Kagi and Graves

Read first time 02/03/17. Referred to Committee on Early Learning & Human Services.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to child welfare court proceedings; amending RCW
- 2 13.34.065, 13.34.136, and 13.34.180; and reenacting and amending RCW
- 3 13.34.138 and 13.34.145.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 13.34.065 and 2013 c 162 s 6 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
- 7 (1)(a) When a child is taken into custody, the court shall hold a 8 shelter care hearing within seventy-two hours, excluding Saturdays, 9 Sundays, and holidays. The primary purpose of the shelter care 10 hearing is to determine whether the child can be immediately and 11 safely returned home while the adjudication of the dependency is
- 12 pending.

20

13 (b) Any parent, guardian, or legal custodian who for good cause 14 is unable to attend the shelter care hearing may request that a 15 subsequent shelter care hearing be scheduled. The request shall be 16 made to the clerk of the court where the petition is filed prior to 17 the initial shelter care hearing. Upon the request of the parent, the 18 court shall schedule the hearing within seventy-two hours of the 19 request, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The clerk shall

notify all other parties of the hearing by any reasonable means.

p. 1 HB 1943

(2)(a) ((If it is likely that the child will remain in shelter care longer than seventy-two hours, in those areas in which child welfare services are being provided by a supervising agency, the supervising agency shall assume case management responsibilities of the case.)) The department ((or supervising agency)) shall submit a recommendation to the court as to the further need for shelter care in all cases in which the child will remain in shelter care longer than the seventy-two hour period. In all other cases, the recommendation shall be submitted by the juvenile court probation counselor.

- (b) All parties have the right to present testimony to the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care.
- (c) Hearsay evidence before the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care must be supported by sworn testimony, affidavit, or declaration of the person offering such evidence.
- 16 (3)(a) At the commencement of the hearing, the court shall notify 17 the parent, guardian, or custodian of the following:
- 18 (i) The parent, guardian, or custodian has the right to a shelter 19 care hearing;
- 20 (ii) The nature of the shelter care hearing, the rights of the 21 parents, and the proceedings that will follow; and
  - (iii) If the parent, guardian, or custodian is not represented by counsel, the right to be represented. If the parent, guardian, or custodian is indigent, the court shall appoint counsel as provided in RCW 13.34.090; and
  - (b) If a parent, guardian, or legal custodian desires to waive the shelter care hearing, the court shall determine, on the record and with the parties present, whether such waiver is knowing and voluntary. A parent may not waive his or her right to the shelter care hearing unless he or she appears in court and the court determines that the waiver is knowing and voluntary. Regardless of whether the court accepts the parental waiver of the shelter care hearing, the court must provide notice to the parents of their rights required under (a) of this subsection and make the finding required under subsection (4) of this section.
  - (4) At the shelter care hearing the court shall examine the need for shelter care and inquire into the status of the case. The paramount consideration for the court shall be the health, welfare, and safety of the child. At a minimum, the court shall inquire into the following:

p. 2 HB 1943

(a) Whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to all known parents, guardians, or legal custodians of the child. The court shall make an express finding as to whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian. If actual notice was not given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian and the whereabouts of such person is known or can be ascertained, the court shall order the department to make reasonable efforts to advise the parent, guardian, or legal custodian of the status of the case, including the date and time of any subsequent hearings, and their rights under RCW 13.34.090;

- (b) Whether the child can be safely returned home while the adjudication of the dependency is pending;
- (c) What efforts have been made to place the child with a relative. The court shall ask the parents whether the department discussed with them the placement of the child with a relative or other suitable person described in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b) and shall determine what efforts have been made toward such a placement;
- (d) What services were provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home. If the dependency petition or other information before the court alleges that homelessness or the lack of suitable housing was a significant factor contributing to the removal of the child, the court shall inquire as to whether housing assistance was provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child or children;
- (e) Is the placement proposed by the department ((or supervising agency)) the least disruptive and most family-like setting that meets the needs of the child;
- (f) Whether it is in the best interest of the child to remain enrolled in the school, developmental program, or child care the child was in prior to placement and what efforts have been made to maintain the child in the school, program, or child care if it would be in the best interest of the child to remain in the same school, program, or child care;
  - (g) Appointment of a guardian ad litem or attorney;
- (h) Whether the child is or may be an Indian child as defined in RCW 13.38.040, whether the provisions of the federal Indian child welfare act or chapter 13.38 RCW apply, and whether there is compliance with the federal Indian child welfare act and chapter 13.38 RCW, including notice to the child's tribe;

p. 3 HB 1943

(i) Whether, as provided in RCW 26.44.063, restraining orders, or orders expelling an allegedly abusive household member from the home of a nonabusive parent, guardian, or legal custodian, will allow the child to safely remain in the home;

