

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT
ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2553

Chapter 166, Laws of 1992
(partial veto)

52nd Legislature
1992 Regular Session

SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSPORTATION BUDGET

EFFECTIVE DATE: 4/1/92

Passed by the House March 11, 1992
Yeas 95 Nays 2

JOE KING
**Speaker of the
House of Representatives**

Passed by the Senate March 11, 1992
Yeas 44 Nays 5

JOEL PRITCHARD
President of the Senate

Approved April 1, 1992, with the
exception of sections 15(6), 22 (page
20 lines 12 and 13), 22(9) and 29,
which are vetoed.

BOOTH GARDNER
Governor of the State of Washington

CERTIFICATE

I, Alan Thompson, Chief Clerk of the
House of Representatives of the State
of Washington, do hereby certify that
the attached is ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE
HOUSE BILL 2553 as passed by the House
of Representatives and the Senate on
the dates hereon set forth.

ALAN THOMPSON
Chief Clerk

FILED
April 1, 1992 - 10:49 a.m.

**Secretary of State
State of Washington**

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2553

AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Passed Legislature - 1992 Regular Session

State of Washington 52nd Legislature 1992 Regular Session

By House Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Representatives R. Fisher, Betrozoff, R. Meyers and Dellwo; by request of Governor Gardner)

Read first time 02/11/92.

1 AN ACT Relating to transportation appropriations; amending 1991
2 sp.s. c 15 s 1 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 21 (uncodified), 1991
3 sp.s. c 15 s 5 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 6 (uncodified), 1991
4 sp.s. c 15 s 14 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 8 (uncodified), 1991
5 sp.s. c 15 s 9 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 10 (uncodified), 1991
6 sp.s. c 15 s 11 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 12 (uncodified), 1991
7 sp.s. c 15 s 13 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 18 (uncodified), 1991
8 sp.s. c 15 s 22 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 23 (uncodified), 1991
9 sp.s. c 15 s 25 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 27 (uncodified), 1991
10 sp.s. c 15 s 28 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 32 (uncodified), 1991
11 sp.s. c 15 s 33 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 35 (uncodified), 1991
12 sp.s. c 15 s 36 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 38 (uncodified), 1991
13 sp.s. c 15 s 39 (uncodified), 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 41 (uncodified), 1991
14 sp.s. c 15 s 57 (uncodified), and 1991 sp.s. c 14 s 29 (uncodified);
15 adding new sections to 1991 sp.s. c 15; repealing 1991 c 342 s 15; and
16 declaring an emergency.

1 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

2 **Sec. 1.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 1 (uncodified) is amended to read as
3 follows:

4 The transportation budget of the state is hereby adopted and,
5 subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the several amounts
6 hereinafter specified, or as much thereof as may be necessary to
7 accomplish the purposes designated, are hereby appropriated from the
8 several accounts and funds hereinafter named to the designated state
9 agencies and offices for salaries, wages, and other expenses, for
10 capital projects, and for other specified purposes, including the
11 payment of any final judgments arising out of such activities, for the
12 period ending June 30, 1993. No moneys are provided in this act for
13 major relocation of the Washington state patrol (~~or the department of~~
14 ~~licensing~~). The department of general administration shall evaluate
15 space requirements for all transportation agencies, including the
16 Washington state patrol headquarters, through the year 2010, and make
17 recommendations regarding how these space requirements shall be met to
18 the office of financial management, the legislative transportation
19 committee, the house of representatives capital facilities and
20 financing committee, and the senate ways and means committee, by
21 January 1, 1993. No moneys from any transportation fund or account may
22 be expended for this purpose. Any bill enacted during the 1991 or 1992
23 legislative sessions requiring expenditure from a transportation
24 related fund or account that was not heard by either of the respective
25 transportation committees is not funded in this act.

26 **Sec. 2.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 21 (uncodified) is amended to read as
27 follows:

28 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

1 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation \$ 409,000

2 ((~~\$209,000 or as much thereof as is necessary, is appropriated~~
3 ~~from~~)) ~~The motor vehicle fund state appropriation is provided solely~~
4 ~~for the motor fuel quality testing program. ((Annual))~~ Semi-annual
5 reports shall be submitted to the legislative transportation committee
6 commencing January 15, 1992.

7 **Sec. 3.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 5 (uncodified) is amended to read as
8 follows:

9 **FOR THE COUNTY ROAD ADMINISTRATION BOARD**

10	Motor Vehicle Fund--County Arterial Preservation	
11	Account--State Appropriation	\$ ((22,427,000))
12		<u>23,732,000</u>
13	Motor Vehicle Fund--Rural Arterial Trust Account--	
14	State Appropriation	\$ 37,413,000
15	<u>Motor Vehicle Fund--Private Local Appropriation</u> . .	\$ <u>62,409</u>
16	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((1,190,000))
17		<u>1,241,420</u>
18	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$ ((61,030,000))
19		<u>62,448,829</u>

20 \$153,319 of the motor vehicle fund--county arterial preservation
21 account--state appropriation and \$153,319 of the motor vehicle fund--
22 rural arterial trust account--state appropriation, or as much thereof
23 as may be necessary, are provided solely to provide transportation
24 planning assistance to counties.

25 **Sec. 4.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 6 (uncodified) is amended to read as
26 follows:

27 **FOR THE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT BOARD**

1	Motor Vehicle Fund--Transportation Improvement		
2	Account--State Appropriation	\$	104,000,000
3	Motor Vehicle Fund--Urban Arterial Trust Account--		
4	State Appropriation	\$	51,848,000
5	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	155,848,000

6 ~~((The legislative transportation committee shall evaluate methods~~
7 ~~to improve legislative oversight of transportation improvement account~~
8 ~~projects.))~~ The appropriations in this section are subject to the
9 following conditions and limitations:

10 (1) The legislative transportation committee shall designate an
11 interim committee of house and senate transportation committee members
12 to evaluate the transportation improvement account and urban arterial
13 trust account programs of the transportation improvement board to
14 determine the appropriateness of project selection criteria and the
15 structure of the two programs based on current transportation needs.
16 Recommendations shall include but not be limited to changes to
17 selection criteria, changes to the method of implementing selection
18 criteria, changes in level of funding for the two programs, whether to
19 combine the small cities components of the two programs, suggested
20 limits on the obligation of funds, and methods to improve legislative
21 oversight of projects in terms of total cost and scope. The
22 recommendations shall be submitted to the legislative transportation
23 committee by December 15, 1992.

24 (2) The transportation improvement board may consider any
25 application for project funding it receives within ninety days of its
26 application deadline for that year's transportation improvement account
27 program, if it is accompanied by a feasibility study that is submitted
28 within thirty days prior to the application deadline and is done in
29 cooperation with the department of transportation.

1 **Sec. 5.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 14 (uncodified) is amended to read as
2 follows:

3 **FOR THE LEGISLATIVE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

4	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$	((3,028,000))
5			<u>2,905,000</u>
6	High Capacity Transportation Account--		
7	State Appropriation	\$	950,000
8	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	((3,978,000))
9			<u>3,855,000</u>

10 (1) Of the high capacity transportation account appropriation
11 provided for in this section, \$550,000 is a reappropriation for
12 continuation of stage 1 of the public transportation study described in
13 section 12(4), chapter 298, Laws of 1990, and \$400,000 is for a portion
14 of the cost of stage 2.

