

- 1), § 132Y-140-001, filed 11/2/82.] Repealed by 88-13-013 (Resolution No. 88-5-3), filed 6/6/88. Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.852 and chapter 28B.52 RCW.
- 132Y-140-101 Dismissal for cause. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140, 28B.50.850, etc. 82-22-064 (Resolution No. 82-10-1), § 132Y-140-101, filed 11/2/82.] Repealed by 88-13-013 (Resolution No. 88-5-3), filed 6/6/88. Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.852 and chapter 28B.52 RCW.
- 132Y-140-108 Order of reduction. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140, 28B.50.850, etc. 82-22-064 (Resolution No. 82-10-1), § 132Y-140-108, filed 11/2/82.] Repealed by 88-13-013 (Resolution No. 88-5-3), filed 6/6/88. Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.852 and chapter 28B.52 RCW.
- 132Y-140-112 Procedures relating to the dismissal of a tenured or probationary faculty member. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140, 28B.50.850, etc. 82-22-064 (Resolution No. 82-10-1), § 132Y-140-112, filed 11/2/82.] Repealed by 88-13-013 (Resolution No. 88-5-3), filed 6/6/88. Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.852 and chapter 28B.52 RCW.
- 132Y-140-116 Recall rights of academic employees. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140, 28B.50.850, etc. 82-22-064 (Resolution No. 82-10-1), § 132Y-140-116, filed 11/2/82.] Repealed by 88-13-013 (Resolution No. 88-5-3), filed 6/6/88. Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.852 and chapter 28B.52 RCW.

WAC 132Y-140-001 through 132Y-140-116 Repealed. See Disposition Table at beginning of this chapter.

**Title 136 WAC
COUNTY ROAD ADMINISTRATION
BOARD**

Chapters

- 136-04 Annual certification.**
- 136-15 Regarding procedures for preparation of six-year road programs.**
- 136-130 Regional prioritization of RAP projects to be approved in 1984.**
- 136-150 Eligibility for rural arterial trust account funds.**
- 136-160 Allocation of RATA funds to approved RAP projects.**
- 136-220 Matching requirements for rural arterial trust account funds.**

**Chapter 136-04 WAC
ANNUAL CERTIFICATION**

WAC

- 136-04-030 Response by the county.

WAC 136-04-030 Response by the county. The county engineer shall complete the questionnaire, certify as to its accuracy, have it approved by the chairman of the board of county commissioners, and shall return it to the board no later than April 10.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-11-014 (Order 65), § 136-04-030, filed 5/12/87; Order 24, § 136-04-030, filed 10/31/74.]

Chapter 136-15 WAC

**REGARDING PROCEDURES FOR PREPARATION
OF SIX-YEAR ROAD PROGRAMS**

WAC

- 136-15-010 Purpose.
- 136-15-020 Contents of six-year program.
- 136-15-030 Road fund revenue and expenditure analysis.
- 136-15-040 Program listings of specific projects.
- 136-15-050 Adoption and submittal of six-year program.
- 136-15-060 Conflicts with WSDOT and TIB authority.

WAC 136-15-010 Purpose. The laws of the state of Washington (RCW 36.81.121) require the preparation and annual updating of a six-year comprehensive road program. The program shall be adopted by the county legislative authority before July 1 of each year and shall include all anticipated road and bridge construction projects, capital ferry expenditures, paths and trails projects and any other specified capital outlays for the following six-year period. The purpose of this chapter is to implement these statutory requirements with assurance that the program is based on a realistic assessment of available funding during the program period.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-010, filed 7/25/88.]

WAC 136-15-020 Contents of six-year program. Each adopted six-year program shall designate the six-year time period included, the name of the county, the OFM-assigned county number, the date(s) of the public hearing held to provide public input to the program, the date of the adoption by the legislative authority and the adopting resolution number. The adopted six-year program for submittal to CRAB shall consist of two parts: (1) A road fund revenue and expenditure analysis for the six-year time period and (2) a program listing of specific projects.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-020, filed 7/25/88.]

WAC 136-15-030 Road fund revenue and expenditure analysis. The road fund revenue and expenditure analysis shall include the county's best estimates of future road fund revenues and expenditures over each year of the six-year program period.