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

2425

26

27

2829

30 31

32

33

34

3536

3738

39

- (j) Whether any orders for examinations, evaluations, or immediate services are needed. The court may ((not)) order a parent to undergo examinations, evaluation, or services at the shelter care hearing ((unless the parent agrees to the examination, evaluation, or service));
- 10 (k) The terms and conditions for parental, sibling, and family 11 visitation.
  - (5)(a) The court shall release a child alleged to be dependent to the care, custody, and control of the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian unless the court finds there is reasonable cause to believe that:
  - (i) After consideration of the specific services that have been provided, reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home and to make it possible for the child to return home; and
- 20 (ii)(A) The child has no parent, guardian, or legal custodian to 21 provide supervision and care for such child; or
  - (B) The release of such child would present a serious threat of substantial harm to such child, notwithstanding an order entered pursuant to RCW 26.44.063; or
  - (C) The parent, guardian, or custodian to whom the child could be released has been charged with violating RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.
  - (b) If the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the court shall order placement with a relative or other suitable person described as in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b), unless there is reasonable cause to believe the health, safety, or welfare of the child would be jeopardized or that the efforts to reunite the parent and child will be hindered. If such relative or other suitable person appears otherwise suitable and competent to provide care and treatment, the fingerprint-based background check need not be completed before placement, but as soon as possible after placement. The court must also determine whether placement with the relative or other suitable person is in the child's best interests. The relative or other suitable person must be willing and available to:

p. 4 HB 1943

1 (i) Care for the child and be able to meet any special needs of 2 the child;

- (ii) Facilitate the child's visitation with siblings, if such visitation is part of the ((supervising agency's)) department's plan or is ordered by the court; and
- (iii) Cooperate with the department ((or supervising agency)) in providing necessary background checks and home studies.
  - (c) If the child was not initially placed with a relative or other suitable person, and the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the ((supervising agency)) department shall make reasonable efforts to locate a relative or other suitable person pursuant to RCW 13.34.060(1). In determining placement, the court shall ((weigh)) give great weight to the child's length of stay and attachment to the current provider in determining what is in the best interest of the child.
  - (d) If a relative or other suitable person is not available, the court shall order continued shelter care and shall set forth its reasons for the order. If the court orders placement of the child with a person not related to the child and not licensed to provide foster care, the placement is subject to all terms and conditions of this section that apply to relative placements.
  - (e) Any placement with a relative, or other suitable person approved by the court pursuant to this section, shall be contingent upon cooperation with the department's ((or supervising agency's)) case plan and compliance with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child including, but not limited to, court orders regarding parent-child contacts, sibling contacts, and any other conditions imposed by the court. Noncompliance with the case plan or court order is grounds for removal of the child from the home of the relative or other suitable person, subject to review by the court.
  - (f) Uncertainty by a parent, guardian, legal custodian, relative, or other suitable person that the alleged abuser has in fact abused the child shall not, alone, be the basis upon which a child is removed from the care of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian under (a) of this subsection, nor shall it be a basis, alone, to preclude placement with a relative or other suitable person under (b) of this subsection.
- (6)(a) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section shall include the requirement for a case conference as provided in RCW 13.34.067. However, if the parent is not present at the shelter care

p. 5 HB 1943

- hearing, or does not agree to the case conference, the court shall 1 not include the requirement for the case conference in the shelter 2 care order. 3
- (b) If the court orders a case conference, the shelter care order 4 shall include notice to all parties and establish the date, time, and 6 location of the case conference which shall be no later than thirty 7 days before the fact-finding hearing.

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

36

37

38

39

- (c) The court may order another conference, case staffing, or 8 hearing as an alternative to the case conference required under RCW 9 13.34.067 so long as the conference, case staffing, or hearing 10 11 ordered by the court meets all requirements under RCW 13.34.067, 12 including the requirement of a written agreement specifying the services to be provided to the parent. 13
  - (7)(a) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section may be amended at any time with notice and hearing thereon. The shelter care decision of placement shall be modified only upon a showing of change in circumstances. No child may be placed in shelter care for longer than thirty days without an order, signed by the judge, authorizing continued shelter care.
  - (b)(i) An order releasing the child on any conditions specified in this section may at any time be amended, with notice and hearing thereon, so as to return the child to shelter care for failure of the parties to conform to the conditions originally imposed.
- (ii) The court shall consider whether nonconformance with any 24 25 conditions resulted from circumstances beyond the control of the 26 parent, guardian, or legal custodian and give weight to that fact before ordering return of the child to shelter care. 27
- (8)(a) If a child is returned home from shelter care a second 28 29 time in the case, or if the supervisor of the caseworker deems it necessary, the multidisciplinary team may be reconvened. 30
- 31 (b) If a child is returned home from shelter care a second time in the case a law enforcement officer must be present and file a 32 report to the department. 33
- 34 RCW 13.34.136 and 2015 c 270 s 1 are each amended to 35 read as follows:
  - Whenever a child is ordered removed from the home, permanency plan shall be developed no later than sixty days from the time the ((supervising agency)) department assumes responsibility for providing services, including placing the child, or at the time of a

p. 6 HB 1943 hearing under RCW 13.34.130, whichever occurs first. The permanency planning process continues until a permanency planning goal is achieved or dependency is dismissed. The planning process shall include reasonable efforts to return the child to the parent's home.

(2) The agency supervising the dependency shall submit a written permanency plan to all parties and the court not less than fourteen days prior to the scheduled hearing. Responsive reports of parties not in agreement with the department's ((or supervising agency's)) proposed permanency plan must be provided to the department ((or supervising agency)), all other parties, and the court at least seven days prior to the hearing.