15 (2) The appropriation provided for in section 41, chapter 15, Laws
16 of 1991 sp.s., includes funds to carry out the studies described in
17 section 12 (5) and (6), chapter 298, Laws of 1990: PROVIDED, That the
18 completion dates for both studies shall be June 30, 1993.

19 (3) The committee is authorized to conduct performance analysis and
20 other reviews of state transportation agencies and programs to ensure
21 that the agencies and programs: (a) Are being conducted in accordance
22 with legislative intent; (b) are being conducted in an efficient and
23 effective manner; and (c) continue to serve their intended purposes.
24 The findings and recommendations of any such reviews shall be reported
25 to the legislature.

26 **Sec. 6.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 8 (uncodified) is amended to read as
27 follows:

28 **FOR THE STATE PATROL--FIELD OPERATIONS BUREAU**

1 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Patrol Highway Account--
2 State Appropriation \$((~~131,301,000~~))
3 136,892,000
4 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Patrol Highway Account--
5 Federal Appropriation \$ 3,033,000
6 TOTAL APPROPRIATION \$((~~134,334,000~~))
7 139,925,000

8 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
9 conditions and limitations:

10 (1) Any user of Washington state patrol aircraft shall pay its pro
11 rata share of all operating and maintenance costs including
12 capitalization.

13 (2) \$482,000 of the state patrol highway account--state
14 appropriation is provided solely for implementation of House Bill No.
15 2693, or Senate Bill No. 6286. The appropriation provided in this
16 subsection is contingent upon passage during the 1992 legislative
17 session of House Bill No. 2693 or Senate Bill No. 6286.

18 **Sec. 7.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 9 (uncodified) is amended to read as
19 follows:

20 **FOR THE STATE PATROL--SUPPORT SERVICES BUREAU**

21 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Patrol Highway Account--
22 State Appropriation \$ ((~~52,914,000~~))
23 52,894,000

24 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
25 conditions and limitations. \$54,000 of the state patrol highway
26 account-state appropriation is provided solely for implementation of
27 House Bill No. 2693 or Senate Bill No. 6286. The appropriation

1 provided in this subsection is contingent upon passage during the 1992
2 legislative session of House Bill No. 2693 or Senate Bill No. 6286.

3 **Sec. 8.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 10 (uncodified) is amended to read as
4 follows:

5 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING--VEHICLE SERVICES**

6	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((47,105,000))	
7			<u>45,695,000</u>
8	General Fund--Marine Fuel Tax Refund Account--		
9	State Appropriation	\$ 25,000	
10	General Fund--Wildlife Account--State Appropriation	\$ ((502,000))	
11			<u>504,000</u>
12	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$ ((47,632,000))	
13			<u>46,224,000</u>

14 ~~((The legislature recognizes the need to address issues remaining~~
15 ~~unresolved from the 1991 title and registration study required by the~~
16 ~~legislature and the governor. The intent of the legislature is to~~
17 ~~better align the fee structure with the costs associated with providing~~
18 ~~services for the state. Evidence from the 1991 study indicates~~
19 ~~inequities exist in cost recovery and/or profits realized between large~~
20 ~~and small county auditors and their subagents. Further, no policy~~
21 ~~exists regarding how counties treat excess revenues generated from~~
22 ~~providing this service. The Washington association of counties, the~~
23 ~~Washington association of county officials, representatives of the~~
24 ~~subagents, and the department of licensing, under the direction of the~~
25 ~~legislative transportation committee, shall report to the legislative~~
26 ~~transportation committee by December 1, 1991, their recommendations for~~
27 ~~resolving these policy issues and inequities.))~~

1 **Sec. 11.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 13 (uncodified) is amended to read as
2 follows:

3 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING--INFORMATION SYSTEMS**

4	General Fund--Wildlife Account--State Appropriation	\$	((56,000))
5			<u>53,000</u>
6	Highway Safety Fund--State Appropriation	\$	((3,506,000))
7			<u>5,970,000</u>
8	Highway Safety Fund--Motorcycle Safety Education		
9	Account--State Appropriation	\$	((58,000))
10			<u>55,000</u>
11	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$	((5,961,000))
12			<u>9,620,000</u>
13	General Fund--Public Safety and Education Account--		
14	State Appropriation	\$	((252,000))
15			<u>241,000</u>
16	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	((9,833,000))
17			<u>15,939,000</u>

18 The appropriation for the licensing application migration project
19 (LAMP) is conditioned upon compliance with the provisions of section
20 (~~((54 of chapter 15, Laws of 1991 sp.s))~~) 30 of this act. If section 30
21 of this act is not enacted during the 1992 legislative session, then
22 the \$6,652,000 appropriation, of which \$3,991,000 is motor vehicle
23 fund--state and \$2,661,000 highway safety fund--state, for the
24 licensing application migration project (LAMP) shall lapse. Of the
25 \$6,652,000 appropriation provided for LAMP, \$333,000 is provided solely
26 as a contingency amount.

27 **Sec. 12.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 18 (uncodified) is amended to read as
28 follows:

1 **FOR THE AIR TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

2 Transportation Fund--State Appropriation \$ ((553,000))
3 909,000

4 (1) The appropriation contained in this section shall be reduced on
5 a dollar for dollar basis if federal funding for any element of the
6 commission's work plan is granted after July 1, 1992.

7 (2) \$206,000 of the appropriation contained in this section is null
8 and void if House Bill No. 2609 is not enacted by July 1, 1992.

9 NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. A new section is added to 1991 sp.s. c 15
10 to read as follows:

11 Recognizing that the federal 1991 intermodal surface transportation
12 efficiency act establishes an eighty million dollar national "Scenic
13 Byways" grant program and a new apportionment program called
14 "Transportation Enhancement Activities" that will provide forty-four
15 million dollars to Washington state, the department of transportation
16 is directed to place high priority on obtaining such funds for further
17 development of a scenic and recreational highways program.

18 In developing the scenic and recreational highways program, the
19 department shall consult with the department of trade and economic
20 development, the department of community development, the department of
21 natural resources, the parks and recreation commission, affected
22 cities, towns, and counties, regional transportation planning
23 organizations, and other interested parties. The scenic and
24 recreational highways program shall identify entire highway loops or
25 similar tourist routes that could be developed to promote tourist
26 activity and provide concurrent economic growth while protecting the
27 scenic and recreational quality surrounding state highways.

1 **Sec. 14.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 22 (uncodified) is amended to read as
2 follows:

3 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION--PROGRAM A**

4 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$	149,838,000
5 Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$	98,600,000
6 Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$	2,000,000
7 TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	250,438,000

8 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
9 conditions and limitations:

10 (1) The appropriations in this section are provided for the
11 location, design, right of way, and construction of state highway
12 projects designated as category "A" under RCW 47.05.030. It is the
13 intent of the legislature that this appropriation does not commit the
14 legislature to the transportation commission's proposed category "A"
15 program update.

16 (2) The department shall study a highway heritage program to
17 preserve Washington's unique scenic character along its highway
18 corridors and provide travelers with a continuing opportunity to
19 appreciate and obtain information regarding unique natural, cultural,
20 and historic features that are near or accessible by highways.

