## ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6132

State of Washington 52nd Legislature 1992 Regular Session

**By** Senate Committee on Environment & Natural Resources (originally sponsored by Senators Metcalf, Owen, Oke, M. Kreidler, Snyder and Conner; by request of Puget Sound Water Quality Authority)

Read first time 01/23/92.

AN ACT Relating to the reduction of nonpoint source pollution in counties with shellfish growing tidelands; amending RCW 90.72.030, 90.72.040, and 90.72.070; adding new sections to chapter 90.72 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 88.36 RCW; and repealing RCW 90.72.010 and 90.72.050.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 90.72 RCW
8 to read as follows:

9 The legislature finds that shellfish harvesting is important to our 10 economy and way of life. Washington state is an international leader 11 in the cultivation and production of shellfish. However, large 12 portions of the state's productive recreational and commercial 13 shellfish beds are closed to harvesting, and more are threatened, 14 because of water pollution. The legislature finds that the problem of

p. 1 of 7

shellfish bed closures demands a public policy solution and that the
 state, local governments, and individuals must each take strong and
 swift action or this precious resource will be lost.

4 It is the goal of the legislature to prevent further closures of 5 recreational and commercial shellfish beds, to restore water quality in 6 saltwater tidelands to allow the reopening of at least one restricted 7 or closed shellfish bed each year, and to ensure Washington state's 8 commanding international position in shellfish production.

9 The legislature finds that failing on-site sewage systems and 10 animal waste are the two most significant causes of shellfish bed 11 closures over the past decade. Remedial actions at the local level are 12 required to effectively address these problems.

13 The legislature finds that existing entities, including 14 conservation districts and local health departments, should be used by 15 counties to address the water quality problems affecting the 16 recreational and commercial shellfish harvest.

The legislature finds that local action in each watershed where shellfish are harvested is required to protect this vital resource. The legislature hereby encourages all counties having saltwater tidelands within their boundaries to establish shellfish protection districts and programs designed to prevent any further degradation and contamination and to allow for restoration and reopening of closed shellfish growing areas.

24 Sec. 2. RCW 90.72.030 and 1985 c 417 s 3 are each amended to read 25 as follows:

The legislative authority of each county having shellfish tidelands within its boundaries is authorized to establish a shellfish protection district to include areas in which nonpoint pollution threatens <u>the</u> <u>water quality upon which</u> the continuation <u>or restoration</u> of shellfish ESSB 6132 p. 2 of 7

farming or harvesting is dependent. The legislative authority shall 1 constitute the governing body of the district and shall adopt a 2 3 shellfish protection program to be effective within the district. The 4 legislative authority may appoint a local advisory council to advise the legislative authority in preparation and implementation of 5 б shellfish protection programs. This program ((may)) shall include any elements deemed appropriate to deal with the nonpoint pollution 7 ((threat)) threatening water quality, including, but not limited to, 8 9 requiring the elimination or decrease of contaminants in storm water 10 runoff, establishing monitoring ((programs)), inspection, and repair 11 <u>elements</u> to ((make sure that septic drainfield)) ensure that on-site sewage systems are adequately maintained and working properly ((and)), 12 assuring that animal grazing and manure management practices are 13 14 ((appropriate)) consistent with best management practices, and establishing educational and public involvement programs to inform 15 16 citizens on the causes of the threatening nonpoint pollution and what 17 they can do to decrease the amount of such pollution. An element may 18 be omitted where another program is effectively addressing those 19 sources of nonpoint water pollution. Within the limits of RCW 90.72.040 and 90.72.070, the county legislative authority shall have 20 full jurisdiction and authority to manage, regulate, and control its 21 programs and to fix, alter, regulate, and control the fees for services 22 23 provided and charges or rates as provided under those programs. 24 Programs established under this chapter, may, but are not required to, 25 be part of a system of sewerage as defined in RCW 36.94.010.

26 **Sec. 3.** RCW 90.72.040 and 1985 c 417 s 4 are each amended to read 27 as follows:

28 (1) The county legislative authority may create a shellfish 29 protection district on its own motion or by submitting the question to

p. 3 of 7

the voters of the proposed district and obtaining the approval of a 1 majority of those voting. The boundaries of the district shall be 2 determined by the legislative authority. The legislative authority may 3 4 create more than one district. A district may include any area or 5 areas within the county, whether incorporated or unincorporated. б Counties shall coordinate and cooperate with cities, towns, and waterrelated special districts within their boundaries in establishing 7 shellfish protection districts and carrying out shellfish protection 8 9 programs. Where a portion of the proposed district lies within an 10 incorporated area, the county shall develop procedures for the participation of the city or town in the determination of the 11 boundaries of the district and the administration of the district, 12 including funding of the district's programs. The legislative 13 14 authority of more than one county may by agreement provide for the 15 creation of a district including areas within each of those counties. 16 County legislative authorities are encouraged to coordinate their plans 17 and programs to protect shellfish growing areas, especially where shellfish growing areas are located within the boundaries of more than 18 19 The legislative authority or authorities creating a <u>one county.</u> 20 district may abolish a shellfish protection district on its or their own motion or by submitting the question to the voters of the district 21 22 and obtaining the approval of a majority of those voting.