The permanency plan shall include:

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

3738

39

40

- (a) A permanency plan of care that shall identify one of the following outcomes as a primary goal and may identify additional outcomes as alternative goals: Return of the child to the home of the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian; adoption, including a tribal customary adoption as defined in RCW 13.38.040; guardianship; permanent legal custody; long-term relative or foster care, if the child is between ages sixteen and eighteen, with a written agreement between the parties and the care provider; successful completion of a responsible living skills program; or independent appropriate and if the child is age sixteen or older. Although a permanency plan of care may only identify long-term relative or foster care for children between ages sixteen and eighteen, children under sixteen may remain placed with relatives or in foster care. The department ((or supervising agency)) shall not discharge a child to an independent living situation before the child is eighteen years of age unless the child becomes emancipated pursuant to chapter 13.64 RCW;
- (b) Unless the court has ordered, pursuant to RCW 13.34.130(8), that a termination petition be filed, a specific plan as to where the child will be placed, what steps will be taken to return the child home, what steps have been taken to promote stable, nurturing relationships in the child's life, what steps the ((supervising agency or the)) department will take to promote existing appropriate sibling relationships and/or facilitate placement together or contact in accordance with the best interests of each child, and what actions the department ((or supervising agency)) will take to maintain parent-child ties. All aspects of the plan shall include the goal of achieving permanence for the child.

p. 7 HB 1943

(i) The department's ((or supervising agency's)) plan shall specify what services the parents will be offered to enable them to resume custody, what requirements the parents must meet to resume custody, and a time limit for each service plan and parental requirement.

- (A) If the parent is incarcerated, <u>and had a preexisting</u> relationship with the child before the parent's incarceration, the plan must address how the parent will participate in the case conference and permanency planning meetings and, where possible, must include treatment that reflects the resources available at the facility where the parent is confined. The plan must provide for visitation opportunities, unless visitation is not in the best interests of the child.
- (B) If a parent has a developmental disability according to the definition provided in RCW 71A.10.020, and that individual is eligible for services provided by the developmental disabilities administration, the department shall make reasonable efforts to consult with the developmental disabilities administration to create an appropriate plan for services. For individuals who meet the definition of developmental disability provided in RCW 71A.10.020 and who are eligible for services through the developmental disabilities administration, the plan for services must be tailored to correct the parental deficiency taking into consideration the parent's disability and the department shall also determine an appropriate method to offer those services based on the parent's disability.
- (ii)(A) Visitation is the right of the family, including the child and the parent, in cases in which visitation is in the best interest of the child. Early, consistent, and frequent visitation is crucial for maintaining parent-child relationships and making it possible for parents and children to safely reunify. The ((supervising agency or)) department shall encourage the maximum parent and child and sibling contact possible, when it is in the best interest of the child, including regular visitation and participation by the parents in the care of the child while the child is in placement.
- 36 (B) Visitation shall not be limited as a sanction for a parent's 37 failure to comply with court orders or services where the health, 38 safety, or welfare of the child is not at risk as a result of the 39 visitation.

p. 8 HB 1943

- 1 (C) Visitation may be limited or denied only if the court determines that such limitation or denial is necessary to protect the 2 child's health, safety, or welfare. When a parent or sibling has been 3 identified as a suspect in an active criminal investigation for a 4 violent crime that, if the allegations are true, would impact the 5 6 safety of the child, the department shall make a concerted effort to consult with the assigned law enforcement officer in the criminal 7 case before recommending any changes in parent/child or child/sibling 8 the event that the law enforcement 9 officer information pertaining to the criminal case that may have serious 10 11 implications for child safety or well-being, the law enforcement 12 officer shall provide this information to the department during the consultation. The department may only use the information provided by 13 law enforcement during the consultation to inform family visitation 14 plans and may not share or otherwise distribute the information to 15 16 any person or entity. Any information provided to the department by 17 law enforcement during the consultation is considered investigative 18 information and is exempt from public inspection pursuant to RCW 19 42.56.240. The results of the consultation shall be communicated to the court. 20
  - (D) The court and the department ((or supervising agency)) should rely upon community resources, relatives, foster parents, and other appropriate persons to provide transportation and supervision for visitation to the extent that such resources are available, and appropriate, and the child's safety would not be compromised.

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

- (iii)(A) The department, court, or caregiver in the out-of-home placement may not limit visitation or contact between a child and sibling as a sanction for a child's behavior or as an incentive to the child to change his or her behavior.
- (B) Any exceptions, limitation, or denial of contacts or visitation must be approved by the supervisor of the department caseworker and documented. The child, parent, department, guardian ad litem, or court-appointed special advocate may challenge the denial of visits in court.
- (iv) A child shall be placed as close to the child's home as possible, preferably in the child's own neighborhood, unless the court finds that placement at a greater distance is necessary to promote the child's or parents' well-being.

p. 9 HB 1943

(v) The plan shall state whether both in-state and, where appropriate, out-of-state placement options have been considered by the department ((or supervising agency)).

- (vi) Unless it is not in the best interests of the child, whenever practical, the plan should ensure the child remains enrolled in the school the child was attending at the time the child entered foster care.
- (vii) The ((supervising agency or)) department shall provide all reasonable services that are available within the department ((or supervising agency)), or within the community, or those services which the department has existing contracts to purchase. It shall report to the court if it is unable to provide such services; and
- (c) If the court has ordered, pursuant to RCW 13.34.130(8), that a termination petition be filed, a specific plan as to where the child will be placed, what steps will be taken to achieve permanency for the child, services to be offered or provided to the child, and, if visitation would be in the best interests of the child, a recommendation to the court regarding visitation between parent and child pending a fact-finding hearing on the termination petition. The department ((or supervising agency)) shall not be required to develop a plan of services for the parents or provide services to the parents if the court orders a termination petition be filed. However, reasonable efforts to ensure visitation and contact between siblings shall be made unless there is reasonable cause to believe the best interests of the child or siblings would be jeopardized.
- (3) Permanency planning goals should be achieved at the earliest possible date. If the child has been in out-of-home care for fifteen of the most recent twenty-two months, and the court has not made a good cause exception, the court shall require the department ((exsupervising agency)) to file a petition seeking termination of parental rights in accordance with RCW 13.34.145(4)(b)(vi). In cases where parental rights have been terminated, the child is legally free for adoption, and adoption has been identified as the primary permanency planning goal, it shall be a goal to complete the adoption within six months following entry of the termination order.
- (4) If the court determines that the continuation of reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate the need to remove the child from his or her home or to safely return the child home should not be part of the permanency plan of care for the child, reasonable efforts shall be made to place the child in a timely manner and to complete

p. 10 HB 1943

whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.