The anticipated revenues should include a line item for motor vehicle fuel tax, the road levy after diversion, federal transportation program grants (by program), TIB funds, RATA funds, RID funds, public works trust fund loans, state forest funds, federal forest funds, and other miscellaneous revenues.

The anticipated road fund expenditures should include line items for administration, maintenance, facilities, transfers and loan repayments, reimbursable work and miscellaneous expenditures; showing by subtraction the amount available for construction during each year of the program period.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-030, filed 7/25/88.]

WAC 136-15-040 Program listings of specific projects. This listing shall include projects having an estimated cost approximately equal to the anticipated revenues for projects during the program period, clearly identifying those projects (1) for which funding is reasonably assured; (2) for which funds are not specifically assured but are within expected levels of existing programs for the applicable year; and (3), if desired, those which are unfunded within currently anticipated resources. Because of the possibility of unforeseen future circumstances at the time of approval of the six-year program, the above construction funding classification for any project shall not be considered final, but only an indication of the relative certainty of the various proposed projects.

It is recommended that provision be made in the program for one or more generic projects each year for improvements such as miscellaneous safety projects, new culvert and small bridge construction and other minor improvements.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-040, filed 7/25/88.]

WAC 136-15-050 Adoption and submittal of six-year program. A six-year program shall be adopted by resolution of the county legislative authority after appropriate public hearing before July 1 of each year. The resolution of adoption shall include reference to availability of a priority array as required by WAC 136-14-050, and of an engineer's bridge condition report as required by WAC 136-20-060. Within 30 days of adoption, the county legislative authority shall submit the six-year program to the county road administration board.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-050, filed 7/25/88.]

WAC 136-15-060 Conflicts with WSDOT and TIB authority. Nothing in this rule shall eliminate or modify any requirements or procedures or authorities of either the Washington state department of transportation or the transportation improvement board as codified in the Revised Code of Washington or as adopted in the Washington Administrative Code.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-15-060, filed 7/25/88.]

Chapter 136-130 WAC

REGIONAL PRIORITIZATION OF RAP PROJECTS TO BE APPROVED IN 1984

WAC

136-130-050 Project prioritization in northeast region (NER).
136-130-060 Project prioritization in southeast region (SER).
136-130-070 Project prioritization in southwest region (SWR).

WAC 136-130-050 Project prioritization in northeast region (NER). Each county in the NER may submit projects requesting RATA funds not to exceed 30% [per county] of the NER biennial apportionment. Each project shall be rated in accordance with the NER RAP

rating procedures. The NER biennial apportionment shall be divided into the following categories at the percentages shown, provided sufficient projects are submitted for prioritization in each category:

- Category 1 - 10% for bridge projects where RATA funds are used as a match for federal bridge replacement funds;
- Category 2 - 45% for reconstruction of rural collectors; and
- Category 3 - 45% for resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation (3R) type projects of rural collectors.

In the event that no projects or an insufficient number of projects are submitted in any of the above categories to utilize the RATA funds set aside for the category, all remaining funds in that category or categories shall be divided among the remaining categories as the CRABoard deems appropriate. The intent is to divide all available funds into categories having a sufficient number of submitted projects to fully utilize the funds available at each allocation during the biennium.

Bridge projects may be submitted requesting RATA funds under one of the following conditions:

1. Bridges must be approved for federal bridge replacement funding and RATA funds shall be used only as a match for such federal funding. Bridges will be ranked for RATA funding using the WSDOT priority list.

2. A stand-alone bridge project may be submitted as an ordinary RAP project provided that its priority rating has been computed by the bridge rating method in the NER RAP rating procedures. Such projects shall not be considered for funding from the bridge reserve described above.

3. A RAP project may include a bridge when the cost of the bridge does not exceed 20% of the total project cost.

NER RAP rating points for reconstruction projects, 3R projects or non-federal bridge replacement projects shall be assigned on the basis of 100 points for a condition rating and 50 points for a service rating. The priority rating equals two and one half times the product of the service rating to the 1.25 power and the common logarithm of the number obtained by dividing 100 by the condition rating. Prioritization of NER projects shall be on the basis of total NER RAP rating points shown on the appropriate project worksheet and the prospectus form of the project application.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-12-080 (Order 69), § 136-130-050, filed 6/1/88; 86-21-076 (Order 63-P), § 136-130-050, filed 10/15/86; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-130-050, filed 2/20/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-130-050, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-130-060 Project prioritization in southeast region (SER). Each county in the SER may submit projects requesting RATA funds not to exceed 30% per county of the SER biennial apportionment. Each project