21 The department shall:

22 (a) Work with the parks and recreation commission, the Washington
23 state historical society, the department of trade and economic
24 development, and cities and counties to identify projects, establish
25 priorities for expenditures of funds under this program, and recommend
26 a strategy for implementing an ongoing program and sources of funding;

27 (b) Work with public and private landowners, local governments, and
28 private organizations and associations to propose actions to achieve
29 the purposes of this section without land acquisition, to the greatest

1 extent possible, including coordination with local land use and open
2 space plans, state agency programs relating to open space,
3 conservation, urban forestry, and natural resources management;

4 (c) Study acquisition by purchase, gift, devise, bequest, grant, or
5 exchange, title to or interest or right in real property adjacent to
6 state highways to accomplish any of the following: Preserve natural
7 beauty or viewpoints, preserve natural buffers between highways, or
8 enhance the visual quality of entrances to cities or other land uses;

9 (d) Study provision of directional signs and signs with information
10 regarding historical or cultural sites and significant natural
11 features.

12 The department shall report its findings to the legislative
13 transportation committee by December 1, 1992.

14 The appropriation to carry out the study in this subsection is
15 provided in section 41, chapter 15, Laws of 1991 sp.s. and shall lapse
16 unless \$10,000 is received from the department of trade and economic
17 development by October 1, 1991.

18 (3) The department shall complete the six fish barrier removal
19 projects identified as high priority by the department of fisheries.
20 The department shall cooperate with the departments of fisheries and
21 wildlife to identify, estimate costs of, and prioritize additional fish
22 barrier removal projects on state highways.

23 (4) In order to accommodate the federal 1991 intermodal surface
24 transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914), the
25 department of transportation may transfer dollar for dollar from the
26 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation to the motor vehicle fund--
27 federal appropriation.

28 *Sec. 15. 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 23 (uncodified) is amended to read as
29 follows:
30

1	FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION--PROGRAM B	
2	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((42,000,000))
3		<u>50,658,000</u>
4	Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$((407,000,000))
5		<u>483,492,000</u>
6	Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$ 8,000,000
7	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$((457,000,000))
8		<u>542,150,000</u>

9 The appropriations in this section are provided for the location,
10 design, right of way, and construction of state highway projects on
11 the interstate system designated as category "B" under RCW 47.05.030.
12 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
13 conditions and limitations:

14 (1) ((\$42,000,000)) \$47,000,000 of the motor vehicle fund--state
15 appropriation includes a maximum of ((\$32,000,000)) \$37,000,000 in
16 proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by RCW 47.10.790 and
17 47.10.801: PROVIDED, That the transportation commission may authorize
18 the use of current revenues available to the department of
19 transportation in lieu of bond proceeds for any part of the state
20 appropriation.

21 (2) Should cash flow demands exceed the motor vehicle fund--federal
22 appropriation, the motor vehicle fund--state appropriation is increased
23 proportionally to provide matching state funds from the sale of bonds
24 authorized by RCW 47.10.801 and 47.10.790 not to exceed \$10,000,000 and
25 it is understood that the department shall seek authority to expend
26 unanticipated receipts for the federal portion.

27 (3) It is further recognized that the department may make use of
28 federal cash flow obligations on interstate construction contracts in

1 order to complete the interstate highway system as expeditiously as
2 possible.

3 (4) It is the intent of the legislature that the department shall
4 place special emphasis on delivering the HOV projects contained in the
5 document dated March, 1991, entitled "Puget Sound HOV Core Lane Needs:
6 2000". The department shall report progress on program delivery to the
7 legislative transportation committee by November 1, 1991 and December
8 1, 1992.

9 (5) Up to \$2,150,000 of the appropriation in this section is
10 provided for the construction of the demonstration projects specified
11 in the federal 1991 intermodal surface transportation efficiency act
12 (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914).

13 (6) No funds appropriated under this section shall be expended for
14 wetland mitigation unless the wetlands are delineated under the 1987
15 federal delineation manual for delineating jurisdictional wetlands.

16 *Sec. 15 was partially vetoed, see message at end of chapter.

17 **Sec. 16.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 25 (uncodified) is amended to read as
18 follows:

19 FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION--PROGRAM C	
20 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((66,800,000))
21	<u>77,300,000</u>
22 Transportation Fund--State Appropriation	\$((119,000,000))
23	<u>115,500,000</u>
24 Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$ ((16,000,000))
25	<u>28,006,000</u>
26 Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$ 4,000,000
27 TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$((205,800,000))
28	<u>224,806,000</u>

1 The appropriations in this section are provided for the location,
2 design, right of way acquisition, and construction of state highway
3 projects designated as category "C" under RCW 47.05.030.

4 (1) In order to accommodate the federal 1991 intermodal surface
5 transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914), the
6 department of transportation may transfer dollar for dollar from the
7 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation to the motor vehicle fund--
8 federal appropriation.

9 (2) The department is authorized to proceed with construction of
10 rest areas provided local and/or private contributions of at least
11 forty percent of total project costs are made. Local and/or private
12 contributions may be in the form of in-kind contributions including but
13 not limited to donations of property and services. The department is
14 further authorized to construct rest areas if the department
15 successfully obtains federal funds from either the federal "Scenic
16 Byways" grant program and/or the "Transportation Enhancement
17 Activities" program. If such federal funds are obtained, the
18 department of transportation may transfer dollar for dollar from the
19 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation to the motor vehicle fund--
20 federal appropriation.

21 (3) Up to \$12,006,000 of the appropriation in this section is
22 provided for the construction of the demonstration projects specified
23 in the federal 1991 intermodal surface transportation efficiency act
24 (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914).

25 (4) The legislature finds that state route 160 currently requires
26 extraordinary and unexpected repair and maintenance due to a major
27 slide, and that the local jurisdiction which was to have assumed
28 responsibility for the route pursuant to section 15, chapter 342, Laws
29 of 1991, does not have adequate resources available to repair and
30 maintain this route. Up to \$5,000,000 of the motor vehicle fund--state

1	Motor Vehicle Fund--Transportation Capital Facilities	
2	Account--State Appropriation	\$ ((33,149,000))
3		<u>36,634,000</u>
4	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$ ((72,451,000))
5		<u>76,233,000</u>

6 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
7 conditions and limitations:

8 (1) \$1,700,000 of the transportation capital facilities account--
9 state appropriation is contingent upon the sale of bonds authorized in
10 RCW 47.02.120.

11 (2) The transportation capital facilities account--state
12 appropriation will be funded by a state treasurer revenue transfer of
13 ((\$31,449,000)) \$34,934,000 from the motor vehicle fund to the
14 transportation capital facilities account.

15 (3) ~~((No later than August, 1991, the department shall present a
16 comprehensive plan to the legislative transportation committee for
17 creation of an urban mobility office including recommendations on HOV
18 programs, growth management, the freeway and arterial management effort
19 (FAME), and other associated programs or activities. The plan shall
20 include recommended methods for quantifying reductions in congestion))~~
21 Up to \$2,200,000 of the transportation capital facilities account--
22 state appropriation is provided for emergency environmental projects.
23 The department shall seek state and/or federal moneys from
24 environmental regulatory agencies for the purpose set forth in this
25 subsection. If such moneys are obtained, the department shall transfer
26 dollar for dollar from the motor vehicle fund--state appropriation--
27 transportation capital facilities account to the new fund source or
28 sources.

