H-1616.1	

HOUSE BILL 1979

State of Washington 53rd Legislature 1993 Regular Session

By Representatives Romero, Van Luven, Morris, Long, Riley, G. Cole, L. Johnson, Mastin, Edmondson, Ogden, Valle, Karahalios, Holm and Anderson Read first time 02/19/93. Referred to Committee on Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to literacy training for offenders; amending RCW
- 2 9.95.070 and 72.09.130; and adding new sections to chapter 72.09 RCW.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 72.09 RCW 5 to read as follows:
- 6 The legislature finds that inadequate learning skills and
- 7 illiteracy among correctional institution inmates not only impairs
- 8 their ability to reenter society and limits severely the benefits of
- 9 inmate work programs, but creates a tragic and socially costly pattern
- 10 of recidivism. Nation-wide studies have shown that a significant
- 11 number of prisoners are high school dropouts and most inmates perform
- 12 several grade levels below the last grade they completed in school.
- 13 Prison literacy programs are effective deterrents to recidivism and
- 14 therefore are an effective and efficient cost-cutting tool. The fact
- 15 that the inmate who reenters society able to read and write is less
- 16 likely to return to prison translates to direct savings to our state.
- 17 Moreover, the savings realized by all of our citizens from an
- 18 individual becoming a productive member of society rather than a costly
- 19 threat, potentially adding to the work load of the already overloaded

p. 1 HB 1979

- criminal justice system, is harder to quantify but is of unquestionably 1 2 greater value.
- The legislature further finds that prison literacy programs need to 3 4 include a focus on self-image, decision making, and other social 5 skills. We must strive not only to ensure that inmates can read and write, but that they appreciate the importance and usefulness of these 6 abilities. Combined with inmate work programs and training, literacy 7 training will serve to greatly increase the odds that once an inmate 8 leaves prison, they are not going to return.
- 10 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 72.09 RCW 11 to read as follows:

9

- 12 The department shall develop and implement a literacy testing and This program must require the testing of every 13 training program. 14 person sentenced to a correctional institution under the jurisdiction 15 of the department of corrections to determine that person's written and oral communication skills level. Those persons testing below an eighth 16 grade level must be provided the opportunity to receive training as 17 18 necessary to reach that level. The department shall determine the 19 structure, scheduling, and method for literacy training. The department is encouraged to consider computer-assisted training, inmate 20 21 tutors, and volunteer programs as appropriate. Offenders identified by 22 the department to be incapable of participation in literacy training are not required to participate in the program. 23
- 24 **Sec. 3.** RCW 9.95.070 and 1955 c 133 s 8 are each amended to read 25 as follows:
- Every prisoner who has a favorable record of conduct at the 26 penitentiary or the reformatory, and who performs in a faithful, 27 28 diligent, industrious, orderly and peaceable manner the <u>literacy</u> 29 training, work, duties, and tasks assigned to him or her to the satisfaction of the superintendent of the penitentiary or reformatory, 30 31 and in whose behalf the superintendent of the penitentiary or reformatory files a report certifying that his or her conduct and work 32 33 have been meritorious and recommending allowance of time credits to him or her, shall upon, but not until, the adoption of such recommendation 34 35 by the <u>indeterminate sentence review</u> board ((of prison terms and paroles)), be allowed time credit reductions from the term of 36

HB 1979 p. 2 1 imprisonment fixed by the <u>indeterminate sentence review</u> board ((of 2 prison terms and paroles)).

Sec. 4. RCW 72.09.130 and 1981 c 136 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:

3

4

The department shall adopt a system providing incentives for good 5 conduct and disincentives for poor conduct. The system may include 6 7 increases or decreases in the degree of liberty granted the inmate within the programs operated by the department and recommended 8 9 increases or decreases in the number of earned early release days that an inmate can earn for good conduct and good performance. Earned early 10 release days shall be recommended by the department as a form of 11 12 tangible reward for accomplishment. The system shall be fair, measurable, and understandable to offenders, staff, and the public. At 13 14 least once in each twelve-month period, the department shall inform the offender in writing as to his or her conduct and performance. 15 written evaluation shall include reasons for awarding or not awarding 16 recommended earned early release days for good conduct and good 17 18 performance. The term "good performance" as used in this section means successfully performing a work, work training, literacy training, or 19 educational task to levels of expectation as specified in writing by 20 the department. The term "good conduct" as used in this section refers 21 to compliance with department rules. 22

Within one year after July 1, 1981, the department shall adopt, and provide a written description of, the system. The department shall provide a copy of this description to each offender in its custody.

--- END ---

p. 3 HB 1979