shall be rated in accordance with the SER RAP rating procedures. 10% of the SER biennial apportionment shall be reserved for stand-alone bridge projects in each biennium. Whatever part of the bridge reserve is not allocated to bridge projects [in each biennium] shall be available for allocation to other RAP projects. SER RAP rating points shall be assigned on the basis of 40 points for structural condition, 30 points for geometrics, 20 points for traffic volume and 10 points for traffic accidents. Prioritization of SER projects shall be on the basis of total SER RAP rating points shown on the project worksheet and the prospectus form of the project application.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-05-040 (Order 68), § 136-130-060, filed 2/16/88; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-130-060, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-130-070 Project prioritization in southwest region (SWR). Each county in the SWR may submit projects requesting RATA funds not to exceed \$200,000 per project and [\$8000,000] [\$800,000] per county. No bridge replacement projects will be funded. Each project shall be rated in accordance with the SWR RAP rating procedures. SWR RAP rating points shall be assigned on the basis of 25 points for structural condition, 25 points for road surface condition, 30 points for geometrics, 10 points for traffic volume and 10 points for traffic accidents, except that Portland cement surfaces and asphalt surfaces with cement concrete bases shall have 50 points for road surface condition and no points for structural condition. Prioritization of SWR projects shall be on the basis of total SWR RAP rating points shown on the project worksheets and the prospectus form of the [project] application. (Amended 2-13-86)

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-05-040 (Order 68), § 136-130-070, filed 2/16/88; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-130-070, filed 2/20/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-130-070, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

Chapter 136-150 WAC

ELIGIBILITY FOR RURAL ARTERIAL TRUST ACCOUNT FUNDS

WAC

136-150-010	Purpose.
136-150-020	Implementing the eligibility requirement.
136-150-021	Ascertaining the road levy.
136-150-022	Ascertaining the expenditures for traffic law enforcement.
136-150-023	Identifying eligible counties.
136-150-024	Constraint on contract execution.

[1988 WAC Supp—page 330]

WAC 136-150-010 Purpose. Language in section 14, chapter 49, Laws 1983 [1st] ex. sess. provides that only those counties that[,] during the preceding twelve months[,] have spent all revenues collected for road purposes only for such purposes, including traffic law enforcement, as are allowed to the state by Article II, section 40 of the state Constitution are eligible to receive funds from the rural arterial trust account (RATA); provided, however, that counties of the 7th class shall be exempt from this requirement. This [WAC] chapter describes how this statutory language will be implemented by the CRABoard beginning with the 1988 county budget year

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-010, filed 10/15/87; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-150-010, filed 2/20/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-150-010, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-150-020 Implementing the eligibility requirement. [The CRABoard will approve RAP projects, and allocate RATA funds to projects, only in eligible counties.] The CRABoard will ascertain the amount of the total road levy fixed in each county and the amount diverted, if any, for any services to be provided in the unincorporated area of the county in accordance with RCW 36.33.220. The CRABoard will compare the amount actually spent each year for traffic law enforcement with the amount diverted to determine whether or not the county is eligible to receive RATA funds.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-020, filed 10/15/87; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-150-020, filed 2/20/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-150-020, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-150-021 Ascertaining the road levy. The CRABoard will request that every county legislative authority submit a certification showing the amount of the road levy fixed and the amount, if any, budgeted in accordance with RCW 36.33.220 for traffic law enforcement and/or any other purpose from diverted road levy no later than February 1st of each year.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-021, filed 10/15/87.]

WAC 136-150-022 Ascertaining the expenditures for traffic law enforcement. In those counties where diverted road levy has been budgeted for traffic law enforcement, and which have a RAP project awaiting approval by the CRABoard, the county sheriff will be required to submit a certification showing the actual expenditure for traffic law enforcement in the previous budget year, provided that counties of the 7th class shall be exempt from this requirement.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-022, filed 10/15/87.]