1 **Sec. 19.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 32 (uncodified) is amended to read as
2 follows:

3 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--NONINTERSTATE BRIDGES--PROGRAM H**

4	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$	53,200,000
5	Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$	52,400,000
6	Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$	1,000,000
7	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	106,600,000

8 The appropriations in this section are provided to preserve the
9 structural and operating integrity of existing bridges. It is the
10 intent of the legislature that this appropriation does not commit the
11 legislature to the transportation commission's proposed twenty-year
12 bridge program.

13 In order to accommodate the federal 1991 intermodal surface
14 transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914) the
15 department of transportation may transfer dollar for dollar from the
16 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation to the motor vehicle fund--
17 federal appropriation.

18 **Sec. 20.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 33 (uncodified) is amended to read as
19 follows:

20 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE AND**
21 **OPERATIONS--PROGRAM M**

22	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$((215,160,000))
23		<u>217,750,000</u>
24	Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$ 750,000
25	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$((215,910,000))
26		<u>218,500,000</u>

27 (~~The department shall place emphasis on the development and~~
28 ~~construction of rest areas. The department shall establish criteria~~

1 ~~for prioritizing rest area construction state wide. The department~~
2 ~~shall report the criteria and priority array to the legislative~~
3 ~~transportation committee by August 1, 1991.)~~)

4 The department may, as part of its regular maintenance program,
5 begin correcting existing fish passage barriers.

6 Up to \$742,000 is provided for the incident response program. This
7 program may not be used to compete with private industry in removing or
8 relocating vehicles, but shall be for the purpose of assisting in
9 coordinating the response of both public and private efforts to clear
10 obstructions in an efficient manner.

11 **Sec. 21.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 35 (uncodified) is amended to read as
12 follows:

13 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT AND**
14 **SUPPORT--PROGRAM S**

15	Transportation Fund--State Appropriation	\$	700,000
16	Motor Vehicle Fund--Puget Sound Capital Construction		
17	Account--State Appropriation	\$	465,000
18	Motor Vehicle Fund--Puget Sound Ferry Operations		
19	Account--State Appropriation	\$	885,000
20	Motor Vehicle Fund--State		
21	Appropriation	\$	((33,770,000))
22			<u>33,855,000</u>
23	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	((35,820,000))
24			<u>35,905,000</u>

25 ***Sec. 22.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 36 (uncodified) is amended to read as
27 follows:

28 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--PLANNING, RESEARCH, AND PUBLIC**
29 **TRANSPORTATION--PROGRAM T**

1	For public transportation and rail programs:	
2	Transportation Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((8,295,000))
3		<u>9,849,000</u>
4	Transportation Fund--Federal/Local Appropriation	\$ ((5,518,000))
5		<u>5,735,000</u>
6	<u>Public Transportation Systems Account--State</u>	
7	<u>Appropriation</u>	\$ <u>300,000</u>
8	<u>Central Puget Sound Public Transportation Account--State</u>	
9	<u>Appropriation</u>	\$ <u>100,000</u>
10	High Capacity Transportation Account--	
11	State Appropriation	\$ 15,640,000
12	For planning and research:	
13	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$ ((17,830,000))
14		<u>18,526,000</u>
15	Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$ ((9,000,000))
16		<u>9,346,000</u>
17	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$ ((56,283,000))
18		<u>59,496,000</u>

19 The appropriations in this section are subject to the following
20 conditions and limitations:

21 (1) ~~((By December 15, 1991, the department of transportation, in
22 cooperation with local units of government and Amtrak, shall submit to
23 the legislative transportation committee a program to improve Amtrak
24 services in Washington. The program may include but is not limited to
25 the following:~~

26 ~~(a) Improvements to tracks, grade crossings, and signal systems
27 necessary to increase operating speeds. In developing these
28 recommendations, the department shall involve the utilities and
29 transportation commission and other affected state and local agencies;~~

1 ~~(b) Station improvements;~~

2 ~~(c) Resumption of service between Seattle, Washington, and~~
3 ~~Vancouver, British Columbia; and~~

4 ~~(d) New or additional service on other routes for which there is~~
5 ~~adequate demand and reasonable opportunity for cost recovery)) The~~
6 ~~transportation fund--state appropriation contained in this section~~
7 ~~includes up to \$5,000,000 to implement the recommendations contained in~~
8 ~~the 1991 Amtrak study for capital improvements to stations and~~
9 ~~crossings. Improvements may be made to those locations where Amtrak~~
10 ~~services are currently provided. The expenditure of state moneys for~~
11 ~~station and crossing improvements at locations where Amtrak services~~
12 ~~are not currently provided, is conditioned on a prior commitment in~~
13 ~~writing by Amtrak to the department of transportation to expand service~~
14 ~~to additional Washington state locations. Prior to the expenditure of~~
15 ~~state moneys for capital improvements, the department of transportation~~
16 ~~shall seek additional funding from federal, local government and~~
17 ~~private sources, which includes, but is not limited to, in-kind and~~
18 ~~cash contributions. Funding priorities for station improvements shall~~
19 ~~be based on the level of local in-kind and cash contributions.~~

20 ~~(2) ((Funds are provided for acquisition of rail rights of way~~
21 ~~under RCW 47.76.140: PROVIDED, That funds expended for the Stampede~~
22 ~~Pass corridor connecting Ravensdale in King County and Cle Elum in~~
23 ~~Kittitas County may be expended only if the corridor is acquired~~
24 ~~jointly with the city of Tacoma. The department shall enter into an~~
25 ~~agreement with the City of Tacoma to develop appropriate restrictions~~
26 ~~on the use of the right of way designed to protect Tacoma's Green River~~
27 ~~water supply. Following acquisition, the department may not expend or~~
28 ~~authorize the expenditure of funds for improvements to tracks, bridges,~~
29 ~~and associated elements without prior legislative approval. Funds may~~
30 ~~be expended for necessary maintenance and preservation, such as fire~~

1 and weed control. This appropriation shall lapse if \$1,100,000 is not
2 reappropriated for the purchase of corridors from the essential rail
3 banking account.

4 (~~3~~)) Moneys in this appropriation for the Spokane intermodal
5 transportation center may be expended only after the Washington state
6 transportation commission has received funding commitments from all
7 other project participants.

8 (~~(4)~~) (3) Of the amount provided for regional transportation
9 planning organizations, funds not allocated to such organizations may
10 be used for a discretionary grant program for special regional planning
11 projects, to be administered by the department of transportation.

12 (~~(5)~~) (4) The amount provided for implementation of the universal
13 bus pass program at the University of Washington shall be expended
14 solely for one-time infrastructure costs for modification of roads to
15 accommodate buses, modification of parking facilities, bus shelters,
16 security lighting for night shuttle programs, and bike storage
17 facilities. It is the intent of the legislature that comparable
18 comprehensive programs be developed in the near future for all
19 universities and colleges within the greater Seattle area. To that
20 end, Metro, community transit and Pierce transit, and Seattle area
21 colleges and universities shall work together and submit a plan to the
22 legislative transportation committee identifying potential services,
23 costs and implementation schedules. The plan shall be submitted by
24 November 1992.

