
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1933

State of Washington

58th Legislature

2003 Regular Session

By House Committee on Local Government (originally sponsored by Representatives Berkey, Kessler, Cairnes, Buck, Sullivan, Orcutt, Hatfield, Jarrett, Miloscia, Gombosky, Grant, DeBolt, Quall, Woods, Schoesler, Conway, Lovick, Clibborn, Edwards, Schindler, McCoy, Eickmeyer and Alexander)

READ FIRST TIME 03/05/03.

1 AN ACT Relating to the integration of shoreline management policies
2 with the growth management act; and creating a new section.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature finds that the final
5 decision and order issued in *Everett Shorelines Coalition v. City of*
6 *Everett* and *Washington State Department Of Ecology*, Case No. 02-3-
7 0009c, issued by the central Puget Sound growth management hearings
8 board was a case of first impression for interpreting the integration
9 of the shoreline management act into the growth management act, and
10 that the board considered the appeal and issued its final order and
11 decision without the benefit of shorelines guidelines to provide
12 guidance on the implementation of the shoreline management act and the
13 adoption of shoreline master programs.

14 (2) This act is intended to affirm the legislature's intent that
15 the shoreline management act be implemented in accordance with
16 decisions of the shoreline hearings board and the Washington courts
17 prior to the integration of the shoreline management act into the
18 growth management act as provided by RCW 36.70A.480. Specifically, it
19 is the intent of the legislature that:

1 (a) The goals and policies of the shoreline management act, RCW
2 90.58.020, be read, interpreted, applied, and implemented as a whole;

3 (b) The goals of the growth management act, including the goals and
4 policies of the shoreline management act set forth in RCW 36.70A.020
5 and 36.70A.480, continue to be listed without priority; and

6 (c) Shorelines of statewide significance may include critical areas
7 as designated by RCW 36.70A.172, but that shorelines of statewide
8 significance are not critical areas simply because they are shorelines
9 of statewide significance.

--- END ---