3

4

27

28 29

30

- (5) The identified outcomes and goals of the permanency plan may change over time based upon the circumstances of the particular case.
- (6) The court shall consider the child's relationships with the 5 6 child's siblings in accordance with RCW 13.34.130(6). Whenever the permanency plan for a child is adoption, the court shall encourage 7 the prospective adoptive parents, birth parents, foster parents, 8 kinship caregivers, and the department ((or other supervising 9 agency)) to seriously consider the long-term benefits to the child 10 adoptee and his or her siblings of providing for and facilitating 11 12 continuing postadoption contact between the siblings. To the extent that it is feasible, and when it is in the best interests of the 13 child adoptee and his or her siblings, contact between the siblings 14 should be frequent and of a similar nature as that which existed 15 16 prior to the adoption. If the child adoptee or his or her siblings 17 are represented by an attorney or guardian ad litem in a proceeding 18 under this chapter or in any other child custody proceeding, the 19 court shall inquire of each attorney and guardian ad litem regarding the potential benefits of continuing contact between the siblings and 20 21 the potential detriments of severing contact. This section does not require the department ((of social and health services or other 22 supervising agency)) to agree to any specific provisions in an open 23 adoption agreement and does not create a new obligation for the 24 25 department to provide supervision or transportation for visits 26 between siblings separated by adoption from foster care.
  - (7) For purposes related to permanency planning:
  - (a) "Guardianship" means a dependency guardianship or a legal guardianship pursuant to chapter 11.88 RCW or equivalent laws of another state or a federally recognized Indian tribe.
- 31 (b) "Permanent custody order" means a custody order entered 32 pursuant to chapter 26.10 RCW.
- 33 (c) "Permanent legal custody" means legal custody pursuant to 34 chapter 26.10 RCW or equivalent laws of another state or a federally 35 recognized Indian tribe.
- 36 **Sec. 3.** RCW 13.34.138 and 2009 c 520 s 29, 2009 c 491 s 3, 2009 c 397 s 4, and 2009 c 152 s 1 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

p. 11 HB 1943

(1) The status of all children found to be dependent shall be reviewed by the court at least every six months from the beginning date of the placement episode or the date dependency is established, whichever is first. The purpose of the hearing shall be to review the progress of the parties and determine whether court supervision should continue.

- (a) The initial review hearing shall be an in-court review and shall be set six months from the beginning date of the placement episode or no more than ninety days from the entry of the disposition order, whichever comes first. The requirements for the initial review hearing, including the in-court review requirement, shall be accomplished within existing resources.
- 13 (b) The initial review hearing may be a permanency planning 14 hearing when necessary to meet the time frames set forth in RCW 15 13.34.145(1)(a) or 13.34.134.
  - (c) The court shall weigh the importance of establishing timely permanency for a child when considering a request for continuance of a review hearing under this section and shall only grant a continuance after making a finding that the continuance is in the best interest of the child.
  - (2)(a) A child shall not be returned home at the review hearing unless the court finds that a reason for removal as set forth in RCW 13.34.130 no longer exists. The parents, guardian, or legal custodian shall report to the court the efforts they have made to correct the conditions which led to removal. If a child is returned, casework supervision by the ((supervising agency or)) department shall continue for a period of six months, at which time there shall be a hearing on the need for continued intervention.
- 29 (b) Prior to the child returning home, the department ((<del>or</del> 30 <del>supervising agency</del>)) must complete the following:
- 31 (i) Identify all adults residing in the home and conduct 32 background checks on those persons;
  - (ii) Identify any persons who may act as a caregiver for the child in addition to the parent with whom the child is being placed and determine whether such persons are in need of any services in order to ensure the safety of the child, regardless of whether such persons are a party to the dependency. The department ((exsupervising agency)) may recommend to the court and the court may order that placement of the child in the parent's home be contingent on or delayed based on the need for such persons to engage in or

p. 12 HB 1943

complete services to ensure the safety of the child prior to placement. If services are recommended for the caregiver, and the caregiver fails to engage in or follow through with the recommended services, the department ((or supervising agency)) must promptly notify the court; and

(iii) Notify the parent with whom the child is being placed that he or she has an ongoing duty to notify the department ((exsupervising agency)) of all persons who reside in the home or who may act as a caregiver for the child both prior to the placement of the child in the home and subsequent to the placement of the child in the home as long as the court retains jurisdiction of the dependency proceeding or the department is providing or monitoring either remedial services to the parent or services to ensure the safety of the child to any caregivers.