25 (5) In order to accommodate the federal 1991 intermodal surface
26 transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914) the
27 department of transportation may transfer dollar for dollar from the
28 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation to the motor vehicle fund--
29 federal appropriation.

1 (6) The legislature finds that there is a significant state
2 interest in transportation systems and facilities that serve regional
3 and state-wide travel. Further, the state growth management act gives
4 local governments significant authority to develop plans for all
5 transportation systems, including regional and state-wide facilities.
6 While the department of transportation and the transportation
7 commission have broad authority to develop state-wide transportation
8 plans, the relationship between these plans and local growth management
9 plans is unclear.

10 The department of transportation is directed to report to the 1993
11 legislature on a proposed definition of transportation issues of state-
12 wide significance, the recommended role of the state, regions, and
13 local governments in addressing these issues, and a proposed process
14 for their inclusion in local comprehensive plans. The department shall
15 involve local governments, regional transportation planning
16 organizations, and the department of community development in the
17 development of these recommendations.

18 (7) Up to \$415,000 of the appropriation in this section is provided
19 for funding of the demonstration projects specified in the federal 1991
20 intermodal surface transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105
21 Stat 1914).

22 (8) Up to \$300,000 of the public transportation systems account--
23 state appropriation in this section is provided for grants to transit
24 agencies with populations of less than 200,000 to assist in preparation
25 of the agencies' transit development plans, due June 1, 1993, pursuant
26 to RCW 35.58.2795.

27 *(9) In order to fulfill the purposes of the 1991 federal intermodal*
28 *surface transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914)*
29 *the Central Puget Sound Public Transportation Account--State*
30 *Appropriation is to fund a study on the interrelationships of land-use*

1 planning and zoning to transit ridership. The study shall be conducted
2 by a county of more than 1,000,000 persons: PROVIDED, That the county
3 provide matching funds of \$50,000: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That this
4 appropriation be contingent on the passage of Senate Bill No. 6209
5 (Chapter --, Laws of 1992) or Engrossed House Bill No. 2830. A report
6 on the findings shall be provided to the legislative transportation
7 committee, the department of transportation, and the office of the
8 governor no later than November 30, 1993.

9 *Sec. 22 was partially vetoed, see message at end of chapter.

10 **Sec. 23.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 38 (uncodified) is amended to read as
11 follows:

12	FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--MARINE CONSTRUCTION--PROGRAM W	
13	Motor Vehicle Fund--Puget Sound Capital Construction	
14	Account--State Appropriation	\$((107,324,000))
15		<u>117,849,000</u>
16	Motor Vehicle Fund--Puget Sound Capital Construction	
17	Account--Federal Appropriation	\$ 16,937,000
18	Motor Vehicle Fund--Puget Sound Capital Construction	
19	Account--Private/Local Appropriation	\$ 1,500,000
20	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$((125,761,000))
21		<u>136,286,000</u>

22 The appropriations in this section are provided for improving the
23 Washington state ferry system, including, but not limited to, vessel
24 acquisition, vessel construction, major and minor vessel improvements,
25 and terminal construction and improvements. The appropriations in this
26 section are subject to the following conditions and limitations:

27 The appropriations in this section are provided to carry out only
28 the projects in the department of transportation's 1991-93 biennial

1 budget request dated March 1991, as approved by the transportation
2 commission. The department of transportation shall revise these
3 projects to reconcile them with the 1989-91 actual expenditures within
4 sixty days of the beginning of the biennium. The department shall also
5 reevaluate such projects, based on the findings and recommendations of
6 the April 5, 1991, Final Report by Booz.Allen, Hamilton and M.
7 Rosenblatt and Son, Inc. on the Washington State Ferries' Vessel
8 Refurbishment Programs, and, if appropriate, make the necessary project
9 revisions, after consultation with the legislative transportation
10 committee, prior to September 1, 1991.

11 The Puget Sound capital construction account--state appropriation
12 includes the reappropriation of \$18,965,000 and \$15,000,000 in proceeds
13 from the sale of bonds authorized by RCW 47.60.560 and \$10,000,000 in
14 proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by House Bill No. 2896, Laws
15 of 1992, which shall be used toward the completion of auto or passenger
16 vessels or jumbo-class vessels: PROVIDED, That the department of
17 transportation may use current revenues available to the Puget Sound
18 capital construction account in lieu of bond proceeds for any part of
19 the state appropriation.

20 The legislature intends that the construction and assembly of any
21 auto passenger vessel or vessels-jumbo class resulting from bond sale
22 proceeds authorized by House Bill No. 2896, Laws of 1992, occur within
23 Washington state.

24 The appropriation in this section contains an amount for
25 prerefurbishment inspections as identified in Recommendation 8 of the
26 April 5, 1991, Final Report by Booz.Allen, Hamilton and M. Rosenblatt
27 and Son, Inc. on the Washington State Ferries' Vessel Refurbishment
28 Programs.

1 The Puget Sound capital construction account--state appropriation
2 includes \$1,082,000 to be expended solely for the design of a jumbo
3 class automobile ferry vessel.

4 The department shall consult the legislative transportation
5 committee regarding the expenditure of moneys appropriated in this
6 section and shall provide the committee with a monthly report
7 concerning the status of the capital program authorized in this
8 section.

9 \$300,000 of the Puget Sound capital construction account--state
10 appropriation is provided to implement Recommendation Numbers 7 and 19
11 of the April 5, 1991, Final Report by Booz.Allen, Hamilton and M.
12 Rosenblatt and Son, Inc. on the Washington State Ferries' Vessel
13 Refurbishment Programs. Of that amount \$200,000 is provided for
14 implementing a formal hazardous materials program and \$100,000 is
15 provided for audiogauge steel testing.

16 The department of transportation shall establish a task force to
17 assess and oversee the implementation of the recommendations contained
18 in the April 5, 1991, Final Report by Booz.Allen, Hamilton and M.
19 Rosenblatt and Son, Inc. on the Washington State Ferries' Vessel
20 Refurbishment Programs. The task force shall be comprised of
21 department of transportation management, representatives of Washington
22 state ferry system employee organizations, the shipbuilding industry,
23 the legislative transportation committee, and any other entity or
24 individual as deemed appropriate by the department. The task force
25 shall provide a progress report to the legislative transportation
26 committee by December 1, 1991 and December 1, 1992.

27 In order to accommodate the federal 1991 intermodal surface
28 transportation efficiency act (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914), the
29 department may transfer on a dollar for dollar basis, motor vehicle
30 fund--Puget Sound capital construction account--state appropriation to

1 the motor vehicle fund--Puget Sound capital construction account--
2 federal appropriation.

3 **Sec. 24.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 39 (uncodified) is amended to read as
4 follows:

5 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--MARINE--PROGRAM X**

6 Marine Operating Fund--State Appropriation \$((~~204,767,000~~))
7 205,755,000

8 The appropriation in this section is subject to the following
9 conditions and limitations:

10 (1) The marine operating fund is hereby created in the state
11 treasury.

