

FINAL BILL REPORT

SSB 5749

C 218 L 03

Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Revising procedures for hearings concerning violations by sex offenders of postrelease conditions.

Sponsors: Senate Committee on Children & Family Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Hargrove, Stevens and Rasmussen; by request of Indeterminate Sentence Review Board).

Senate Committee on Children & Family Services & Corrections
House Committee on Criminal Justice & Corrections

Background: In 2001, 3ESSB 6151 established determinate-plus sentencing for sex offenders convicted of a first "2-strikes" offense and for offenders with a prior "2-strikes" offense who subsequently are convicted of a new sex offense. Under determinate-plus sentencing, the offender receives the sentence that he or she would normally receive under the Sentencing Reform Act as a minimum sentence plus a maximum sentence equal to the statutory maximum sentence permitted by law. During the period between the minimum and maximum sentence, the offender is to be released to community custody unless he or she is found to be more likely than not to commit new sex offenses.

The Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (ISRB) was given the authority over these offenders and the authority to impose sanctions for violations of release conditions. The provisions regarding offenders sentenced to a determinate-plus sentence were separated from those provisions for parole under indeterminate sentencing for crimes committed before July 1, 1984. The legislation distinguished community custody from parole by distinguishing the two standards for release and by requiring the ISRB to develop hearing procedures and a structure of graduated sanctions for community custody consistent with those developed by the Department of Corrections (DOC) for community custody under the Offender Accountability Act of 1999. The legislation also anticipated that the ISRB would enter an agreement with DOC to use its hearings officers.

The statute required the procedures to include a number of elements. These elements included time frames requiring a hearing within five days if the offender was held in confinement and 15 days if the offender was not held in confinement and were identical, except in one instance, with those set out under the Offender Accountability Act. Because, however, the possible result of a revocation hearing under 3ESSB 6151 is life in prison, these offenders under determinate-plus sentencing have the right to an attorney if revocation of community custody is a possible sanction for a violation. The Offender Accountability Act does not include the right to an attorney.

Summary: The time frames for community custody violation hearings are changed to 30 days whether or not the offender is in confinement from five days if the offender is confined

and 15 days if the offender is not confined. A probable cause determination must be made within 48 hours.

An offender's right to an attorney for a violation hearing is changed from those hearings in which revocation of release to community custody is "possible" to those in which it is "probable." No offender's community custody may be revoked if he or she was not represented by counsel, unless the offender waived the right to counsel.

Hearings may be conducted by a designee of the board.

Votes on Final Passage:

Senate	47	1	
House	93	0	(House amended)
Senate	46	0	(Senate concurred)

Effective: July 27, 2003