Caregivers may be required to engage in services under this subsection solely for the purpose of ensuring the present and future safety of a child who is a ward of the court. This subsection does not grant party status to any individual not already a party to the dependency proceeding, create an entitlement to services or a duty on the part of the department ((or supervising agency)) to provide services, or create judicial authority to order the provision of services to any person other than for the express purposes of this section or RCW 13.34.025 or if the services are unavailable or unsuitable or the person is not eligible for such services.

- (c) If the child is not returned home, the court shall establish in writing:
- (i) Whether the ((supervising agency or the)) department is making reasonable efforts to provide services to the family and eliminate the need for placement of the child. If additional services, including housing assistance, are needed to facilitate the return of the child to the child's parents, the court shall order that reasonable services be offered specifying such services;
- (ii) Whether there has been compliance with the case plan by the child, the child's parents, and the agency supervising the placement;
- (iii) Whether progress has been made toward correcting the problems that necessitated the child's placement in out-of-home care;
- (iv) Whether the services set forth in the case plan and the responsibilities of the parties need to be clarified or modified due to the availability of additional information or changed circumstances;

p. 13 HB 1943

(v) Whether there is a continuing need for placement;

1

2

5

7

8

9

10 11

16

26

27

28 29

30

- (vi) Whether a parent's homelessness or lack of suitable housing is a significant factor delaying permanency for the child by 3 preventing the return of the child to the home of the child's parent 4 and whether housing assistance should be provided by the department 6 ((or supervising agency));
  - (vii) Whether the child is in an appropriate placement which adequately meets all physical, emotional, and educational needs;
  - (viii) Whether preference has been given to placement with the child's relatives if such placement is in the child's best interests and does not disrupt a child's attachment to a long-term caregiver;
- (ix) Whether both in-state and, where appropriate, out-of-state 12 placements have been considered; 13
- 14 (x) Whether the parents have visited the child and any reasons why visitation has not occurred or has been infrequent; 15
  - (xi) Whether terms of visitation need to be modified;
- 17 (xii) Whether the court-approved long-term permanent plan for the child remains the best plan for the child; 18
- (xiii) Whether any additional court orders need to be made to 19 20 move the case toward permanency; ((and))
- 21 (xiv) The projected date by which the child will be returned home or other permanent plan of care will be implemented; and 22
- (xv) Whether the child has established an attachment to a long-23 term caregiver which should be maintained in the best interest of the 24 25 child.
  - (d) The court at the review hearing may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed.
  - (3)(a) In any case in which the court orders that a dependent child may be returned to or remain in the child's home, the in-home placement shall be contingent upon the following:
- 31 (i) The compliance of the parents with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child, including compliance with the 32 ((supervising agency's)) department's case plan; and 33
- (ii) The continued participation of the parents, if applicable, 34 in available substance abuse or mental health treatment if substance 35 36 abuse or mental illness was a contributing factor to the removal of 37 the child.
- (b) The following may be grounds for removal of the child from 38 the home, subject to review by the court: 39

p. 14 HB 1943 1 (i) Noncompliance by the parents with the department's ((ex2 supervising agency's)) case plan or court order;

- (ii) The parent's inability, unwillingness, or failure to participate in available services or treatment for themselves or the child, including substance abuse treatment if a parent's substance abuse was a contributing factor to the abuse or neglect; or
- (iii) The failure of the parents to successfully and substantially complete available services or treatment for themselves or the child, including substance abuse treatment if a parent's substance abuse was a contributing factor to the abuse or neglect.
- (c) In a pending dependency case in which the court orders that a dependent child may be returned home and that child is later removed from the home, the court shall hold a review hearing within thirty days from the date of removal to determine whether the permanency plan should be changed, a termination petition should be filed, the child should be returned to a previous out-of-home placement, or other action is warranted. The best interests of the child shall be the court's primary consideration in the review hearing.
- (4) The court's authority to order housing assistance under this chapter is: (a) Limited to cases in which a parent's homelessness or lack of suitable housing is a significant factor delaying permanency for the child and housing assistance would aid the parent in providing an appropriate home for the child; and (b) subject to the availability of funds appropriated for this specific purpose. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to create an entitlement to housing assistance nor to create judicial authority to order the provision of such assistance to any person or family if the assistance or funding are unavailable or the child or family are not eligible for such assistance.
- 30 (5) The court shall consider the child's relationship with 31 siblings in accordance with RCW  $13.34.130((\frac{3}{3}))$  (6).
  - Sec. 4. RCW 13.34.145 and 2015 c 270 s 2 and 2015 c 257 s 1 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
  - (1) The purpose of a permanency planning hearing is to review the permanency plan for the child, inquire into the welfare of the child and progress of the case, and reach decisions regarding the permanent placement of the child.
- 38 (a) A permanency planning hearing shall be held in all cases 39 where the child has remained in out-of-home care for at least nine

p. 15 HB 1943

months and an adoption decree, guardianship order, or permanent custody order has not previously been entered. The hearing shall take place no later than twelve months following commencement of the current placement episode.