12 To fund the appropriations in this act, the department shall
13 transfer operating subsidies from the Puget Sound ferry operations
14 account and ferry user revenues from the ferry system revolving account
15 to the marine operating fund.

16 The department shall transfer moneys from the ferry system
17 revolving account to the marine operating fund so as to minimize the
18 need for revenues from the Puget Sound ferry operations account during
19 June of each respective fiscal year in support of the expenditures
20 necessary for the operation and maintenance of the state ferry system
21 as authorized in this section.

22 (2) The appropriation is based on the budgeted expenditure of
23 \$24,562,547 for vessel operating fuel in the 1991-93 biennium. If the
24 actual cost of fuel is less than this budgeted amount, the excess
25 amount shall not be expended. If the actual cost exceeds this amount,
26 the department shall request a supplemental appropriation.

27 (3) The appropriation contained in this section provides for the
28 compensation of ferry employees, including increases. The expenditures

1 for compensation paid to ferry employees during the 1991-93 biennium
2 shall not exceed (~~(\$135,862,000)~~) \$136,582,000 plus a dollar amount, as
3 prescribed by the office of financial management, that is equal to any
4 insurance benefit increase granted general government employees in
5 excess of \$256.07 a month annualized per eligible marine employee
6 multiplied by the number of eligible marine employees for the
7 respective fiscal year, a dollar amount as prescribed by the office of
8 financial management for salary increases during the 1991-93 biennium,
9 and a dollar amount as prescribed by the office of financial management
10 for costs associated with pension amortization charges and cost of
11 living allowances. For the purposes of this section, the expenditures
12 for compensation paid to ferry employees shall be limited to salaries
13 and wages and employee benefits as defined in the office of financial
14 management's policies, regulations, and procedures named under objects
15 of expenditure "A" and "B" (7.2.6.2). Of the (~~(\$135,862,000)~~)
16 \$136,582,000 provided for compensation, plus the prescribed insurance
17 benefit, pension, and salary increase dollar amount:

18 (a) The maximum dollar amount that shall be allocated from the
19 governor's compensation salary appropriation is in addition to the
20 appropriation contained in this section and may be used to increase
21 compensation costs, effective January 1, 1992;

22 (b) The maximum dollar amount that shall be allocated from the
23 governor's compensation salary appropriation is in addition to the
24 appropriation contained in this section and shall be used to maintain
25 any 1991-92 compensation increase and may be used to increase
26 compensation costs, effective January 1, 1993.

27 In no event may the June 30, 1992, hourly salary rate increase
28 exceed any average hourly salary rate increase granted during the 1991-
29 92 fiscal year.

1 In no event may the June 30, 1993, hourly salary rate increase
2 exceed any salary rate increase granted during the 1992-93 fiscal year.

3 (c) The prescribed insurance benefit increase dollar amount that
4 shall be allocated from the governor's compensation insurance benefits
5 appropriation is in addition to the appropriation contained in this
6 section and may be used to increase compensation costs, effective July
7 1, 1991;

8 (d) The prescribed insurance benefit increase dollar amount that
9 shall be allocated from the governor's compensation insurance benefits
10 appropriation is in addition to the appropriation contained in this
11 section and may be used to increase compensation costs, effective July
12 1, 1992.

13 (4) The intent of the legislature is to eliminate the current
14 passenger-only service between Seattle and Bremerton. The
15 transportation commission is responsible for evaluating other potential
16 passenger-only routes and determining the location of a new passenger-
17 only route. The transfer of the Seattle/Bremerton passenger-only
18 vessel to a new route should be implemented as soon as it is feasible.

19 (5) The appropriation in this section includes \$1,091,290 for an
20 additional eight-hour automobile ferry service between Seattle and
21 Bremerton during the 1992-93 fiscal period commencing with the
22 elimination of the passenger only service.

23 (6) The department of transportation shall provide the legislative
24 transportation committee with a monthly report concerning the status of
25 the operating program authorized in this section.

26 (7) The transportation commission is directed to continue its
27 evaluation of passenger-only vessel designs capable of providing high
28 speed service between Seattle and Bremerton. The commission shall
29 provide the legislative transportation committee with a report

1 concerning the status of the evaluation by September 30, 1991 and
2 December 1, 1992.

3 **Sec. 25.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 41 (uncodified) is amended to read as
4 follows:

5 **FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION--LOCAL PROGRAMS--PROGRAM Z**

6	Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation	\$	11,132,000
7	Motor Vehicle Fund--Federal Appropriation	\$	((95,300,000))
8			<u>96,383,000</u>
9	Motor Vehicle Fund--Local Appropriation	\$	10,000,000
10	TOTAL APPROPRIATION	\$	((116,432,000))
11			<u>117,515,000</u>

12 (1) The appropriations in this section include \$3,150,000 from the
13 motor vehicle fund--state appropriation for transportation expenditures
14 related to the United States navy home port in Everett.

15 (2) The appropriations contain \$309,000 of state funds from the
16 proceeds of bonds for Columbia Basin county roads authorized in chapter
17 121, Laws of 1951; chapter 311, Laws of 1955; and chapter 121, Laws of
18 1965 for reimbursable expenditures on cooperative projects authorized
19 by state or federal laws. If these moneys are not expended during
20 1991-93, this appropriation shall revert to the motor vehicle fund.

21 (3) Up to \$1,083,000 of the appropriation in this section is
22 provided for the construction of the demonstration projects specified
23 in the federal 1991 intermodal surface transportation efficiency act
24 (P.L. 101-240; 105 Stat. 1914).

25 **Sec. 26.** 1991 sp.s. c 15 s 57 (uncodified) is amended to read as
26 follows:

27 **FOR THE WASHINGTON STATE PATROL--CAPITAL**

1 As used in this section, "St Patrol Hiwy Acct" means the State
 2 Patrol Highway Account.

3 (1) Design and construct WSP/DOL district offices-Tacoma (90-2-013)

		Reappropriation	Appropriation
5	St Patrol Hiwy Acct		5,413,000
6	Motor Vehicle Acct--State		924,000
7	Highway Safety Fund--State		924,000
8	Total Appropriation		7,261,000

		Estimated	Estimated
		Costs	Total
		Through	Costs
		6/30/91	7/1/91 and
			Thereafter

13	750,000		8,011,000
----	---------	--	-----------

14 ((+3)) (2) Complete Construction District Headquarters-Everett
 15 (90-2-018)

		Reappropriation	Appropriation
--	--	-----------------	---------------

17	St Patrol Hiwy Acct	3,200,000	<u>1,300,000</u>
----	---------------------	-----------	------------------

		Estimated	Estimated
		Costs	Total
		Through	Costs
		6/30/91	7/1/91 and
			Thereafter

22	300,000	((3,200,000))	((3,500,000))
23		<u>4,500,000</u>	<u>4,800,000</u>

24 ((+4)) (3) Replace underground storage tanks-Ten locations
 25 (92-1-002)

		Reappropriation	Appropriation
--	--	-----------------	---------------

27	St Patrol Hiwy Acct		((1,656,000))
28			<u>1,469,000</u>

		Estimated	Estimated
		Costs	Total
		Through	Costs
		6/30/91	7/1/91 and
			Thereafter