23 (2) If the county legislative authority creates a shellfish protection district by its own motion, any registered voter residing 24 25 within the boundaries of the shellfish protection district may file a referendum petition to repeal the ordinance that created the district. 26 27 Any referendum petition to repeal the ordinance creating the shellfish protection district shall be filed with the county auditor within seven 28 29 days of passage of the ordinance. Within ten days of the filing of a petition, the county auditor shall confer with the petitioner 30 ESSB 6132 p. 4 of 7

concerning form and style of the petition, issue an identification 1 2 number for the petition, and write a ballot title for the measure. The 3 ballot title shall be posed as a question so that an affirmative answer to the question and an affirmative vote on the measure results in 4 creation of the shellfish protection district and a negative answer to 5 6 the question and a negative vote on the measure results in the shellfish protection district not being created. The petitioner shall 7 be notified of the identification number and ballot title within this 8 9 ten-day period.

10 After this notification, the petitioner shall have thirty days in which to secure on petition forms the signatures of not less than 11 12 twenty-five percent of the registered voters residing within the boundaries of the shellfish protection district and file the signed 13 petitions with the county auditor. Each petition form shall contain 14 the ballot title and full text of the measure to be referred. The 15 county auditor shall verify the sufficiency of the signatures on the 16 17 petitions. If sufficient valid signatures are properly submitted, the county auditor shall submit the referendum measure to the registered 18 19 voters residing in the shellfish protection district in a special election no later than one hundred twenty days after the signed 20 petition has been filed with the county auditor. The special election 21 may be conducted by mail ballot as provided for in chapter 29.36 RCW. 22 23 (3) The county legislative authority shall not impose fees, rates, 24 or charges for shellfish protection district programs upon properties on which fees, rates, or charges are imposed to pay for another program 25 to eliminate or decrease contamination in storm water runoff. 26

27 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 90.72 RCW 28 to read as follows: 1 The county legislative authority shall create a shellfish 2 protection district and establish a shellfish protection program to address causes of pollution within one hundred eighty days after the 3 4 department of health, because of water quality degradation due to ongoing nonpoint sources of pollution, has, after the effective date of 5 б this act, closed or downgraded the classification of a recreational or commercial shellfish growing area within the boundaries of the county. 7

8 NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 90.72 RCW 9 to read as follows:

10 Within available funding and as specified in the shellfish protection program, counties creating shellfish protection districts 11 shall contract with conservation districts to draft plans with 12 13 landowners to control pollution effects of animal waste.

**Sec. 6.** RCW 90.72.070 and 1985 c 417 s 7 are each amended to read 14 15 as follows:

16 legislative authority establishing a shellfish The county 17 protection district may finance the protection program through (1) 18 ((its)) county tax revenues, (2) reasonable inspection fees and similar 19 fees ((or)) for services provided, (3) reasonable charges or rates specified in its protection program, or  $\left(\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$  <u>(4)</u> federal, state, or 20 private grants. Confined animal feeding operations subject to the 21 national pollutant discharge elimination system and implementing 22 regulations shall not be subject to fees, rates, or charges by a 23 shellfish protection district. Facilities permitted and assessed fees 24 for wastewater discharge under the national pollutant discharge 25 26 elimination system shall not be subject to fees, rates, or charges for 27 wastewater discharge by a shellfish protection district. Lands classified as forest land under chapter 84.33 RCW and timber land under 28 ESSB 6132

```
p. 6 of 7
```

<u>chapter 84.34 RCW shall not be subject to fees, rates, or charges by a</u>
 <u>shellfish protection district. Counties may collect charges or rates</u>
 in the manner determined by the county legislative authority.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 90.72 RCW
to read as follows:

6 Counties that have formed shellfish protection districts shall 7 receive high priority for state water quality financial assistance to 8 implement shellfish protection programs, including grants and loans 9 provided under chapters 43.99F, 70.146, and 90.50A RCW.

10 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 8. A new section is added to chapter 88.36 RCW 11 to read as follows:

12 The commission shall seek to provide the most cost efficient and 13 accessible facilities possible for reducing the amount of boat waste 14 entering the state's waters. The commission shall consider providing 15 funding support for portable pumpout facilities in this effort.

16 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 9. The following acts or parts of acts are 17 each repealed:

18 (1) RCW 90.72.010 and 1985 c 417 s 1; and

19 (2) RCW 90.72.050 and 1985 c 417 s 5.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 10. If any provision of this act or its 21 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the 22 remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other 23 persons or circumstances is not affected.

p. 7 of 7