1

2

3

4

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

3435

36

- (b) Whenever a child is removed from the home of a dependency 5 6 guardian or long-term relative or foster care provider, and the child 7 is not returned to the home of the parent, quardian, or legal custodian but is placed in out-of-home care, a permanency planning 8 hearing shall take place no later than twelve months, as provided in 9 this section, following the date of removal unless, prior to the 10 11 hearing, the child returns to the home of the dependency quardian or long-term care provider, the child is placed in the home of the 12 legal custodian, an 13 parent, quardian, or adoption decree, guardianship order, or a permanent custody order is entered, or the 14 dependency is dismissed. Every effort shall be made to provide 15 16 stability in long-term placement, consider the child's attachment to 17 a long-term caregiver, and to avoid disruption of placement, unless 18 the child is being returned home or it is in the best interest of the 19 child.
  - (c) Permanency planning goals should be achieved at the earliest possible date, preferably before the child has been in out-of-home care for fifteen months. In cases where parental rights have been terminated, the child is legally free for adoption, and adoption has been identified as the primary permanency planning goal, it shall be a goal to complete the adoption within six months following entry of the termination order.
  - (2) No later than ten working days prior to the permanency planning hearing, the agency having custody of the child shall submit a written permanency plan to the court and shall mail a copy of the plan to all parties and their legal counsel, if any.
  - (3) When the youth is at least age seventeen years but not older than seventeen years and six months, the department shall provide the youth with written documentation which explains the availability of extended foster care services and detailed instructions regarding how the youth may access such services after he or she reaches age eighteen years.
- 37 (4) At the permanency planning hearing, the court shall conduct 38 the following inquiry:
- 39 (a) If a goal of long-term foster or relative care has been 40 achieved prior to the permanency planning hearing, the court shall

p. 16 HB 1943

- review the child's status to determine whether the placement and the plan for the child's care remain appropriate. The court shall find, as of the date of the hearing, that the child's placement and plan of care is the best permanency plan for the child and provide compelling reasons why it continues to not be in the child's best interest to б (i) return home; (ii) be placed for adoption; (iii) be placed with a legal quardian; or (iv) be placed with a fit and willing relative. If the child is present at the hearing, the court should ask the child about his or her desired permanency outcome.
  - (b) In cases where the primary permanency planning goal has not been achieved, the court shall inquire regarding the reasons why the primary goal has not been achieved and determine what needs to be done to make it possible to achieve the primary goal. The court shall review the permanency plan prepared by the agency and make explicit findings regarding each of the following:

- 16 (i) The continuing necessity for, and the safety and 17 appropriateness of, the placement;
  - (ii) The extent of compliance with the permanency plan by the department ((or supervising agency)) and any other service providers, the child's parents, the child, and the child's guardian, if any;
  - (iii) The extent of any efforts to involve appropriate service providers in addition to department ((or supervising agency)) staff in planning to meet the special needs of the child and the child's parents;
  - (iv) The progress toward eliminating the causes for the child's placement outside of his or her home and toward returning the child safely to his or her home or obtaining a permanent placement for the child;
  - (v) The date by which it is likely that the child will be returned to his or her home or placed for adoption, with a guardian or in some other alternative permanent placement; and
  - (vi) If the child has been placed outside of his or her home for fifteen of the most recent twenty-two months, not including any period during which the child was a runaway from the out-of-home placement or the first six months of any period during which the child was returned to his or her home for a trial home visit, the appropriateness of the permanency plan, whether reasonable efforts were made by the department ((or supervising agency)) to achieve the goal of the permanency plan, and the circumstances which prevent the child from any of the following:

p. 17 HB 1943

- (A) Being returned safely to his or her home;
- 2 (B) Having a petition for the involuntary termination of parental rights filed on behalf of the child;
  - (C) Being placed for adoption;
  - (D) Being placed with a guardian;

4

5

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

3738

- 6 (E) Being placed in the home of a fit and willing relative of the 7 child; or
- 8 (F) Being placed in some other alternative permanent placement, 9 including independent living or long-term foster care.
- (5) Following this inquiry, at the permanency planning hearing, 10 11 the court shall order the department ((or supervising agency)) to file a petition seeking termination of parental rights if the child 12 has been in out-of-home care for fifteen of the last twenty-two 13 months since the date the dependency petition was filed unless the 14 court makes a good cause exception as to why the filing of a 15 termination of parental rights petition is not appropriate. Any good 16 17 cause finding shall be reviewed at all subsequent hearings pertaining 18 to the child.
- 19 (a) For purposes of this subsection, "good cause exception" 20 includes but is not limited to the following:
- 21 (i) The child is being cared for by a relative;
- (ii) The department has not provided to the child's family such services as the court and the department have deemed necessary for the child's safe return home;
  - (iii) The department has documented in the case plan a compelling reason for determining that filing a petition to terminate parental rights would not be in the child's best interests;
  - (iv) The parent is incarcerated, or the parent's prior incarceration is a significant factor in why the child has been in foster care for fifteen of the last twenty-two months, the parent maintains a meaningful role in the child's life that began before the parent's incarceration, and the department has not documented another reason why it would be otherwise appropriate to file a petition pursuant to this section;
  - (v) Where a parent has been accepted into a dependency treatment court program or long-term substance abuse or dual diagnoses treatment program and is demonstrating compliance with treatment goals; or
- (vi) Where a parent who has been court ordered to complete services necessary for the child's safe return home files a

p. 18 HB 1943

- declaration under penalty of perjury stating the parent's financial inability to pay for the same court-ordered services, and also declares the department was unwilling or unable to pay for the same services necessary for the child's safe return home.
- 5 (b) The court's assessment of whether a parent who is 6 incarcerated maintains a meaningful role in the child's life may 7 include consideration of the following:

9

10

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (i) The parent's expressions or acts of manifesting concern for the child, such as letters, telephone calls, visits, and other forms of communication with the child;
- (ii) The parent's efforts to communicate and work with the department ((or supervising agency)) or other individuals for the purpose of complying with the service plan and repairing, maintaining, or building the parent-child relationship;
- (iii) A positive response by the parent to the reasonable efforts of the department ((or the supervising agency));
  - (iv) Information provided by individuals or agencies in a reasonable position to assist the court in making this assessment, including but not limited to the parent's attorney, correctional and mental health personnel, or other individuals providing services to the parent;
  - (v) Limitations in the parent's access to family support programs, therapeutic services, and visiting opportunities, restrictions to telephone and mail services, inability to participate in foster care planning meetings, and difficulty accessing lawyers and participating meaningfully in court proceedings; and
  - (vi) ((Whether the continued involvement of the parent in the child's life is in the child's best interest)) The parent's involvement in the child's life prior to incarceration or prior to the filing of a dependency.
  - (c) The constraints of a parent's current or prior incarceration and associated delays or barriers to accessing court-mandated services may be considered in rebuttal to a claim of aggravated circumstances under RCW 13.34.132(4)(h) for a parent's failure to complete available treatment.
  - (6)(a) If the permanency plan identifies independent living as a goal, the court at the permanency planning hearing shall make a finding that the provision of services to assist the child in making a transition from foster care to independent living will allow the child to manage his or her financial, personal, social, educational,

p. 19 HB 1943

and nonfinancial affairs prior to approving independent living as a permanency plan of care. The court will inquire whether the child has been provided information about extended foster care services.

1

2

3

8

9

10 11

12

1314

- 4 (b) The permanency plan shall also specifically identify the 5 services, including extended foster care services, where appropriate, 6 that will be provided to assist the child to make a successful 7 transition from foster care to independent living.
  - (c) The department ((or supervising agency)) shall not discharge a child to an independent living situation before the child is eighteen years of age unless the child becomes emancipated pursuant to chapter 13.64 RCW.
  - (7) If the child has resided in the home of a foster parent or relative for more than six months prior to the permanency planning hearing, the court shall:
- 15 (a) Enter a finding regarding whether the foster parent or 16 relative was informed of the hearing as required in RCW 74.13.280, 17 13.34.215(6), and 13.34.096; and
- (b) If the department ((or supervising agency)) is recommending a placement other than the child's current placement with a foster parent, relative, or other suitable person, enter a finding as to the reasons for the recommendation for a change in placement.
- 22 (8) In all cases, at the permanency planning hearing, the court 23 shall:
- (a)(i) Order the permanency plan prepared by the ((supervising agency)) department to be implemented; or
- 26 (ii) Modify the permanency plan, and order implementation of the 27 modified plan; and
- (b)(i) Order the child returned home only if the court finds that a reason for removal as set forth in RCW 13.34.130 no longer exists; or
- 31 (ii) Order the child to remain in out-of-home care for a limited 32 specified time period while efforts are made to implement the 33 permanency plan.
- 34 (9) Following the first permanency planning hearing, the court 35 shall hold a further permanency planning hearing in accordance with 36 this section at least once every twelve months until a permanency 37 planning goal is achieved or the dependency is dismissed, whichever 38 occurs first.

p. 20 HB 1943

(10) Prior to the second permanency planning hearing, the agency that has custody of the child shall consider whether to file a petition for termination of parental rights.

- (11) If the court orders the child returned home, casework supervision by the department ((or supervising agency)) shall continue for at least six months, at which time a review hearing shall be held pursuant to RCW 13.34.138, and the court shall determine the need for continued intervention.
- (12) The juvenile court may hear a petition for permanent legal custody when: (a) The court has ordered implementation of a permanency plan that includes permanent legal custody; and (b) the party pursuing the permanent legal custody is the party identified in the permanency plan as the prospective legal custodian. During the pendency of such proceeding, the court shall conduct review hearings and further permanency planning hearings as provided in this chapter. At the conclusion of the legal guardianship or permanent legal custody proceeding, a juvenile court hearing shall be held for the purpose of determining whether dependency should be dismissed. If a guardianship or permanent custody order has been entered, the dependency shall be dismissed.
- (13) Continued juvenile court jurisdiction under this chapter shall not be a barrier to the entry of an order establishing a legal guardianship or permanent legal custody when the requirements of subsection (12) of this section are met.
- (14) Nothing in this chapter may be construed to limit the ability of the agency that has custody of the child to file a petition for termination of parental rights or a guardianship petition at any time following the establishment of dependency. Upon the filing of such a petition, a fact-finding hearing shall be scheduled and held in accordance with this chapter unless the department ((or supervising agency)) requests dismissal of the petition prior to the hearing or unless the parties enter an agreed order terminating parental rights, establishing guardianship, or otherwise resolving the matter.
- (15) The approval of a permanency plan that does not contemplate return of the child to the parent does not relieve the ((supervising agency)) department of its obligation to provide reasonable services, under this chapter, intended to effectuate the return of the child to the parent, including but not limited to, visitation rights. The

p. 21 HB 1943

1 court shall consider the child's relationships with siblings in 2 accordance with RCW 13.34.130.