33	376,000		((2,032,000))
34			<u>1,932,000</u>

35 ((+5)) (4) Minor works (92-2-004)

		Reappropriation	Appropriation
--	--	-----------------	---------------

37	St Patrol Hiwy Acct		((435,000))
38			<u>278,000</u>

1	Project	Estimated	Estimated
2	Costs	Costs	Total
3	Through	7/1/91 and	Costs
4	6/30/91	Thereafter	
5	1,654,000	((759,200))	((2,848,200))
6		<u>602,200</u>	<u>2,691,200</u>
7	((6)) (5) Property acquisition for communications site-Maple		
8	Falls (92-2-0064)		
9		Reappropriation	Appropriation
10	St Patrol Hiwy Acct		17,000
11	Project	Estimated	Estimated
12	Costs	Costs	Total
13	Through	7/1/91 and	Costs
14	6/30/91	Thereafter	
15		<u>17,000</u>	17,000
16	((7)) (6) BAW FAW replacement communication tower (92-2-010)		
17		Reappropriation	Appropriation
18	St Patrol Hiwy Acct		((234,000))
19			<u>184,000</u>
20	Project	Estimated	Estimated
21	Costs	Costs	Total
22	Through	7/1/91 and	Costs
23	6/30/91	Thereafter	
24		<u>184,000</u>	((234,000))
25			<u>184,000</u>

26 The state patrol and the department of licensing shall coordinate
27 their activities when siting facilities. This coordination shall
28 result in the colocation of driver and vehicle licensing and vehicle
29 inspection service facilities whenever possible.

30 The department and state patrol shall explore alternative state
31 services, such as vehicle emission testing, that would be feasible to
32 colocate in these joint facilities. The department and state patrol
33 shall reach agreement with the department of transportation for the
34 purposes of offering department of transportation permits at these one-
35 stop transportation centers. All services provided by the department

1 or the state patrol at these transportation service facilities shall be
2 provided at cost to the participating agencies.

3 In those instances where the community need or the agencies needs
4 do not warrant colocation this proviso shall not apply.

5 The state patrol shall examine, whenever possible, the colocation
6 of the emergency response activities of the state patrol and other
7 agencies responsible for emergency response activities. The
8 examination shall include an evaluation of the Camp Murray site. The
9 state patrol shall report to the legislature by December 1, 1992 on the
10 examination.

11 **Sec. 27.** 1991 sp.s. c 14 s 29 (uncodified) is amended to read as
12 follows:

13 **FOR THE WASHINGTON STATE PATROL**

14 (1) Crime laboratory, Tacoma: To design and
15 construct a new eight thousand-square foot crime
16 lab facility in Tacoma, to be co-located with
17 the Washington State Patrol/Department of
18 Licensing District headquarters (92-1-008)

19 The appropriation in this section shall not
20 be expended for consolidation of laboratory
21 services currently being performed in the Kelso
22 and Kennewick crime laboratories.

23 **Appropriation:**

24	St Bldg Constr Acct	\$	2,017,000
25	Prior Biennia (Expenditures)	\$	20,000
26	Future Biennia (Projected Costs)	\$	0
27			-----
28	TOTAL	\$	2,037,000

29 (2) Spokane crime laboratory: For safety
30 enhancements (92-1-008)

31 **Appropriation:**

32	St Bldg Constr Acct	\$	192,000
33	Prior Biennia (Expenditures)	\$	4,500
34	Future Biennia (Projected Costs)	\$	0
35			-----
36	TOTAL	\$	196,500

1 (3) Headquarters: Design a new headquarters
2 facility in Olympia (90-2-040)

3 **Appropriation:**

4 **WSP Highway Acct** \$((~~3,400,000~~))
5 **750,000**

6 Prior Biennia (Expenditures) \$ 250,000

7 Future Biennia (Projected Costs) \$(~~45,323,000~~)

8 **0**

9 -----

10 TOTAL \$(~~48,973,000~~)

11 **1,000,000**

12 (4) Everett district headquarters--Crime laboratory (90-2-018)

13 **Reappropriation:**

14 **St Bldg Constr Acct** \$ **455,000**

15 Prior Biennia (Expenditures) \$ 15,000

16 Future Biennia (Projected Costs) \$ 0

17 -----

18 TOTAL \$ 470,000

19 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 28.** A new section is added to 1991 sp.s. c 15
20 to read as follows:

21 **FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

22 Motor Vehicle Fund--State Appropriation Transfer:

23 For transfer to transportation equipment fund \$ 146,000

24 This appropriation is provided to replace equipment lost and other
25 associated costs in the Kent maintenance facility fire.

26 *NEW SECTION. **Sec. 29.** A new section is added to 1991 sp.s. c 15
27 to read as follows:

28 *The office of financial management shall conduct a study, in*
29 *conjunction with the department of transportation, the department of*
30 *licensing, and the Washington state patrol, of the methods used by the*
31

1 *revolving fund agencies to determine actual services provided to the*
2 *transportation agencies. The study shall determine whether or not*
3 *allocation methodologies used to assign these costs to transportation*
4 *agencies are consistent with accepted accounting principles.*

5 **Sec. 29 was vetoed, see message at end of chapter.*

6 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 30.** A new section is added to 1991 sp.s. c 15
7 to read as follows:

8 Agencies shall comply with the following requirements regarding
9 information technology projects if directed to do so by specific
10 appropriation proviso within this act.

11 It is the intent of the legislature that information technology
12 projects in state government be managed and completed successfully.
13 Information technology projects should be divided into distinct phases.
14 Each phase of a project should be successfully completed before
15 subsequent phases are commenced. In addition to the post-
16 implementation review, project reviews and quality assurance measures
17 are to be conducted throughout the project.

18 The legislature, department of information services and office of
19 financial management, should evaluate each project's scope, duration,
20 and risk in determining whether appropriations should be for a fiscal
21 year or a biennium, and whether specific phases or the entire project
22 can be accomplished within a specified time period.

23 Work shall not commence on any task in a subsequent phase of a
24 project after a key decision point review unless there is approval to
25 proceed, based upon approval of the deliverables from the preceding
26 phase and approval of the updated project management plan for the
27 subsequent phase, by the project agreement participants and written
28 notification to the legislative transportation committee.

1 (1) Prior to requesting moneys from the legislature, or as a
2 condition of receiving an appropriation for planning or development of
3 information technology projects, an agency shall complete a project
4 needs assessment process. The needs assessment process shall detail
5 the key issues to be addressed by the information technology project.
6 The needs assessment process shall precede the feasibility study.

7 The needs assessment process must include: The project's scope;
8 key business and technical issues to be addressed; major business
9 objectives; alternative project approaches; project justifications;
10 project management approach including phases necessary to complete the
11 project; and evaluation of initial feasibility of the project. The
12 purpose of the needs assessment process is to provide the legislature,
13 office of financial management, and the department of information
14 services with the high level information that is needed to grant
15 approval to proceed with the project.