WAC 136-150-023 Identifying eligible counties. Counties eligible to receive RATA funds shall be those in which there has been no diversion of the county road levy, those in which the actual expenditures for traffic law enforcement have been equal to, or greater than, the amount of diverted road levy budgeted for traffic law enforcement, (3) and those of the 7th class.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-023, filed 10/15/87.]

WAC 136-150-024 Constraint on contract execution. No CRAB/County contract shall be executed on behalf of the CRABoard unless the appropriate certifications have been submitted and unless the county has been identified as eligible to receive RATA funds.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 87-21-046 (Order 66), § 136-150-024, filed 10/15/87; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-150-024, filed 2/20/86.]

Chapter 136-160 WAC

ALLOCATION OF RATA FUNDS TO APPROVED RAP PROJECTS

WAC

- 136-160-050 Project approval and RATA fund allocation.
- 136-160-060 Limitation on use of RATA funds.
- 136-160-065 Use of RATA funds for emergent projects.

WAC 136-160-050 Project approval and RATA fund allocation. The CRABoard will meet as soon as feasible after [the] passage of each biennial budget by the Legislature to approve RAP projects and allocate RATA funds. RAP projects shall be approved [in each] [by] region[,] in order of their regional priority and RATA funds shall be allocated up to a cumulative dollar amount no greater than 90% of the RATA construction appropriation included in the biennial budget; provided, however, that no county shall receive a total RATA fund allocation greater than the following amounts in the respective regions: NWR, \$500,000; NER, 15% of the regional apportionment; SER, 15% of the regional apportionment; and SWR, \$400,000. The remaining construction appropriation may be allocated to approved projects later in the biennium at a time deemed appropriate by the CRABoard.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-05-040 (Order 68), § 136-160-050, filed 2/16/88; 87-11-014 (Order 65), § 136-160-050, filed 5/12/87; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-160-050, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-160-060 Limitation on use of RATA funds. The RATA funds requested in the project application are intended to reimburse a county for 80% of its RAP [project] construction costs up to the amount of the CRAB/county contract in the PSR, NWR, and SWR and 90% in the NER and SER. [RAP project]

RATA funds may be used to reimburse a county for 80% of its RAP project preliminary engineering costs in the PSR and 90% in the NER and SER. RATA funds may not be used for right-of-way acquisition in any region.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-160-060, filed 7/25/88; 86-21-076 (Order 63-P), § 136-160-060, filed 10/15/86; 86-06-005 (Order 61), § 136-160-060, filed 2/20/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-160-060, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.

WAC 136-160-065 Use of RATA funds for emergent projects. If regional RATA funds are available, the CRABoard may approve emergent RAP projects and allocate RATA funds for such projects beyond any county limit as defined in WAC 136-160-050.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-05-040 (Order 68), § 136-160-065, filed 2/16/88.]

Chapter 136-220 WAC

MATCHING REQUIREMENTS FOR RURAL ARTERIAL TRUST ACCOUNT FUNDS

WAC

- 136-220-020 Establishment of matching requirements.
- 136-220-030 Use of RATA funds to match other funds.

WAC 136-220-020 Establishment of matching requirements. Counties will be required to match RATA funds with a minimum of 20% matching funds in the PSR, NWR, and SWR and 10% matching funds in the NER and SER.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-220-020, filed 7/25/88; 86-21-076 (Order 63-P), § 136-220-020, filed 10/15/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-220-020, filed 7/30/84.]

WAC 136-220-030 Use of RATA funds to match other funds. A county with an approved RAP project may use RATA funds to match any applicable funds available for such project, provided that the county will be required to match any RATA funds [for] allocated to the project with a minimum of 20% matching funds in the PSR, NWR, and SWR and 10% matching funds in the NER and SER. Projects involving federal highway program funds will be administered through the state aid division of WSDOT except that reimbursement of RATA funds will be through the CRABoard.

[Statutory Authority: Chapter 36.78 RCW. 88-16-017 (Order 68), § 136-220-030, filed 7/25/88; 86-21-076 (Order 63-P), § 136-220-030, filed 10/15/86; 84-16-065 (Order 56), § 136-220-030, filed 7/30/84.]

Reviser's note: RCW 34.04.058 requires the use of underlining and deletion marks to indicate amendments to existing rules, and deems ineffectual changes not filed by the agency in this manner. The bracketed material in the above section does not appear to conform to the statutory requirement.