- (16) Nothing in this chapter may be construed to limit the procedural due process rights of any party in a termination or guardianship proceeding filed under this chapter.
- (17) The court shall weigh the importance of establishing timely permanency for a child when considering a request for continuance of a permanency planning hearing under this section and shall only grant a continuance after making a finding that the continuance is in the best interest of the child.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 13.34.180 and 2013 c 173 s 4 are each amended to 12 read as follows:
  - (1) A petition seeking termination of a parent and child relationship may be filed in juvenile court by any party, including the ((supervising agency)) department, to the dependency proceedings concerning that child. Such petition shall conform to the requirements of RCW 13.34.040, shall be served upon the parties as provided in RCW 13.34.070(8), and shall allege all of the following unless subsection (3) or (4) of this section applies:
    - (a) That the child has been found to be a dependent child;
- 21 (b) That the court has entered a dispositional order pursuant to 22 RCW 13.34.130;
  - (c) That the child has been removed or will, at the time of the hearing, have been removed from the custody of the parent for a period of at least six months pursuant to a finding of dependency;
  - (d) That the services ordered under RCW 13.34.136 have been expressly and understandably offered or provided and all necessary services, reasonably available, capable of correcting the parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been expressly and understandably offered or provided;
- (e) That there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. A parent's failure to substantially improve parental deficiencies within twelve months following entry of the dispositional order shall give rise to a rebuttable presumption that there is little likelihood that conditions will be remedied so that the child can be returned to the parent in the near future. The presumption shall not arise unless the petitioner makes a showing that all necessary services reasonably capable of correcting the

p. 22 HB 1943

parental deficiencies within the foreseeable future have been clearly offered or provided. In determining whether the conditions will be remedied the court may consider, but is not limited to, the following factors:

- (i) Use of intoxicating or controlled substances so as to render the parent incapable of providing proper care for the child for extended periods of time or for periods of time that present a risk of imminent harm to the child, and documented unwillingness of the parent to receive and complete treatment or documented multiple failed treatment attempts;
- (ii) Psychological incapacity or mental deficiency of the parent that is so severe and chronic as to render the parent incapable of providing proper care for the child for extended periods of time or for periods of time that present a risk of imminent harm to the child, and documented unwillingness of the parent to receive and complete treatment or documentation that there is no treatment that can render the parent capable of providing proper care for the child in the near future; or
- (iii) Failure of the parent to have contact with the child for an extended period of time after the filing of the dependency petition if the parent was provided an opportunity to have a relationship with the child by the department or the court and received documented notice of the potential consequences of this failure, except that the actual inability of a parent to have visitation with the child including, but not limited to, mitigating circumstances such as a parent's current or prior incarceration or service in the military does not in and of itself constitute failure to have contact with the child; and
- (f) That continuation of the parent and child relationship clearly diminishes the child's prospects for early integration into a stable and permanent home. If the parent is incarcerated, and had a preexisting relationship with the child before incarceration, the court shall consider whether a parent maintains a meaningful role in his or her child's life based on factors identified in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b); whether the department ((or supervising agency)) made reasonable efforts as defined in this chapter; and whether particular barriers existed as described in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b) including, but not limited to, delays or barriers experienced in keeping the agency apprised of his or her location and in accessing visitation or other meaningful contact with the child.

p. 23 HB 1943

- (2) As evidence of rebuttal to any presumption established pursuant to subsection (1)(e) of this section, the court may consider the particular constraints of a parent's current or prior incarceration. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, delays or barriers a parent may experience in keeping the agency apprised of his or her location and in accessing visitation or other meaningful contact with the child.
- (3) In lieu of the allegations in subsection (1) of this section, the petition may allege that the child was found under such circumstances that the whereabouts of the child's parent are unknown and no person has acknowledged paternity or maternity and requested custody of the child within two months after the child was found.
- 13 (4) In lieu of the allegations in subsection (1)(b) through (f)
  14 of this section, the petition may allege that the parent has been
  15 convicted of:
  - (a) Murder in the first degree, murder in the second degree, or homicide by abuse as defined in chapter 9A.32 RCW against another child of the parent;
  - (b) Manslaughter in the first degree or manslaughter in the second degree, as defined in chapter 9A.32 RCW against another child of the parent;
  - (c) Attempting, conspiring, or soliciting another to commit one or more of the crimes listed in (a) or (b) of this subsection; or
  - (d) Assault in the first or second degree, as defined in chapter 9A.36 RCW, against the surviving child or another child of the parent.
    - (5) When a parent has a preexisting relationship with the child before incarceration, has been sentenced to a long-term incarceration ((and)), has maintained a meaningful role in the child's life considering the factors provided in RCW 13.34.145(5)(b), and it is in the best interest of the child, the department should consider a permanent placement that allows the parent to maintain a relationship with his or her child, such as, but not limited to, a guardianship pursuant to chapter 13.36 RCW.
- 35 (6) Notice of rights shall be served upon the parent, guardian, 36 or legal custodian with the petition and shall be in substantially 37 the following form:

38 "NOTICE

p. 24 HB 1943

A petition for termination of parental rights has been filed against you. You have important legal rights and you must take steps to protect your interests. This petition could result in permanent loss of your parental rights.

- 1. You have the right to a fact-finding hearing before a judge.
- 2. You have the right to have a lawyer represent you at the hearing. A lawyer can look at the files in your case, talk to the department of social and health services ((or the supervising agency)) and other agencies, tell you about the law, help you understand your rights, and help you at hearings. If you cannot afford a lawyer, the court will appoint one to represent you. To get a court-appointed lawyer you must contact: (explain local procedure) .
- 3. At the hearing, you have the right to speak on your own behalf, to introduce evidence, to examine witnesses, and to receive a decision based solely on the evidence presented to the judge.

You should be present at this hearing.

You may call <u>(insert agency)</u> for more information about your child. The agency's name and telephone number are <u>(insert name and telephone number)</u>."

--- END ---

p. 25 HB 1943