16 (2) The agency shall produce a feasibility study for each
17 information systems project in accordance with published department of
18 information services instructions. The study shall examine and
19 evaluate the costs and benefits of maintaining the current technology
20 or process versus the costs and benefits of the proposed system. The
21 study shall identify if and in what amounts any fiscal savings, costs,
22 and benefits will occur, and what programs or fund sources will be
23 affected. Benefits of information technology projects shall not be
24 limited to future fiscal savings, but may include maintenance of, or
25 improvements in service delivery by the agency to the citizens of the
26 state. The feasibility study shall be an evolving document. The
27 feasibility study shall be accompanied by the project management plan
28 described in subsection (3) of this section.

29 (3) The agency shall produce a project management plan which shall
30 document how the agency will manage the project identified in the

1 feasibility study. The plan shall be an evolving document. Each
2 subsequent phase of the project shall have an updated project
3 management plan submitted as a prerequisite for approval to begin the
4 next phase.

5 The project management plan shall cover all factors critical to the
6 entire project; shall specifically address management plans for
7 successfully completing the subsequent phase; and shall address all
8 factors critical to the overall project, including, but not limited to,
9 the following elements:

10 (a) Project organization: Define agency executive personnel
11 accountable for project success; define oversight and management
12 committee structures; identify key personnel including key project
13 positions that are not yet filled; address agency and vendor staffing
14 requirements, including backfilling requirements; and other key
15 resources needed for successful project implementation.

16 (b) A description of scope change and cost control procedures.

17 (c) A risk assessment and risk mitigation plan.

18 (d) A description of project oversight and quality assurance
19 procedures.

20 (e) A project workplan: Explaining the appropriately defined
21 phases, key management decision points, scheduling of other activities,
22 estimated costs for the next phase or phases to be conducted in a
23 specified time period, a description of project management procedures
24 including communication strategies, documentation control, and issues
25 management.

26 (4) A project agreement shall be prepared by the sponsoring agency,
27 in a format prescribed by the department of information services,
28 following approval of the project management plan and feasibility study
29 by the department of information services, the office of financial
30 management, and appropriation by the legislature.

1 The project agreement shall address all pertinent information
2 included in the needs assessment, project management plan, feasibility
3 study, and the budget request information submitted to the office of
4 financial management and the legislature.

5 The agency head, the director of the department of information
6 services, and the director of the office of financial management shall
7 evaluate and approve the project agreement. A copy of the final
8 project agreement shall be provided to the legislative transportation
9 committee. Any changes to the agreement shall be made with the mutual
10 written consent of the parties. The legislative transportation
11 committee shall receive written prior notification of all proposed
12 changes in a timely manner and may provide written comments on such
13 proposed changes.

14 (5) Prior to reaching key decision points identified in the project
15 management plan a project status report shall be submitted to the
16 department of information services, the office of financial management,
17 and the legislative transportation committee for each project. Project
18 status reports shall examine and evaluate project management,
19 accomplishments, budget, action to address variances, risk management,
20 and other significant issues critical to completion of a project.

21 (6) In instances where a project review is requested in accordance
22 with department of information services policies, the review shall
23 examine and evaluate: System requirements specifications; scope;
24 executive commitment and project management procedures system
25 architecture; change controls; documentation; user involvement;
26 training; availability and capability of resources; programming
27 languages and techniques; system inputs and outputs; plans for testing,
28 conversion, implementation, and post-implementation; and other aspects
29 critical to successful construction, integration, and implementation of
30 information technology projects as appropriate. Copies of written

1 project review reports shall be forwarded to the office of financial
2 management and the legislative transportation committee by the agency.

3 (7) The agency and the department of information services shall
4 provide the legislative transportation committee and the office of
5 financial management with a written bi-monthly project oversight and
6 risk assessment report for each project. The report shall include, but
7 not be limited to, the following: Project name, agency undertaking the
8 project, a description of the project, key project activities during
9 the next sixty to ninety days, base-line cost data, costs to date,
10 schedule to date, risk assessments, risk management, and
11 recommendations.

12 (8) A written post-implementation review report shall be prepared
13 by the agency for each information systems project in accordance with
14 published department of information services instructions. In addition
15 to the information requested pursuant to the department of information
16 services instructions, post-implementation reports shall evaluate the
17 degree to which a project accomplished its major objectives including,
18 but not limited to, a comparison of original cost and benefit estimates
19 to actual costs and benefits achieved. Copies of post-implementation
20 review reports shall be provided to the department of information
21 services, the office of financial management, and the legislative
22 transportation committee.

23 (9) Where major variances in project scope, cost, or risk occur,
24 the sponsoring agency shall inform the department of information
25 services of the change. The director of the sponsoring agency and the
26 director of the department of information services shall jointly report
27 such findings in writing to the legislative transportation committee
28 and office of financial management. A major variance is defined as a
29 budget change in excess of \$1,000,000 or ten percent, whichever is

1 lower; an increase in risk category to high; or a change in scope that
2 could result in major change in budget or risk.

3 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 31.** 1991 c 342 s 15 is repealed.

4 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 32.** This act is necessary for the immediate
5 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the
6 state government and its existing public institutions, and shall take
7 effect immediately.

Passed the House March 11, 1992.

Passed the Senate March 11, 1992.

Approved by the Governor April 1, 1992, with the exception of
certain items which were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State April 1, 1992.

1 Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

2 "I am returning herewith, without my approval as to sections 15(6),
3 22 (page 20 lines 12 and 13), 22(9), and 29 of Engrossed Substitute
4 House Bill No. 2553 entitled:

5 "AN ACT Relating to Transportation Appropriations."

6 Section 15(6), Highway Construction - Program B

7 Section 15(6) requires the Department of Transportation to adhere
8 to the 1987 federal delineation of wetlands for mitigation purposes.
9 As drafted, this proviso only applies to the interstate construction
10 program rather than the non-interstate new construction program.

11 Since local jurisdictions may require the Department of
12 Transportation to adhere to more stringent guidelines than those set
13 forth in the 1987 federal delineation manual, this language could
14 confuse the delivery of necessary interstate projects. Further, it is
15 inappropriate to adopt state wetland standards on a piecemeal basis
16 within a budget document.

17 Section 22 (page 20 lines 12 and 13), and Section 22(9), Planning,
18 Research, and Public Transportation - Program T

19 This \$100,000 appropriation and proviso fund a study on the
20 interrelationship of land use planning and zoning to transit ridership.
21 The study funding is contingent on the enactment of the METRO Municipal
22 Corporation bill (Senate Bill No. 6209) or the Transportation
23 Authorities bill (Engrossed House Bill No. 2830). The Legislature did
24 not pass either of these bills. Therefore, the study and funding are
25 no longer appropriate.

1 Section 29, Office of Financial Management Study of General
2 Administration Charges

3 Section 29 requires the Office of Financial Management to conduct
4 a study of the methods used by the revolving fund agencies to charge
5 for services provided to the transportation agencies. Such a review is
6 currently underway. Therefore, this study is not necessary. My staff
7 will coordinate the transportation agencies and the revolving fund
8 agencies to discuss services provided, allocation methodologies, and
9 rate charges.

10 For these reasons, I have vetoed sections 15(6), 22 (page 20 lines
11 12 and 13), 22(9), and 29 of Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 2553.

12 With the exception of sections 15(6), 22 (page 20 lines 12 and 13),
13 22(9), and 29, Engrossed Substitute House Bill No. 2553 is approved."